

Commission of Inquiry
Into the Wrongful
Conviction of David Milgaard
before
THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE
EDWARD P. MacCALLUM

Transcript of Proceedings

and

Testimony before the Commission
sitting at the
Radisson Hotel at
Saskatoon, Saskatchewan

On Tuesday, June 14th, 2005

Volume 53

Inquiry Proceedings



Commission Staff:

Mr. Douglas C. Hodson, Commission Counsel
Mr. Jordan Hardy, Esq., Assistant Commission Counsel
Ms. Candace D. Congram, Executive Director
Ms. Sandra Boswell, Document Manager
Ms. Kara Isabelle, Document Assistant

Support Staff:

Ms. Irene Beitel, Clerk to the Commission
Ms. Karen Hinz, CSR, and Official Q.B. Court Reporters
Mr. Don Meyer, RPR, CSR,
Mr. Jerry Wilde, Security Officer
Mr. Larry Prehodchenko, Inland Audio Technician



Appearances:

Mr. Hersh Wolch, Q.C., **for** Mr. David Milgaard
Ms. Joanne McLean, **for** Ms. Joyce Milgaard
Ms. Lana Krogan, **for** Government of Saskatchewan
Ms. Catherine Knox, Mr. Si Halyk, Q.C., **for** Mr. T.D.R.
 (Bobs) Caldwell
Mr. Garrett Wilson, Q.C., **for** Mr. Serge Kujawa
Mr. Rick Elson, Esq., **for** the Saskatoon Police Service
Mr. Chris Boychuk, Esq., **for** Mr. Eddie Karst
Mr. Bruce Gibson and Ms. Rochelle Wempe, **for** the RCMP
Mr. Brian A. Beresh, Esq., **for** Mr. Larry Fisher
Mr. David Frayer, Q.C., **for** Minister of Justice
 (Canada), The Hon. Irwin Cotler
Mr. Dino Bottos, Esq., **for** Justice Calvin Tallis
 (Retired)



INDEX OF PROCEEDINGS

<u>DESCRIPTION:</u>	<u>PAGE:</u>
<u>GEORGE TURNER REID, CONTINUED</u>	
- BY MR. HARDY	10106
- BY MR. ELSON	10145
<u>JOHN HERMAN PARKER, SWORN</u>	
- BY MR. HODSON	10152
- BY MR. ELSON	10305
- BY MS. WEMPE	10312
- BY MR. WOLCH	10314
- BY MR. HODSON	10326
<u>RAYMOND WILLIAM MACKIE</u>	
- BY MR. HODSON	10327



1 Transcript of Proceedings

2 (Reconvened at 9:00 a.m.)

3 COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: Good morning.

4 GEORGE TURNER REID, continued:

08:59 5 BY MR. HARDY:

6 Q Good morning, Mr. Reid.

7 A Good morning.

8 Q We'll pick up from where we left off yesterday.
9 You'll recall we were reviewing some of your
08:59 10 investigation reports from 1969. I would like to
11 refer you to a further report, it's document ID
12 106626. You'll note it's a report dated March
13 10th, 1969, again one of your reports, and I would
14 like you to take a look in particular at the
09:00 15 second paragraph of that document, I'm going to
16 read that to you.

17 "On March 10/69 Cpl. Rasmussen and
18 writer journeyed to Delisle, Sask. and
19 at this point interviewed Bertha
09:00 20 Boucher, born 1952, whose home is in
21 Donavon, Sask., but she is employed in
22 Delisle by Wally Powers as proprietor of
23 Wally's Restaurant. Also questioned in
24 Delisle was Peggy Miller, sister of
09:00 25 deceased. At this time no further



1 additional information was obtained and
2 of new names that have come to our
3 attention when mentioned to these two
4 girls they meant nothing and they had
09:00 5 never heard them before. These girls
6 have not heard any further information
7 or gossip which may be of assistance to
8 us at this time."
9 Mr. Reid, do you recall attending in Delisle to
09:01 10 meet with Peggy Miller?
11 A No, I don't.
12 Q And it talks about some new names that you may
13 have provided to these two girls on this occasion.
14 Do you recall at all what new names may be being
09:01 15 referred to in that paragraph?
16 A No, I don't.
17 Q And we've heard in evidence and know otherwise
18 that Mr. Milgaard's name would have come up on
19 approximately March 2nd. Is it possible that you
09:01 20 provided David Milgaard's name to the girls on
21 this occasion?
22 A It could be a possibility.
23 Q That's something you don't recall?
24 A I do not recall.
09:01 25 Q Okay. I turn your attention next to an



1 investigation report dated April 15th, it's
2 document ID 106659, again another one of your
3 reports, and perhaps we could focus on the full
4 upper portion of the page, and again if you'll
09:02 5 bear with me I'll read this to you, Mr. Reid:

6 "On April 15/69 Mrs. Miller called
7 personally at the Det. Dept. and with
8 her at this time was her daughter, Peggy
9 Miller who works at the Delisle Hotel
09:02 10 and Cafe in Delisle, Sask. A statement
11 at this time was received from Peggy
12 Miller, who is a sister of the deceased,
13 Gail, who advises that she recalled
14 after being interviewed by the police in
09:02 15 Delisle, that she recalls some letters
16 that she had received from her sister,
17 Gail when she was training at Weyburn,
18 Sask. often mentioning her boyfriend
19 that she was going steady with in Swift
09:02 20 Current by the name of Dave Milgaard and
21 that this person was from Regina and
22 that he used to go to Swift Current
23 every week end to see her sister. She
24 advises also that when Gail was home
09:02 25 twice from Swift Current that she



1 mentioned this person to her personally
2 and it was of her opinion that Gail
3 thought this Milgaard person was a real
4 nice guy and apparently had no problems
09:03 5 at all with him. Peggy Miller at this
6 time has never seen this person and that
7 Gail had never brought him to her home
8 in Perdue and to her knowledge this
9 person had never contacted or visited
09:03 10 Gail at her residence in this city.

11 Peggy Miller advises that she
12 believes that she still has in her
13 possession these letters from Gail and
14 also Mrs. Miller advised that she would
09:03 15 check further as to letters that she had
16 received from her daughter and if any of
17 these letters are obtainable, she will
18 have them into our possession at the
19 Det. Dept. on Thursday of this week, if
09:03 20 possible."

21 Do you recall receiving this information from
22 Peggy Miller, Mr. Reid?

23 A No, I don't.

24 Q Any recollection of Peggy Miller and her mother
09:03 25 visiting with you at the police station?



1 A I have no memory of it at all.

2 Q Do you have any memory of taking a statement from
3 Peggy Miller at all?

4 A No, I don't.

09:03 5 Q Do you have any reason, though, to dispute the
6 accuracy of what's set out in this report as
7 information that you received at that time?

8 A That is my report. That is the information and
9 it's true.

09:04 10 Q And you don't recall any follow-up relative to
11 some information of this nature; for example,
12 receiving letters as mentioned in the second
13 paragraph or anything of that nature?

14 A There was nothing received.

09:04 15 Q Maybe we'll take a look at the statement that was
16 taken from Peggy Miller on this occasion, if we
17 can turn, please, to document 006327. I'll let
18 you take a look at that, Mr. Reid. You and I have
19 had a chance to look at this statement previously.
09:04 20 Is that your handwriting on this particular
21 statement?

22 A I'm afraid so.

23 Q Okay. And if we look at the second page, please,
24 and that's your signature at the bottom left-hand
09:05 25 corner?



1 A Yes.

2 Q And the statement I believe is largely summarized
3 in the report that we just read, and again I'll
4 ask you again, Mr. Reid, you have no recollection
09:05 5 of taking this statement from Ms. Miller?

6 A None whatsoever, sir.

7 Q And being that as we understand Mr. Milgaard's
8 name would have surfaced independently from this
9 source by this point in time, do you have any
09:05 10 recollection of discussions had about receiving
11 information of this nature with other members of
12 the force?

13 A No, sir.

14 Q And you'll be aware, Mr. Reid, that Ms. Miller has
09:05 15 previously testified at this Commission of Inquiry
16 and I want to draw your attention to some of that
17 testimony and then receive a comment on some of
18 the information that we received from Ms. Miller,
19 and if we could turn, please, to the testimony,
09:05 20 and I believe the date was April 13th, 2005, page
21 7676. Perhaps we can --

22 A I can't read that.

23 Q We'll get it blown up and I'll read it to you, Mr.
24 Reid.

09:06 25 A Good.



1 Q Again, this is testimony given by Ms. Miller at
2 this Commission of Inquiry recently. Mr. Hodson,
3 Commission Counsel, was examining Ms. Miller, it
4 states as follows:

09:06 5 "If I can pause there and just go back.
6 Do you recall giving a statement to the
7 police in April of 1969?

8 A No, I do not.

9 Q Do you recall going to the police
09:06 10 station?

11 A No, I do not.

12 Q With your mother?

13 A No, I don't.

14 Q At this time, in April of 1969, I mean,
09:06 15 did you know David Milgaard?

16 A No, I did not.

17 Q And did you tell the police that Gail
18 was dating David Milgaard?

19 A No, I did not.

09:07 20 Q Now, do you have any explanation, Ms.
21 Morrow, as to how, and we've just read
22 through this statement, how Mr. Milgaard
23 or how it is that you are reported to
24 say that in your statement?

09:07 25 A I don't recall. I just don't know



1 how.

2 Q At the time in mid April is it possible
3 that you would have been aware that Mr.
4 Milgaard was a suspect the police were
09:07 5 looking at?

6 A I do remember -- I don't know what
7 date it was, but I do remember asking
8 the police if they had any suspects or
9 how the investigation was going and if
09:07 10 they had anybody in mind and they said
11 yes, we do, but we can't say very
12 much. Then he asked me if I knew
13 David Milgaard and I said no and they
14 said, did Gail know him, and I said
09:07 15 no.

16 Q Do you remember who this officer was?

17 A No, I do not.

18 Q And do you know when this was roughly?

19 A Not to my mind, no. I just don't
09:07 20 remember.

21 Q And do you recall where this discussion
22 took place?

23 A It probably was in Delisle.

24 Q Now, this statement also ... it does
09:08 25 appear to be the signature of Reid.



1 Does Officer Reid, does that name sound
2 familiar at all to you?

3 A Not -- no.

4 Q That's fine. Now, this statement also
09:08 5 talks about letters that you received
6 from Gail. Do you have any recollection
7 of --

8 A I do not have any recollection of ever
9 receiving any letters from Gail.

09:08 10 Q And this talks about checking for
11 letters after or your mother checking.
12 Do you remember any of that?

13 A No, I don't.

14 Q Did you ever discuss this with your
09:08 15 mother?

16 A I -- when the two police officers from
17 Regina came up and they were asking me
18 about this statement and I told them
19 exactly, no, I don't remember ever
09:08 20 receiving a letter from Gail, and
21 after they left I had phoned mom, read
22 the statement that I was supposed to
23 have said and I said, mom, do you
24 remember taking me into Saskatoon, and
09:09 25 she said no.



1 Q And when you say the officers from
2 Regina, was that the RCMP in 1993?

3 A Yes, it was.

4 Q And just if we can go to the next page,
09:09 5 the last page of that statement, just
6 ends off saying:

7 "I believe I still have these letters at
8 home in which Gail referred to this Dave
9 Milgaard. This is all I know about this
09:09 10 person."

11 And again you have no recollection of
12 any of those letters?

13 A No, I don't."

14 If we could move forward to page 7693, please, if
09:09 15 we can go to the top of the page and I'll
16 continue reading to you, Mr. Reid.

17 "Q Okay, no, I appreciate that. I --
18 let me try my question again. What I am
19 trying to find out is when did you --
09:09 20 let's go after April 15th, 1969, after
21 the conviction of Mr. Milgaard, when did
22 you realize that there was a statement
23 that existed with your signature that
24 says Gail knew David Milgaard, when did
09:10 25 you learn that that statement existed?



1 A Probably 1990.

2 Q Okay. And that's when Sergeant Pearson
3 told you?

4 A Yes.

09:10 5 Q Okay. And what was your reaction at the
6 time; do you recall?

7 A Stunned.

8 Q I --

9 A I just did not recall.

09:10 10 Q Now he goes on to say that Peggy Miller
11 denies ever providing that information
12 to the police; is that correct?

13 A That's right.

14 Q And did you get a, it says a statement
09:10 15 is on file and that I have requested of
16 Peggy Miller to provide me with samples
17 of her signature; do you remember if you
18 did that?

19 A I did not do that.

09:10 20 Q And Sergeant Pearson says here:
21 "There is a good possibility that Peggy
22 Miller, through time, has completely
23 forgotten about the detail provided in
24 the statement."

09:10 25 Is that the case?



1 A I just, I just don't recall

2 Q It says here:

3 "Peggy is quite adamant that she never
4 provided the information that I have
09:10 5 referred to."

6 And then it goes on to say that time may
7 have faded the memory; and is that
8 possible?

9 A It could have been.

09:11 10 Q I guess what I'm trying to understand,
11 is it a case of -- you are saying here
12 you are adamant that you didn't tell
13 them that, and what Sergeant Pearson is
14 stating here is, well, maybe you did
09:11 15 tell the police that and thought that,
16 you just forgot?

17 A I just don't -- do not recall ever,
18 ever doing -- making that statement.

19 Q Okay. And then it goes on to say that:
09:11 20 "... she may in fact be afraid to
21 confirm this knowledge for fear of
22 becoming involved in a highly publicized
23 criminal case."

24 And is that -- was that the case at the
09:11 25 time?



1 A No. I wouldn't have been scared to."
2 I guess I'll ask you again referring back to the
3 statement, Mr. Reid, is it possible that Peggy
4 Miller did not provide the information to you
09:11 5 noted in the statement?

6 A She was the one that provided me with the
7 information.

8 Q And if we accept that the signature is Peggy
9 Miller, Peggy Miller's, is it possible that you
09:12 10 had Peggy sign a statement that she had not
11 reviewed and confirmed as accurate?

12 A I do not believe that at all. After the statement
13 was taken, it was my policy to turn the statement
14 over to them and ask them to read it or review it
09:12 15 and if it's correct, to sign it if they wish.

16 Q And you've previously described for us your
17 practice in terms of taking a statement. Is that
18 the practice that would have been employed on this
19 occasion?

09:12 20 A Yes, sir.

21 Q And you are quite certain then that the
22 information noted in the statement was received
23 from Peggy Miller as noted?

24 A Positive.

09:12 25 Q Mr. Reid, did you play any role in the decision to



1 pursue David Milgaard as a suspect in the murder
2 of Gail Miller?

3 A Not to my knowledge.

09:12 4 Q Did you have any direct involvement with Albert
5 Cadrain, Ron Wilson or Nichol John?

6 A No, sir.

7 Q Do you recall the attendance of Art Roberts in
8 Saskatoon from Calgary?

9 A No, I don't.

09:13 10 Q Did you play any role in the decision to charge
11 David Milgaard with the murder of Gail Miller?

12 A No, sir.

13 Q Did you have a belief at that time about David
14 Milgaard's responsibility for the murder of Gail
09:13 15 Miller?

16 A That's a hard question. I really don't know how
17 to answer that one way or the other. I would say
18 no, I wouldn't know.

19 Q You don't recall having a position on that in 1969
09:13 20 at the time?

21 A No, not that I can recall.

22 Q And I understand, Mr. Reid, that you testified at
23 the preliminary hearing and the trial of David
24 Milgaard?

09:13 25 A Yes, sir.



1 Q And you recall that?

2 A Vaguely.

3 Q Now, I'll review with you some short portions of
4 your testimony at those proceedings and perhaps we
09:13 5 can first turn to the transcript of your testimony
6 at the preliminary hearing, it's document ID
7 071116, if we could turn to page 071132, please,
8 please focus on that portion of the page. Again,
9 Mr. Reid, I'll read this to you.

09:14 10 "Q Did you examine the area along there for
11 foot marks or anything like that when
12 you and Mr. Parker were there at the
13 scene?

14 A Yes, as far as I could see, there was
09:14 15 no distinguishable foot marks of
16 characteristic value.

17 Q I see. You have done some work in
18 identification?

19 A No, I haven't.

09:14 20 Q I see. You have never worked in that
21 department?

22 A No."

23 I apologize, Mr. Reid, this is during the
24 cross-examination by Mr. Tallis, Mr. Milgaard's
09:15 25 counsel. Continuing:



1 "Q But when you were there you couldn't
2 see any significant foot marks to a lay
3 person?

4 A Not to my knowledge.

09:15 5 Q But naturally this is one of the things
6 that you would look for and if there
7 would have been anything that appeared
8 to be clearly definable, you would have
9 mentioned it to the identification
09:15 10 officers?

11 A Definitely, yes."

12 And this would have been accurate and true
13 information that you were providing, Mr. Reid?

14 A Yes, sir.

09:15 15 Q To the best of your recollection at that time?

16 A Yes.

17 Q I think we can move on to the transcript from your
18 trial testimony. If we can turn, please, to
19 document ID 177274; if we could turn, please, to
09:15 20 page 177279. Again, Mr. Reid, this is during the
21 cross-examination that was being conducted by Mr.
22 Milgaard's counsel, Mr. Tallis, and I'll read to
23 you again:

24 "Q And referring to this sketch P-1 I
09:16 25 believe that you in particular had



1 occasion to walk from the intersection
2 of the lane along the easterly portion
3 of the east-west alley?

4 A That is correct, sir."

09:16 5 I'm going to move down a little bit to this
6 portion here:

7 "Q And from what you saw of this particular
8 area it was clearly open for east-west
9 vehicle traffic?

09:16 10 A Yes.

11 Q In other words, the snow was well packed
12 as a result of having been travelled on
13 for some time?

14 A Yes, appeared to be a well travelled
09:16 15 lane.

16 Q And from what you observed of it there
17 was no indication that it was the type
18 of lane where a person would get stuck
19 or anything like that if you drove in
09:16 20 the usual travelled portion of it?

21 A That is correct.

22 Q In other words, you don't see why any
23 vehicle would get stuck there if you
24 followed the clear path that one could
09:17 25 see?



1 A Yes."

2 And, again, that would have been true and
3 accurate information that you provided on that
4 occasion, Mr. Reid?

09:17 5 A Yes sir.

6 Q I'm just going to continue reading forward from
7 there:

8 "Q And as I understand it you looked around
9 the immediate area of the body?

09:17 10 A Yes sir.

11 Q And is it fair to say that there was
12 what appeared to be spots of blood close
13 to the body?

14 A Close to the body, yes sir.

09:17 15 Q But then you also checked north of the
16 body?

17 A Yes I did.

18 Q And there was no sign of blood or
19 anything like that in the snow north of
09:17 20 the body?

21 A No.

22 Q And you also checked south of the body -
23 south of point E?

24 A Through the T, yes.

09:17 25 Q Yes; and there was no sign of blood or



1 anything of that nature?

2 A I didn't see any.

3 Q And then when you were in the east-west
4 alley you did not see any sign of blood?

09:18 5 A I didn't go down the west portion of
6 that east-west lane.

7 Q Well then, insofar as the east portion
8 of that alley is concerned?

9 A At the time I walked along there I
09:18 10 didn't observe any blood.

11 Q No; and I take it that you people did
12 everything you could to preserve the
13 scene until the Ident. people got there?

14 A That's correct, sir."

09:18 15 And again, Mr. Reid, that would be true and
16 accurate information that you provided on that
17 occasion to the best of your recollection?

18 A Yes, sir.

19 Q Mr. Reid, I'm going to move forward in time, we
09:18 20 know that you discussed matters with the RCMP in
21 1993 during an investigation that they were
22 conducting, and I want you to consider for a
23 moment the interim period from Mr. Milgaard's
24 conviction until 1993; do you recall having any
09:18 25 dealings with anybody, any authority, in relation



1 to the Milgaard matter?

2 A Are you talking about the visit from the RCMP at
3 my residence?

4 Q I -- we'll talk about that in a moment, but I'm
09:19 5 actually talking about prior to that. Following
6 1970 but prior to 1993, during those two decades,
7 do you recall having any contact with anybody in
8 relation to the Milgaard matter?

9 A No, sir.

09:19 10 Q And do you remember meeting with RCMP officers in
11 1993?

12 A Vaguely, yes.

13 Q And they visited with you at your home?

14 A Correct.

09:19 15 Q I'm going to show you a couple of continuation
16 reports arising from those meetings, and these
17 would be notes taken by the RCMP officers relating
18 to the information that they gathered from you
19 during those meetings. And, again, you and I have
09:19 20 had a chance to briefly look at these but I would
21 first like you to look at document ID 034555.
22 This is a report, again, respecting the Peggy
23 Miller information that we had talked about
24 earlier. It appears to be a March 2nd, 1993 date,
09:20 25 this is the handwriting of one of the RCMP



1 officers, and again it would be notes he was
2 taking at that time following his meeting with
3 you, Mr. Reid, on that occasion. I'm going to
4 read this document to you, if we could perhaps
09:20 5 start at the top the RCMP officer notes:

6 "Attended and spoke to George
7 Reid of 106 Swan Crescent, Saskatoon.
8 He advised that he was on the Saskatoon
9 PD force for 35 years and retired some
09:20 10 5-6 years ago.

11 Reid was very open and
12 forthright with myself and Cpl. Gagne.
13 He advised that sometime ago he had
14 gotten rid of his notebooks and could
09:20 15 only recall certain aspects of the
16 Miller murder.

17 He was shown statement taken on
18 69 APR 15. He advised that the
19 statement was in his handwriting and
09:20 20 contained his signature.

21 He does not recall the incident
22 and does not recall Peggy Miller at all,
23 due to the length of time that has
24 elapsed.

09:21 25 Reid advised statement of



1 Miller must have been taken by him as it
2 is in his handwriting and contains
3 signature."

09:21 4 And this would be an accurate account of
5 information that you provided to the RCMP, then,
6 in 1993?

7 A Yes sir.

8 Q Similar to what you have already advised us today
9 with respect to the Peggy Miller statement?

09:21 10 A Correct.

11 Q Go to another set of notes relating to a meeting,
12 it appears that took place perhaps on the next day
13 with the RCMP officers, Mr. Reid. If we could go
14 to document 034569, I'm not sure exactly what the
09:21 15 date is, it's tough to read this one, although
16 someone has put on March 3rd. Could be, could be
17 accurate. And I'll again read just portions of
18 this to you, Mr. Reid, and perhaps just get your
19 comment again. This was the notes taken by one of
09:22 20 the RCMP officers following the meeting with you.
21 Perhaps we will start at the second paragraph
22 here, it states:

23 "Reid advised that he had
24 very little to do with the Miller
09:22 25 investigation."



1 And would that have been your recall as of 1993,
2 Mr. Reid?

3 A At that time, but from what the -- I know now I
4 sure blew that one.

09:22 5 Q And but, again, in 1993 your recollection was that
6 you had very little involvement in the
7 investigation?

8 A Yes sir.

9 Q And would it be fair to say that, even prior to
09:22 10 meeting with myself in relation to this matter,
11 that your best recollection was that you had very
12 little to do with the investigation?

13 A That's correct, sir.

14 Q Read forward in the report with that paragraph:
09:22 15 "Reid also advised that the
16 city PD was not under much pressure to
17 solve this murder."

18 I realize that that's a very short comment and a
19 summary, obviously, of some of the discussion,
09:23 20 perhaps, that took place; is that something that
21 you would recall having said to the RCMP officers
22 in 1993?

23 A Yes, I don't recall that at all.

24 Q And is it possible, perhaps, that you had left
09:23 25 that impression by something that you had said to



1 them?

2 A Quite possible, yes.

3 Q You don't have any recollection, though, you can't
4 inform us further in terms of where this comment,
09:23 5 though, may have come from?

6 A No, sir, I can't.

7 Q Do you have a memory, going back to 1969, in terms
8 of how the force was viewing this particular
9 investigation?

09:23 10 A They were viewing it very seriously.

11 Q Okay. I'll read to the next paragraph, it states:

12 "Reid also advised that he
13 felt positive that Milgaard was guilty."

14 Do you remember providing that information to the
09:24 15 RCMP in 1993?

16 A No, sir.

17 Q Okay. Do you take issue with the fact that that
18 information was provided, or at least that it's
19 noted that that information was provided?

09:24 20 A No, I don't.

21 Q So perhaps you may have left that impression --

22 A I may have, yes.

23 Q -- with the RCMP officer?

24 A Yes.

09:24 25 Q I'll read forward:



1 "Reid also advised that the
2 reason Ed Karst likely went to Winnipeg
3 was because he felt Karst was one of the
4 top investigators at the time.

09:24 5 Reid also advised that Ed Karst
6 was not a heavy handed type of
7 policeman."

8 And would that be an accurate account of
9 information that you would have provided to the
09:24 10 RCMP respecting Eddie Karst in 1993, Mr. Reid?

11 A Yes, he was one of our top -- in my opinion, one
12 of our top investigators.

13 Q And it talks about Mr. Karst's trip to Winnipeg,
14 which we've heard about from other documents and
09:25 15 witnesses, and stepping away from this report for
16 a moment; do you have a recollection, yourself, of
17 Mr. Karst's attendance in Winnipeg with Inspector
18 Nordstrom relating to Larry Fisher, and that would
19 have been in the fall of 1970?

09:25 20 A No, sir.

21 Q You have no recollection of that matter?

22 A Didn't know that they even went.

23 Q Okay. And I should have touched on it with you
24 earlier, Mr. Reid, but we should also confirm it.
09:25 25 If I can cast your mind for a moment back to the



1 investigation in 1969, we've heard in evidence
2 that at the time of the Gail Miller murder there
3 were two outstanding rapes and one outstanding
4 indecent assault that some of the witnesses and
09:25 5 documents have suggested were being considered in
6 the context of the Miller murder investigation; do
7 you have recollection of considering those
8 offences during that investigation?

9 A No, sir.

09:25 10 Q Do you have any recollection of those offences at
11 all?

12 A None whatsoever.

13 Q Okay. One further continuation report that I want
14 to show to you, Mr. Reid, relating to your
09:26 15 meetings with the RCMP in 1993. If we could go to
16 document 050943, please, and this one is -- the
17 pages are in reverse order so if we could please
18 go to the last page, 050946, and I'll start
19 reading to you, Mr. Reid, at the bottom of the
09:26 20 page. Looks like this meeting took place a little
21 bit later in 1993, June 9th; do you recall more
22 than one meeting with the RCMP in 1993?

23 A No, I don't, I thought it was just one meeting but
24 I could be wrong.

09:26 25 Q Okay. Read that to you:



1 "Sgt Dressler and I patrolled
2 to the Reid residence. We spoke in
3 general terms then began to discuss the
4 summary. He reviewed it carefully but
09:27 5 stated he did not prepare it."

6 I'll pause there for a moment, Mr. Reid, and it
7 appears that the RCMP officers have shown you a
8 document on this occasion; do you remember a
9 meeting with the RCMP where some documents were
09:27 10 shown to you?

11 A Yes sir.

12 Q And maybe what I could do is bring up what I
13 believe is the document that they are referring
14 to, and we've discussed it previously in the
09:27 15 hearing, if we could bring up document 051284
16 please. And perhaps if we could move to the fifth
17 page, we'll turn to this page first, Mr. Reid. We
18 note the Summary notation at the top left-hand
19 corner, and we can take a look at the other pages,
09:28 20 but looking at that page in particular; does that
21 look like a document that you reviewed with the
22 RCMP in 1993?

23 A I can't say that it -- that I recall it at all.

24 Q Okay. And maybe just for the sake of review, if
09:28 25 we could go back to page 1, this is the first page



1 of the document. And we can't tell necessarily
2 from the notes that these pages were shown to you,
3 Mr. Reid, but I'll just allow you to take a look
4 at that. You see page references on the left-hand
09:28 5 side, maybe if we could go to page 2 as well,
6 please, page 3 and page 4; does that document look
7 familiar at all to you, Mr. Reid?

8 A No, sir.

9 Q Okay. I'll continue reading from the report, if
09:29 10 we could go back to the continuation report,
11 please. I take it you don't dispute, Mr. Reid,
12 that you may have reviewed a document of that
13 nature with the RCMP?

14 A If that's what they have said, I believe what they
09:29 15 say.

16 Q Okay. And if we could go to the next page,
17 please? Again, this one moves backwards, I think
18 that's right. Good. Just picking up there on the
19 document, again I'll read to you, Mr. Reid:

09:29 20 "He looked at it ...",
21 the summary:

22 "... more carefully and looked at some
23 other documents and felt that perhaps
24 Ray Mackie may have authored it. He
09:29 25 recalled that Ray Mackie had the task of



1 putting the file together towards the
2 end of the investigation."

3 Do you recall providing information of that
4 nature to the RCMP, Mr. Reid?

09:30 5 A No, sir.

6 Q And do you have any recollection today, and I
7 think we touched on this earlier, about Ray
8 Mackie's involvement in the investigation?

9 A In what way, sir?

09:30 10 Q Any, in any way at all; do you remember Ray Mackie
11 having a role in the investigation of the murder
12 of Gail Miller?

13 A He was one of the officers, yes.

14 Q And what about this particular piece of
09:30 15 information where the RCMP officer reports that
16 you seem to recall Ray Mackie putting the file
17 together towards the end of the investigation; is
18 that something that you remember, Mr. Reid?

19 A That was my opinion of -- that he did, yes.

09:30 20 Q And can you tell us what that was based on?

21 A No, I can't, because I don't have a reason for it
22 right now.

23 Q And I just want to be certain, though, that is a
24 memory that you had, though, for whatever reason;
09:30 25 that Ray Mackie had the responsibility of putting



1 the file together towards the end of the
2 investigation?

3 A Yes.

4 Q And do you recall anything else in that respect?

09:31 5 A No, sir.

6 Q I'll read forward from there in the document:

7 "He stated that by comparing some
8 numbers written in statements taken by
9 Mackie and numbers that appear
09:31 10 throughout the file in the top right
11 hand corner, that it's possible Mackie
12 did the numbering. He could not be
13 positive but the numbering certainly
14 appeared similar."

09:31 15 And I think I'm aware of the exercise that the
16 RCMP officers likely took you through here, Mr.
17 Reid, and I don't think we need to duplicate it,
18 but do you have a recollection of reviewing pages
19 and numbers, handwritten numbers in particular,
09:31 20 and making a comment about those numbers
21 appearing similar to Mr. Mackie's handwriting?

22 A Very, very faintly.

23 Q Okay. And you don't dispute, though, that that
24 exercise took place with the RCMP in 1993?

09:32 25 A No, I believe their report is correct.



1 Q And I take it that you would have some familiarity
2 of Mr. Reid's (sic) writing from the time period
3 that you worked with him; would that be fair
4 comment?

09:32 5 A Sergeant Mackie's writing?

6 Q I'm sorry, Mr. Reid; yes, Mr. Mackie's writing?

7 A Umm, yes, I have seen his writing.

8 Q Maybe I'll just continue reading on in the
9 document. If we could go down to the bottom of
09:32 10 the page, and we're still talking about the
11 summary, and the RCMP officer notes:

12 "He was then shown the sketch
13 on the back of the summary and asked if
14 he had ever seen it before or who may
09:32 15 have prepared it. He said he did not
16 prepare it and really couldn't say who
17 did. He was asked to look at the
18 handwriting on the sketch to see if he
19 recognized it. Again he said it wasn't
09:32 20 his but after closer examination and
21 some thought he said it looked similar
22 to Joe Penkala's handwriting. Of course
23 he didn't know for sure."

24 And perhaps, I think I know the page that
09:33 25 officers are referring to, if we could go back to



1 the summary, 051284, and if we could go to the
2 last page of that document, please; does that
3 particular drawing look familiar at all to you,
4 Mr. Reid?

09:33 5 A No, sir.

6 Q Do you recall reviewing a drawing of that nature
7 with the RCMP in 1993?

8 A No I don't.

9 Q And, when you look at the handwriting, is that
09:33 10 handwriting that you would recognize on that
11 document?

12 A I may have at that time, which would only be an
13 opinion, though.

14 Q Okay. And so you don't dispute the fact that you
09:33 15 may have speculated that that handwriting was
16 Lieutenant Penkala's handwriting?

17 A I won't, I won't deny it.

18 Q Okay. Just one more portion of that report that I
19 want to show to you, Mr. Reid, if we could go back
09:34 20 to the report, please, and it's this paragraph
21 here. The RCMP officer notes:

22 "- George Reid was responsible for
23 reading the file during some point in
24 the investigation."

09:34 25 And I think you made some reference to that



1 previously with us, Mr. Reid; is that a
2 recollection that you have?

3 A Yes. What I was reading it for, I do not recall.

4 Q And perhaps you already did this, so forgive me if
09:34 5 I'm asking you to do it again, but can you tell us
6 what the extent of that recollection is?

7 A Well like I stated before, whether I was looking
8 for some report that I had left or trying to find
9 out certain information coming in, whether that
09:34 10 information was investigated and reported on.

11 Q So your best recollection is it was a discrete
12 review, if I can put that it way?

13 A That would be a good way to put it, yes.

14 Q It wasn't a comprehensive review of the entire
09:35 15 file for coordination purposes?

16 A No, sir.

17 Q All right. I think those are all the portions of
18 the RCMP interview notes that I wanted to show
19 you, Mr. Reid. Am I correct that your next
09:35 20 involvement in this matter would have been
21 testifying at the trial of Larry Fisher?

22 A Yes I did.

23 Q I should say at the preliminary hearing and trial.
24 I would like to just show you portions of that
09:35 25 testimony and, again, ask for your comment. And



1 perhaps we will look at the preliminary hearing
2 transcript first, that's document ID 315134, and
3 if we could turn please to page 315145. This is
4 during the cross-examination conducted by
09:36 5 Mr. Fisher's counsel, Mr. Beresh. I'll start
6 reading to you here, Mr. Reid, it states as
7 follows:

8 "Q Now we understand that prior to your
9 arrival there had been other people in
09:36 10 the area, including two men who were
11 there?"

12 And I believe they are talking about the scene
13 here, Mr. Reid:

14 "A Yes.

09:36 15 Q That's your recollection?

16 A Yes.

17 Q Okay. It looked as though people may
18 have tramped around the body; is that
19 correct?

09:36 20 A It was trampled in this area, yes.

21 Q You're talking about between the fence
22 and the body?

23 A Yes.

24 Q Okay. And I take it the snow that
09:36 25 morning was that sort of is crystallly



1 snow, where you might step on it and it
2 would sort of collapse around your foot?

3 A Mhmm.

4 Q Yes?

09:36 5 A Yes.

6 Q And the area between the body and the
7 fence appeared to be that sort of
8 situation?

9 A Yes. (Inaudible) snow, yeah."

09:37 10 Would that be accurate and true information that
11 you provided on that occasion, Mr. Reid, --

12 A Yes.

13 Q -- to the best of your recollection?

14 A Yes sir.

09:37 15 Q I'm going to move forward to the transcript of
16 your trial testimony. If we could move please to
17 document ID 313475 and page 313485, please, if we
18 could stop -- start at the bottom of the page.
19 Again, Mr. Reid, I'll read a portion of this to
09:37 20 you:

21 "Q Now, help me with this."

22 And, again, this is during the cross-examination
23 by Mr. Fisher's counsel, Mr. Beresh:

24 "Q Now, help me with this. My experience
09:37 25 is that on a cold morning like that



1 sound carries better than when it's
2 warmer. Is that your experience?

3 A You can hear things quite far away,
4 yes.

09:38 5 Q Yes. But in your investigation and you
6 haven't been asked this, you were
7 involved from January 31 until sometime
8 about the spring, I understand?

9 A I really don't know. You may be
09:38 10 right.

11 Q It was a fairly long period of time, it
12 wasn't just the matter of a few days?

13 A I think a fair amount of time, yes.

14 Q Okay. In that period of time, which was
09:38 15 months, you never received one call from
16 people in the neighbourhood saying they
17 heard a scream or shout?

18 A That's true.

19 Q I see.

09:38 20 A In fact, I believe that they made door
21 to door calls up in that area.

22 Q Right. I was going to ask you that,
23 sir, thank you. Did you -- were you
24 involved in that?

09:38 25 A I really can't answer that. I don't



1 know. I don't think so. I think we
2 sent somebody else. In fact, it's --
3 if I remember correctly they were
4 complaining that when we sent
09:38 5 plainclothes they had trouble, that
6 people would not open their doors. So
7 we had -- I think they had to send a
8 uniform officer with them.

9 Q Okay. Fair enough. But a door to door
09:39 10 investigation did occur?

11 A I'm positive that it happened.

12 Q Okay. And to your knowledge never a
13 report of anybody having heard a scream
14 or a shout the morning of January 31,
09:39 15 1969?

16 A Not to my knowledge."

17 And again, Mr. Reid, that would be true and
18 accurate information that you provided on this
19 occasion to the best of your recollection?

09:39 20 A Yes.

21 Q One further portion I want to show to you, if we
22 could turn, please, to page 313488. And, again,
23 this is Mr. Beresh's cross-examination continuing.
24 Look at that portion of the page, please, I'll
09:39 25 read it to you:



1 "Q Okay. If we look at the photographs,
2 particularly photograph number 1, and
3 judging by the car that is in it, this
4 person is off the travelled portion of
09:39 5 the road?

6 A Yeah.

7 Q That is, a car could drive by without
8 touching the body?

9 A True.

09:40 10 Q Okay. So a car could drive by, the body
11 could be thrown out, and drive away, and
12 this is what the scene would look like?
13 That is, you wouldn't drive over the
14 person?

09:40 15 A That is right, yeah. You wouldn't
16 drive over it."

17 And that was true and accurate information to the
18 best of your recollection, Mr. Reid?

19 A Yes sir.

09:40 20 Q Just a couple of further questions for you, Mr.
21 Reid, and then I will be finished. One of the
22 mandates of this Commission of Inquiry is to
23 inquire into the conduct of the investigation into
24 the death of Gail Miller. As you know, Larry
09:40 25 Fisher has been convicted of the rape and murder



1 of Gail Miller and the Government of Saskatchewan
2 has exonerated David Milgaard and declared him to
3 be factually innocent of this crime. If you look
4 back at your role, Mr. Reid, in the investigation
09:40 5 into the death of Gail Miller, is there anything
6 that you believe you could have or should have
7 done differently?

8 A Not to my knowledge.

9 Q And if you look back at the original police
09:40 10 investigation in its entirety, not just your role,
11 and based upon your observations or personal
12 knowledge of the investigation, what do you think
13 could have or should have been done differently?

14 A Well, my opinion is that it was a thorough
09:41 15 investigation. We covered a lot of territory.

16 Q And that would be your position for this
17 Commission of Inquiry, Mr. Reid?

18 A Yes.

19 Q Thank you, Mr. Reid, those are all the questions
09:41 20 that I have. My Friends will likely have some
21 questions for you.

22 A Thank you.

23 MR. HODSON: Who wishes to cross-examine?
24 Rick, Chris? I think there is a no, no, no.

09:41 25 MR. WOLCH: Not at this time.



1 MR. ELSON: Just a couple.

2 MR. HODSON: A couple? Fine. Chris?

3 Okay. I think there is Mr. Elson and perhaps
4 Mr. Wolch, is that --

09:42 5 MR. ELSON: I only have a very few.

6 COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: Okay, Mr. Elson.

7 **BY MR. ELSON:**

8 **Q** Mr. Reid, my name is Richard Elson, and I
9 represent the Saskatoon Police Service in this
10 Inquiry.

09:42

11 At the outset, for the record,
12 you and I have not spoken before your testimony
13 before this Commission of Inquiry?

14 **A** That is correct.

09:42 15 **Q** And, indeed, I understand that you, along with
16 other former officers of the Saskatoon Police
17 Service, would have received a letter from my law
18 firm, McKercher McKercher & Whitmore, advising
19 that in this Inquiry we ought not to be seen and
20 were not to be seen as representing any of the
21 former officers in this Inquiry; do you recall
22 receiving that letter?

09:42

23 **A** I received it, sir.

24 **Q** Now I just have a few questions. If we could go
09:42 25 back to the 1960s, whenever there was a major



1 crime such as the Gail Miller murder, would there
2 be frequent meetings or regular meetings had with
3 detectives of rank such as yourself, detective
4 sergeant at the time, in which there would be
09:43 5 reviews of whatever information had been received
6 in the investigation since the last meeting;
7 anything of that nature?

8 A Yes, we had meetings.

9 Q All right. In the Gail Miller case do you recall
09:43 10 whether or not you would be specifically in
11 attendance at the meetings to discuss the status
12 of the Gail Miller investigation from time to
13 time?

14 A I believe I was.

09:43 15 Q All right.

16 A Along with other members.

17 Q And that was going to be my next question; do you
18 recall who the other members of the detective unit
19 were who would be involved in those regular or
09:43 20 semi-regular meetings?

21 A No, I don't.

22 Q Umm, if I were to suggest to you that Detective
23 Mackie would have been involved in those meetings,
24 do you have any recollection of that?

09:43 25 A I imagine he would have been.



1 Q And do you have any recollection of being advised,
2 in any of those meetings, of any information
3 received from an Albert Cadrain in early March of
4 1969?

09:44 5 A I do not recall that at all.

6 Q The name Albert (Shorty) Cadrain; does that mean
7 anything to you today, for example?

8 A Not really.

9 Q Did it mean anything to you, to the best of your
09:44 10 recollection, in 1969 or 1970?

11 A No.

12 Q Is it conceivable, though, that shortly after
13 David Milgaard became, if I can use the phrase, 'a
14 person of interest', that that information would
09:44 15 have been conveyed to you at one of those regular
16 or semi-regular meetings?

17 A It may have. I just don't remember.

18 Q All right. Do you recall whether or not Detective
19 Karst, for example, was involved from the
09:45 20 get-go -- and when I mean the get-go, right from
21 the date that the body was discovered, January
22 31 -- or do you recall whether or not his
23 involvement became more significant later on?

24 A I believe your latter statement would be correct.

09:45 25 Q All right. It's my understanding, and indeed the



1 evidence before this Commission has been that
2 Detective Karst really did not become involved in
3 a very significant way until Albert Cadrain had
4 provided a statement implicating David Milgaard;
09:45 5 does that refresh your recollection in any way?

6 A I believe you, you are correct.

7 Q So -- and, again, what I am trying to get at is
8 whether or not -- My Friend Mr. Hardy put to you
9 the investigation report of March 10, 1969 and I
09:45 10 believe your evidence was that you did not recall
11 your first meeting, if we can believe the
12 investigation reports or accept the investigation
13 reports, you did not recall your first meeting
14 with Peggy Miller. Is it possible that David
09:46 15 Milgaard had been -- that you had been informed
16 that David Milgaard was a person of interest as at
17 the date of that interview with Peggy Miller,
18 March 10, 1969?

19 A I just don't remember. I can't answer that.

09:46 20 Q Now the evidence before this Inquiry, from the
21 investigation reports that have been prepared
22 including the ones with your name on it, indicate
23 that you would have interacted with Peggy Miller,
24 the sister of the victim. Was there any specific
09:46 25 policy in 1969 with respect to communication with



1 a victim's family in the case of a homicide? And
2 what I am getting at is was there a dedicated
3 detective or a dedicated investigator who would be
4 responsible for liaison with a victim's family in
09:47 5 the investigation of a homicide?

6 A Not to my knowledge.

7 Q Was it -- was there any particular reason that you
8 seem to have more contact with Gail Miller's
9 family than perhaps other investigators did?

09:47 10 A Just coincidence as far as I can see.

11 Q So it was just the luck of the draw?

12 A I'm afraid so, in the right place at the wrong
13 time.

14 Q Now, was there any specific policy that you can
09:47 15 recall about any contact with a victim's family
16 insofar as the police service was concerned?

17 A I don't recall that.

18 Q Before you retired do you recall whether or not
19 there was any specific policy or any form of
09:47 20 victim support for either victims of violent
21 offences or their families?

22 A I have no information for that.

23 Q Now, Mr. Hardy asked you whether or not you were
24 aware of any information with respect to two rapes
09:48 25 and an indecent assault that had occurred in 1968



1 prior to Gail Miller's murder on January 31, 1969
2 and I believe your answer to Mr. Hardy was that
3 you have no recollection of any such
4 investigation; is that correct?

09:48 5 A I have no personal information, no.

6 Q All right. And when you say no personal
7 information, that's what I'm wanting to get at, do
8 you recall whether or not there was any -- and
9 pardon me for using the, it's probably not an
09:48 10 appropriate word, but I'll use the word anyway --
11 any gossip or any coffee chat within the police
12 service about some multiple sexual offences that
13 had occurred in 1968?

14 A I do not recall any.

09:48 15 Q Was there at that time any formal communication
16 between the morality unit and the detective unit
17 with respect to the investigation of sexual
18 assaults, rapes or indecent assaults as they were
19 described at the time?

09:49 20 A All I can say is that type of offence was
21 investigated by morality.

22 Q And --

23 A Usually we didn't bother too much with it.

24 Q Right.

09:49 25 A Unless they needed our assistance.



1 Q I'm sorry?

2 A Unless they wanted our assistance.

3 Q Would there be circumstances in which morality
4 would seek the assistance of the detective unit?

09:49 5 A Unless they were going on raids or some type.

6 Q Would there be occasions in which the detective
7 unit would seek the assistance of morality in the
8 investigation, for example, of a homicide?

9 A I imagine at times they would be asked certain
09:49 10 background information about a person.

11 Q What about a homicide that was also associated, as
12 this case was, with a rape?

13 A That I don't know.

14 Q Do you know whether or not, for example, in
09:50 15 your -- maybe if I could just use your own
16 experience outside of Gail Miller. Do you recall
17 having investigated homicides associated with rape
18 outside of the Gail Miller case during your years
19 as a detective in the Saskatoon City Police
09:50 20 Department?

21 A I can't recall any offhand.

22 Q You can't recall any offhand?

23 A No.

24 Q Just to take an example, the 1962 case involving
09:50 25 Alexandra Wiwcharuk, do you recall that case?



1 A Very vaguely.

2 Q Were you involved at all in the investigation of
3 that case?

4 A No, sir.

09:50 5 MR. ELSON: Thank you, Mr. Reid, I have no
6 further questions.

7 MR. WOLCH: I have no questions.

8 COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: No redirect? Mr.
9 Reid, thank you very much for coming.

09:50 10 A Thank you, sir.

11 COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: Thank you.

12 MR. HODSON: The next witness is Jack
13 Parker.

14 **JOHN HERMAN PARKER, sworn:**

09:51 15 **BY MR. HODSON:**

16 Q Good morning, Mr. Parker. I understand that you
17 also go by Jack Parker; is that correct?

18 A That's correct.

19 Q And if I could, Mr. Commissioner, introduce
09:52 20 Mr. Parker's counsel, Marv Henderson, who is
21 seated behind Mr. Beresh at the back who is here
22 representing Mr. Parker.

23 Mr. Parker, you presently reside
24 in Saskatoon; is that correct?

09:52 25 A I do.



1 Q And how old are you?

2 A Seventy -- coming 73 in August.

3 Q And you were previously employed as a Saskatoon
4 city police officer?

09:52 5 A I was.

6 Q And I understand that you began in 1953 and
7 retired in 1988; is that correct?

8 A That's correct.

9 Q If I could call up document 325555 and go to page
09:52 10 560, please. Mr. Parker, this is a service record
11 that we obtained from the city police service and
12 I think you've had a chance to review this; is
13 that correct?

14 A That's correct.

09:53 15 Q And can we confirm that this would accurately set
16 out your record of service with the Saskatoon City
17 Police?

18 A Yes, it does.

19 Q And if we look at a couple of dates, we see that
09:53 20 you went through the ranks of constable, morality
21 for a year in 1961, detective, morality sergeant
22 in 1965 and then if we go to June 10th, 1968 you
23 were in detectives. We know the date of the Gail
24 Miller murder is January 31, 1969 and it appears
09:53 25 about six weeks after that, on March 17th, you



1 became a morality sergeant; is that correct?

2 A That's correct.

3 Q And one other date that I'll be touching on later
4 is in 1980 relating to Linda Fisher's attendance
09:53 5 at the Saskatoon police station in 1980 and at
6 that time you would have been in the detective
7 division; is that correct?

8 A I presume I was. I can't remember all these
9 dates.

09:54 10 Q Right, okay. I think when we go to the 1980
11 report I'll come back and touch on that. If I
12 could as well just call up the organizational
13 chart, 325569, please, and again this is an
14 organizational chart from 1969 from the police
09:54 15 annual report and you've had a chance to look at
16 that, Mr. Parker?

17 A Yes, I have.

18 Q And again in 1969, at the date of Gail Miller's
19 murder, you would have been in the detective
09:54 20 division; is that right?

21 A That's correct.

22 Q And if we could just go to page 71 that has the
23 names, please, and you'll see we've added,
24 Mr. Parker, we'll enlarge that, perhaps the right
09:54 25 side there, to put some names in there, and again



1 I think you've had a chance to look at this before
2 have you?

3 A Yes, I have.

4 Q And so here we see that you were amongst a number
09:55 5 of detectives in the detective division at the
6 time?

7 A That's correct.

8 Q And there were four detective sergeants. What was
9 the role of detective sergeants? They appear to
09:55 10 be higher up in the chain. Were they supervisors?

11 A They were supervisors and they were usually
12 assigned the files that came to the detective
13 division to us as a detective.

14 Q And so when you were -- let's talk about generally
09:55 15 in 1969, as a detective would you then get files
16 assigned to you in the detective area?

17 A That's correct.

18 Q And what did it mean when you had a file assigned
19 to you?

09:55 20 A We had to investigate it.

21 Q Were you then the person responsible for directing
22 what needed to be done on that file and doing it
23 or delegating it to someone else?

24 A Well, I would be doing it myself.

09:55 25 Q And when a detective was assigned a file, did it



1 mean that that person would be responsible overall
2 for the carriage of that file?

3 A That's correct.

4 Q And then what interaction did the detectives have
09:56 5 with the detective sergeants then on the file?

6 A Well, they would interview us in regards to what's
7 going on with the file and we had to leave reports
8 in regards to it, what we were doing, and if it
9 came to a conclusion they would let us know.

09:56 10 Q So when you say left reports, and we'll talk about
11 this a bit later, you are talking investigation
12 reports?

13 A That's correct.

14 Q So if you had a file that was your assignment and
09:56 15 you prepared an investigation report, would you
16 normally give a copy to a detective sergeant?

17 A It would come through from central records.

18 Q I see.

19 A They would type them out and they would then, the
09:56 20 detective sergeant would look at them and assign
21 them.

22 Q So it's my understanding, let's take an ongoing
23 file then that has maybe 10 investigation reports,
24 it's my understanding, and please correct me if
09:57 25 I'm wrong, that a file would be kept in the



1 detective's area that would have all the reports
2 and that that file would be available for access
3 by any detective sergeant to review; is that fair?

4 A That's correct.

09:57 5 Q And so apart from having access to that file,
6 would you, just in a general case, would you also
7 give copies of the reports to detective sergeants
8 or would you rely upon the file?

9 A They would give us the copies. They would
09:57 10 initially receive them from central records.

11 Q I see.

12 A And then they would attach it to our file.

13 Q Okay. So it would go through the detective
14 sergeants first before they got on your file?

09:57 15 A That's correct.

16 Q And what generally, what did they do when they had
17 these reports?

18 A Well, they would probably read them and then
19 suggest to you what might else have to be done in
09:58 20 regards to that occurrence.

21 Q As a detective did you have some of your own
22 authority though to take whatever steps you felt
23 appropriate on an investigation?

24 A Oh, definitely.

09:58 25 Q As far as reporting, and again in 1969, we've



1 talked about detective sergeants. What about
2 Lieutenant Short, where did he fit in in your work
3 generally in 1969?

09:58 4 A Well, he was above the detective sergeants and he
5 would interview and had meetings with them or with
6 all of us and discuss what was being done on files
7 and --

09:58 8 Q So would he, would you bounce things off of
9 Lieutenant Short then on files if you wanted some
10 help or would he come and take a look at your file
11 and give you a note, this and that?

12 A Well, not necessarily. We would go to the
13 detective sergeants, there would be the detective
14 sergeant and then Short would be above him.

09:59 15 Q Mr. Parker, would Short then spend more time
16 dealing with the detective sergeants?

17 A Definitely.

18 Q Than with the detectives?

19 A That's right.

09:59 20 Q And what about Jack Wood, superintendent of
21 criminal investigations, where did he fit in as
22 far as your day-to-day work as a detective?

23 A Well, some of the things, if the lieutenant wasn't
24 handy at the time and he would be working day
09:59 25 shift all the time, we would get in touch in him



1 and advise him what was being done on a certain
2 file or whatever it is and it depends on what type
3 of file it was. Like, on this Miller file, we got
4 in touch with him directly and advised him what
09:59 5 was, what we were doing.

6 Q Let's just talk for a moment about morality. I
7 think March 17th, 1969 you became a morality
8 sergeant; is that right?

9 A Yeah, I believe so.

10:00 10 Q That's what the records suggest.

11 A Yeah, that's right.

12 Q And the morality sergeants as far as rank is
13 concerned, is that same rank as a detective?

14 A No, it was a staff, like a staff sergeant.

10:00 15 Q I see. So just generally talking 1969 as between
16 detective and morality, do you recall, was there
17 much interaction between detectives and morality
18 on files?

19 A Not really. I guess if some detective had some
10:00 20 information for us or we had some information for
21 detectives, we would talk about it, but that's
22 about it.

23 Q Would there be occasions where a detective might
24 go and do some work on a morality file and vice
10:00 25 versa?



1 A Not really, no.

2 Q What about identification, where did they fit in
3 as far as the detectives were concerned?

4 A Well, they investigated -- it depends on what type
10:01 5 of a file it was, like a break and enter or
6 whatever it is, if they had fingerprints or
7 something, they would get in touch with the
8 detective and maybe if there was a suspect or
9 something like that.

10:01 10 Q So would a detective then on an investigation, if
11 you felt you needed some ident help, you would
12 give the ident division a call and they would come
13 out and they would be a resource to you; is that
14 fair?

10:01 15 A Definitely, yeah.

16 Q Now let's go back to 1969 and prior. Can you tell
17 us just generally what type of training you may
18 have received when you started as a police
19 officer, was there any formal training or tell us
10:01 20 what happened?

21 A Well, we had I think about a week's training,
22 talks and stuff like that and given a copy of the
23 bylaws and the Vehicles Act and the Liquor Act and
24 things like that and then we had to know the city.

10:02 25 Q What about on how to investigate a file, where did



1 you, where as an officer, where did you learn how
2 to do that?

3 A From other people.

4 Q So on-the-job training?

10:02 5 A That's right.

6 Q And what about, for example, witness interviewing,
7 was there any course or training on how to
8 interview a witness, do you recall taking any of
9 that?

10:02 10 A Not at that time. Later on there was.

11 Q And when you say later, what are you talking
12 about?

13 A Years later I went on courses to Ottawa.

14 Q In the '70s, are we talking the '80s?

10:02 15 A I think my first course was in '73.

16 Q And that was in Ottawa. Was that through the
17 RCMP?

18 A That's right.

19 Q And do you recall what that was on generally?

10:02 20 A The SIT course, senior instruction technique.

21 Q Now if we could turn your attention to the Gail
22 Miller murder and just generally I understand that
23 you had direct involvement in the investigation of
24 the death of Gail Miller?

10:03 25 A Yes, I did.



1 Q And can you tell us what that involvement was?

2 A I was accompanied by Detective Sergeant Reid, we
3 got a call, I can't remember the time, I think it
4 was around seven, seven a.m. or something, that
10:03 5 there was a body in the lane.

6 Q And so I will go through some documents with you
7 in a moment, but were you and Mr. Reid the first
8 officers, police officers on the scene?

9 A Yes, we were.

10:03 10 Q And then apart from that involvement, Mr. Parker,
11 did you have subsequent involvement in the Gail
12 Miller investigation?

13 A Not really, no.

14 Q I'll go through your notes and some reports with
10:03 15 you, but -- and I believe what they show is that
16 for maybe the date of the murder and maybe a week
17 or so after you were involved in some steps. Does
18 that sound right?

19 A That's correct. I can recall one, that we checked
10:04 20 bus stops and interviewed some people.

21 Q And for the purposes of testifying before the
22 Inquiry, I understand that you've reviewed your
23 notes from your notebooks, which I'll show to you,
24 and some police reports and other earlier
10:04 25 transcripts of your evidence to assist you in



1 refreshing your memory today; is that correct?

2 A I tried to.

3 Q And are you able to recall many of the events of
4 1969?

10:04 5 A Not too many, no.

6 Q Now, you mentioned that you and Detective Sergeant
7 Reid were first at the scene. What -- just
8 generally what would have been your
9 responsibilities as the first officers at the
10:04 10 scene of what turned out to be a murder?

11 A Well, there were two other men there when we
12 arrived and a body was covered with a blue
13 blanket. I checked the body for pulse and found
14 nothing. I also noticed that her arm and hand was
10:05 15 frozen and that's about all.

16 Q You said --

17 A I didn't, we didn't move the body until ident
18 arrived.

19 Q You said there were two men when you arrived.
10:05 20 Were they -- do you remember who they were?

21 A I can't remember the name. I think one's name was
22 Murdoch.

23 Q And Michalyliuk perhaps, does that --

24 A And Michalyliuk.

10:05 25 Q Two gentlemen from the funeral home?



1 A Yeah, from Westwood Funeral Home.

2 Q And do you know if they were the ones that put the
3 blanket on the body then?

4 A I presume they were. I can't recall.

10:06 5 Q And did you take any steps then to secure or
6 preserve the area of the scene?

7 A Well, we got, notified the station to send ident
8 out, also the coroner.

9 Q Yes.

10:06 10 A And there was just no traffic there at all it was
11 so darn cold.

12 Q And I take it then when you arrived, would it be
13 fair to say, Mr. Parker, that you would have
14 concluded at the time that she had been murdered
10:06 15 based on what you observed?

16 A At first I didn't know because I didn't notice
17 anything and then I noticed that there was blood
18 and her hair was messed up and stuff like that and
19 after her clothing was disarrayed and so then I
10:06 20 came to the conclusion that she was beaten up
21 or --

22 Q And so you said you called ident out?

23 A That's right.

24 Q And then once identification arrived, would they
10:07 25 then take over the scene so to speak?



1 A I assisted them, they took pictures before she was
2 moved and then she was turned over and the
3 coroner, I asked the coroner to take her
4 temperature and then there was more pictures
10:07 5 taken. We finally found a name plate on her
6 clothing and from there then ident took over and
7 then the body was moved to St. Paul's Hospital.

8 Q Now, the fact that you and Mr. Reid were the first
9 officers on the scene, and I think you said you
10:07 10 were asked by someone at the police station to go
11 and check a body in the lane or something to that
12 effect?

13 A That's right.

14 Q That's in one of your reports?

10:08 15 A We were dispatched there.

16 Q Dispatched?

17 A Yeah.

18 Q Would that make it your investigation because you
19 were the first ones out there or what was the
10:08 20 process as to who and how these things were
21 assigned?

22 A We would investigate it and, like I said before,
23 then we got in touch with ident if we needed ident
24 and the coroner and from there on I start checking
10:08 25 for evidence to see what we could find.



1 Q So you would start your investigation right away
2 when you arrived to look for things that might be
3 of assistance?

4 A Yes, that's correct.

10:08 5 Q Now, we'll see in a moment an occurrence report,
6 and I understand the occurrence report is the
7 first investigation report prepared for a new
8 incident; is that fair?

9 A That's correct, for the occurrence.

10:08 10 Q And so then once the occurrence report is
11 prepared, that gets on the file -- just back to my
12 earlier question about who gets assigned to be in
13 charge of the investigation and when does that
14 take place?

10:09 15 A Well, the occurrence report would go to the
16 detectives.

17 Q Yes.

18 A And it would be assigned to an officer, a
19 detective.

10:09 20 Q Yes.

21 A And that would be his file and he would
22 investigate it.

23 Q So the fact that you and Reid were first on the
24 scene didn't necessarily mean that you would be
10:09 25 the ones assigned the file; is that fair?



1 A That's fair.

2 Q And I believe in this case we've heard evidence,
3 perhaps you can confirm this, that the file was
4 assigned to two detective sergeants, being Reid
10:09 5 and Mackie?

6 A I can't recall that. I don't know who it was
7 assigned to.

8 Q You were not assigned the file, sir?

9 A No, I was not.

10:09 10 Q Now, when you are on the scene, or when there's a
11 number of officers involved, Mr. Parker, is there
12 any -- does rank come into play or seniority or
13 anything of the nature as to who directs what
14 happens there?

10:10 15 A Well, it's usually the senior man that directs
16 what's happening.

17 Q And when you say senior, are you talking by years
18 of service or by rank?

19 A By rank.

10:10 20 Q And so at the scene Penkala would have been the
21 highest rank; is that right?

22 A I believe he was a lieutenant at that time. I'm
23 not sure.

24 Q And so would you say generally that the officer
10:10 25 with higher rank when there's a group of officers



1 would usually take control and give direction; is
2 that a fair statement?

3 A That's correct.

4 Q I would like to turn to your notebooks. Before we
10:10 5 review them specifically, can you tell us what
6 was, what did you view the purpose in your mind of
7 keeping an officer's notebook, what would be
8 recorded and why would they be retained?

9 A Well, it's for further information when you first
10:11 10 take it down, then you leave your occurrence
11 report from what you've done and date, time,
12 place.

13 Q So your notebook, was your practice then to record
14 notes as you were investigating?

10:11 15 A Yes, the date and the time and what was being
16 done.

17 Q So, for example, on the morning of the murder,
18 would you be making notes right there at the scene
19 in your notebook?

10:11 20 A That's right.

21 Q And then later on when you prepared either an
22 occurrence report or an investigation report, did
23 you then use your notes to assist you?

24 A To do that, yeah.

10:11 25 Q Now, I notice in a number of the reports that if



1 there's two officers that are investigating a
2 matter, that only one officer would leave an
3 investigation report, and am I right that where
4 two officers were doing the same thing, that only
10:11 5 one of them would leave a report as opposed to
6 both?

7 A That's correct.

8 Q And would that be an informal arrangement where
9 you just say to the other officer, 'lookit, I'll
10:12 10 prepare the report or you prepare it this time' or
11 how did that work?

12 A That's right, it's an arrangement between the
13 officers.

14 Q And as far as your notebook, what did you do with
10:12 15 your notebooks at the time once you were done with
16 them, did you keep them personally or did you
17 leave them at the police station?

18 A Well, I kept them and then I left all my notebooks
19 at the police station in storage.

10:12 20 Q Right. And then I think in the early '90s when
21 you were being interviewed by the RCMP, I believe
22 copies of those notebooks were retrieved and shown
23 to you; is that right?

24 A I didn't know that they were retrieved until a
10:12 25 later date and I imagine they were, they looked at



1 them because they asked me questions in regards to
2 them and then I had my memo book from '69 at the
3 inquiry of the Fisher trial.

4 Q Yes. At the preliminary?

10:13 5 A Yeah.

6 Q Okay.

7 A And then I had to go to trial, the Fisher trial at
8 Yorkton and I no longer had my memo book, it was
9 turned over to the RCMP at the preliminary for
10:13 10 safe keeping, but it got mislaid someplace. I
11 never did have it, but I had some copies, someone
12 made photocopies.

13 Q So when you retired in 1988 you would have left
14 your police notebooks at the police station; is
10:14 15 that fair?

16 A I did, yes.

17 Q And then at some later point, and it may have been
18 in connection with the Larry Fisher proceedings,
19 but at some later point the notebooks themselves
10:14 20 were shown to you again and copies provided to
21 you; is that --

22 A Well, I retrieved the box I had all my memo books
23 in from the police station and then when I went
24 for the preliminary hearing, I took that notebook,
10:14 25 my memo book.



1 Q I see. And then after the prelim you gave the
2 original notebook to the RCMP?

3 A That's right.

4 Q And you believe it's been mislaid?

10:15 5 A It was mislaid. I never did see it again.

6 Q But we do -- the copies we have though,
7 Mr. Parker, are copies of your original notebook?

8 A That's correct, that's correct.

9 Q Okay. If we could call up 091189, please, and
10:15 10 this is January 17th, '69 to February 3rd, '69,
11 and that's your signature there, is it, J. --

12 A J.H. Parker.

13 Q -- H. Parker?

14 A That's correct.

10:15 15 Q Okay. And that would be the front cover page of
16 your notebook?

17 A Yes.

18 Q And if we could go to page 091225, please, and
19 just call up the top half; and can you confirm
10:15 20 that's your writing, Mr. Parker?

21 A Yes.

22 Q And so this is the day of the murder, reported for
23 duty 7:45 a.m., assigned to detectives, and I take
24 it this licence number; would that be the car
10:16 25 number?



1 A No, that's just the car number 11, detective car.

2 Q And then it looks as though you worked with
3 Detective Reid and then off duty at 8:00 p.m.; is
4 that right?

10:16 5 A That's correct.

6 Q And then if you could scroll down to the bottom
7 half, please.

8 A That's correct.

9 Q Starts off with 'checked and read files'; would
10:16 10 that be sort of a normal thing you would do every
11 morning, to read --

12 A Definitely, because you might get some new files
13 and you had to check them out, because the
14 detective sergeant would assign you these files,
10:16 15 or new files, and you have to check to see if you
16 have got new ones and what you can do on them.

17 Q Okay. And then we see here a note, '8:40 person
18 in lane 200 N South, 8:50 arrived, proceeded
19 south', etcetera, then it says 'appears scuffle
10:16 20 took place'; is that correct?

21 A That's correct.

22 Q And was that your observation at the time?

23 A That's right.

24 Q And what caused you to conclude that?

10:17 25 A Because it was packed, packed down like someone is



1 fighting or lots of foot -- you know, prints, or
2 not visible, but it was like a scuffle took place
3 there.

4 Q And would you have asked the two gentlemen from
10:17 5 the funeral home whether they had been walking
6 around the body and caused the area to be
7 trampled; do you recall?

8 A I can't recall that now.

9 Q And so I'll show you some photographs in a moment
10:17 10 but I take it, then, this would have been a note
11 that you would have made shortly after arriving in
12 the lane based on your observations?

13 A That's, that's correct.

14 Q And it goes on to say 'blood in snow, body of
10:17 15 woman covered with blue blanket'?

16 A That's correct.

17 Q Next page, please, at the top. It says 'blanket
18 Rae Murdock - Terry Michalyliuk, Westwood Funeral
19 Home put blanket on her', and then it says 'I
10:18 20 checked body froze no pulse right wrist'?

21 A That's right.

22 Q And then also down here 'asked for Coroner', and
23 then some observations, 'right shoe off, right
24 hand bare frozen', so that's an observation you
10:18 25 would have made at the time and recorded it?



1 A That's correct. That was at 9:00.

2 Q If we could just scroll down, please, and then
3 again 'auburn hair, snow in hair, coat on, right
4 nylon still attached to garter belt, panties on
10:18 5 left --'

6 A Ankle.

7 Q '-- on left ankle, panties bloody, white nylons
8 down, no clear foot prints', so would that have
9 been an observation?

10 A That's correct.

11 Q And then as well the temperature, 'cold and fog
12 minus 33', I think that says minus 33; is that
13 correct?

14 A That's correct.

10:19 15 Q And, again, you would have measured where the body
16 was from the --

17 A Well, yeah, I paced it off. I usually take about
18 3 feet per pace.

19 Q If we could call up just a couple of photographs,
10:19 20 077846, please. And again that's not a very clear
21 photograph, but you have seen these photographs
22 before, have you Mr. Parker? There was a series
23 of photos that were taken.

24 A Umm, I believe I have, but --

10:19 25 Q If we could maybe go to 85, please -- or pardon



1 me -- 47 and 48. And you can see, the photograph
2 is not real clear, but an area around the body; is
3 that what caused you to believe that a scuffle had
4 taken place?

10:20 5 A That's correct.

6 Q At that time, when you had observed the scene, did
7 you reach any conclusions in your mind or opinions
8 about whether or not Ms. Miller had been killed at
9 that location versus being killed elsewhere and
10:20 10 dropped there or anything?

11 A Well I, from the surrounding area immediately
12 around the body, I thought this scuffle took -- a
13 scuffle took place and she was murdered there.

14 Q Now next page, back to the notebook, page 091227
10:20 15 please. And I think this is a reference to,
16 after, on a previous page -- and we don't need to
17 see it -- but it says '9:45 Dr. Sidney Fogel
18 arrived from north', and then it talks here about
19 'approximately 6:00 a.m., dropped 33 degrees
10:21 20 approximately 6:00 a.m.', and can you tell us what
21 that refers to?

22 A Just a minute. Could you repeat that again,
23 please?

24 Q Yeah, I'm sorry. On the previous page it talked
10:21 25 about Dr. Sidney Fogel, the Coroner, arrived, and



1 then it talks about a 'body temp 50 Fahrenheit
2 right armpit', I think it says '55 degrees
3 internal, dropped 33 degrees, approximately 6:00
4 a.m.'?

10:21 5 A Oh, that would probably be that Dr. Fogel said she
6 -- it probably happened about -- or --

7 Q Time of death?

8 A Yeah, time of death.

9 Q And so would that be something that you wrote down
10:21 10 that Dr. Fogel told you?

11 A Yes, that's right.

12 Q And if you could scroll down to the bottom,
13 please, see it says --

14 A There was two temperatures taken, --

10:22 15 Q Yes.

16 A -- one from under her arm and then internal, --

17 Q Yes.

18 A -- her rectum.

19 Q Yes.

10:22 20 A And there was a drop of 30 some degrees.

21 Q And was that a calculation or an analysis?

22 A Well that was Dr. Fogel's.

23 Q Dr. Fogel?

24 A Yeah.

10:22 25 Q And I take it that would be a common investigative



1 step, then, to try and find out when, when the
2 deceased died?

3 A That's right, yeah.

4 Q And then it says here '10:00 left scene', and so I
10:22 5 take it would ident -- you would have left the
6 scene with ident there and they, would they have
7 dealt with turning the body over and checking
8 things out?

9 A That's -- well, the body was turned over prior to
10:22 10 that.

11 Q By whom?

12 A By the ident and myself.

13 Q Okay.

14 A Umm, that's after Dr. Fogel arrived and -- and
10:23 15 then they took pictures, like pictures prior to
16 the body being moved, --

17 Q Yes?

18 A -- then pictures after the body was turned over.

19 Q Right. But would that be something that ident
10:23 20 would oversee though? I think you had said
21 earlier that you only checked for the pulse, but
22 other than that --

23 A Yeah, yeah, well that was prior to the body being
24 moved.

10:23 25 Q All right.



1 A And then ident took charge of that.

2 Q And then it says at '10:35 called at station
3 notified Superintendent Wood personally about
4 above', and do you recall what that -- what
10:23 5 happened there?

6 A Umm, we called and let him know what we did --

7 Q Yes?

8 A -- and, like, the body was taken to St. Paul's
9 Hospital by Ray's Ambulance --

10:23 10 Q Yes?

11 A -- accompanied, it was accompanied by I think
12 Kleiv, one of the ident officers.

13 Q Thor Kleiv?

14 A Thor Kleiv.

10:24 15 Q Yes.

16 A And then we -- this name tag, Miller, --

17 Q Yes?

18 A -- we thought maybe she was working at St. Paul's
19 Hospital, --

10:24 20 Q Yes?

21 A -- but she wasn't, and we found out that she had
22 been -- she was working at the City Hospital.

23 Q Okay. So would you have made -- taken steps,
24 then, to identify who the victim was?

10:24 25 A That's right.



1 Q Yeah. If we could just go to the next page of the
2 notes, please, and I won't go through all them.
3 It talks about the name, and then I think down
4 here it appears that you would have talked to
10:24 5 somebody at City Hospital, 'started September
6 4/'68', phone changed to new number.

7 A That's the record they had for Miller.

8 Q Yes. And then it says 'worked January 30, 1969
9 7:30 to 4:00, to work this date 7:30 to 4:00,
10:25 10 never showed up, can't locate by phone' or
11 'couldn't locate by phone'?

12 A Yeah.

13 Q So I take it, would that be a note of your
14 discussion with somebody at City Hospital?

10:25 15 A That's correct, yeah.

16 Q And then, down at the bottom, it appears that
17 there is a discussion with a Ms. Vickie Fontaine
18 who knew Gail Miller, and do you recall talking to
19 her about identifying Gail Miller?

10:25 20 A That's right. We took her, transported her to St.
21 Paul's Hospital, where she identified the body as
22 Gail Miller.

23 Q And would you ask her, then, for information about
24 Gail Miller to assist you in your investigation?

10:25 25 A Well we found out that Gail had moved, she, I



1 think she was living on Temperance Street.

2 Q Yes?

3 A And then we, like they tried phoning and nothing,
4 and she had moved to -- but I forget now -- Avenue
10:26 5 O or someplace.

6 Q Yes. Now again, back, would you have asked this
7 Ms. Fontaine for information about Gail, though,
8 to assist you in your investigation?

9 A Oh, I think I did, but I can't recall too much
10:26 10 about it.

11 Q There is a reference here, it says 'Les Spence
12 (Perdue) was working in town'; do you recall what
13 that relates to?

14 A I can't really recall, but I believe it had
10:26 15 something to do with her boyfriend or something.

16 Q We've heard other evidence, Mr. Parker, that Mr.
17 Spence was, I think, her boyfriend or a male
18 friend of hers at the time, or in the time prior.

19 A I think that's what it was. I'm not positive,
10:26 20 though.

21 Q And would that be something that you would check
22 right away, then, about --

23 A Umm, no, I didn't check.

24 Q Or find out? I guess my question is this appears
10:26 25 to be shortly after, or the morning of the murder,



1 as to why the name of her boyfriend would appear
2 in your notes; is there any significance to that?

3 A Well I got, I think I got that information from
4 Vickie Fontaine --

10:27 5 Q I see.

6 A -- and I wrote it down for further --

7 Q Okay.

8 A -- investigation.

9 Q If we could go to the next page, please, and then
10:27 10 I think it talks about -- we don't need to look at
11 it -- Vickie Fontaine identifying the body, and
12 then at 12 -- I think, is that '12:10 called at
13 130 O South, no one there', do you see that, and
14 that would be her home?

10:27 15 A Yeah, that's correct.

16 Q And then it looks, if you could scroll down to
17 2:25 that day, it's '2:25 search area west lane
18 200 N South', something, 'other article shoe'. So
19 it appears that you went back to the scene, did
10:28 20 you, to look for other items?

21 A That's, that's correct.

22 Q And then scroll down, please, it says 'located
23 sweater red and black and one right overshoe
24 buried in snow 11 paces east of west alley 200 N
10:28 25 South and approximately 1 foot north of travelled



1 portion'; do you see that?

2 A That's correct.

3 Q And do you recall, then, finding the sweater in
4 the snow?

10:28 5 A Yes, I recall it was buried in the snow. Like how
6 I noticed, the snow had been disturbed, eh.

7 Q Yes?

8 A So I was looking for any fresh things in the snow
9 and I saw this and I dug it out, and I found this,
10:28 10 the boot and a sweater.

11 Q Yes. And --

12 A It --

13 Q I'm sorry, go ahead?

14 A Buried in the snow.

10:28 15 Q Based --

16 A In the snowbank.

17 Q Based on your observations, was it a case of the
18 sweater being dropped in the snow, or did you
19 conclude --

10:29 20 A No, it was definitely pushed in, because there was
21 snow on top of it.

22 Q Okay. And I think we may have a clip here, a
23 video clip back from '69, that may show the
24 location and I think where the sweater was found.

10:29 25 A Could we just play that. And if I could just ask



1 you, Mr. Parker, if you could --

2 A Yeah, I was digging there.

3 Q Is that you digging?

4 A I think so, yeah, it must have been because I
10:29 5 found that there.

6 Q Yeah, if we could just go back to the start,
7 please, and just pause there if we can. And so,
8 again, I believe this is the funeral home on the
9 right and this is the east-west alley; is that
10:29 10 correct?

11 A That's right.

12 Q And down here, we don't see it in the photograph,
13 but it would be the T alley right --

14 A Yeah, that's correct.

10:29 15 Q And where I have got the arrow to the north is
16 where the body would be found?

17 A Umm, yes.

18 Q Yeah?

19 A That's right.

10:29 20 Q And we'll look at a map a bit later. And so this
21 would be actual footage of when you actually found
22 the sweater; is that correct?

23 A That's correct.

24 Q And do you know who was with you, Mr. Parker?

10:30 25 A I can't recall.



1 Q I think it may be Mr. Murdock, someone had
2 suggested?

3 A I don't think so.

4 Q No?

10:30 5 A I never saw him after Detective Sergeant Reid and
6 him and Michayliuk, --

7 Q Okay?

8 A -- they went back to the funeral home, and I --
9 that could have been maybe an ident officer, I
10:30 10 don't know, I can't recall.

11 Q If we could just go back to the notes, please. I
12 have just got a couple more minutes on the notes,
13 Mr. Commissioner, and we can then break. If we
14 could go to the next page, please, and it looks
10:30 15 like after you found the boot, 'called at St.
16 Paul's Hospital, looked at', I'm not sure what
17 that word is?

18 A Well --

19 Q 'Shoe'?

10:31 20 A My writing wasn't that good. It was pretty cold
21 out there.

22 Q Actually, 'victim', 'looked at victim's shoe'?

23 A Yeah, that's right.

24 Q And so I think, in a later report I think what it
10:31 25 says is you took the boot you found, went to St.



1 Paul's, compared it with the boot --

2 A Compared it with the boot that was -- she had on.

3 Q And the --

4 A It was a high shoe.

10:31 5 Q So you confirmed that the shoe you found buried in
6 the snow matched the other shoe the victim was
7 wearing?

8 A Except for the other foot.

9 Q The other foot?

10:31 10 A Yeah.

11 Q And then, if we go down here, we have an asterisk,
12 'sweater inside out', does that -- is that how you
13 found the sweater?

14 A That's right.

10:31 15 Q And what's the significance of the star here;
16 would that just be a reminder or a note?

17 A Well that's a thing I didn't want to miss.

18 Q What was the significance, in your mind, of the --

19 A Well because it was inside out, you know, must
10:32 20 have been taken off, off the victim. Like
21 normally the person, if he takes it off, someone
22 takes it off it stays not inside-out.

23 Q Was it a button-up sweater, do you remember, or
24 was it a --

10:32 25 A I can't recall, but it -- I don't think it was



1 fully buttoned because --

2 Q You could --

3 A Looked like somebody had --

4 Q Pulled it off?

10:32 5 A Pulled it off.

6 Q And if we could just scroll down, it talks here
7 about later in the afternoon, 4:50, you put the
8 sweater and boot in your locker. And I take it
9 that was the procedure when you found an exhibit,
10:32 10 you put it in your evidence locker; is that right?

11 A That's correct.

12 Q And prepare a report on it?

13 A That's right.

14 Q And then it says here, left occurrence report,
10:32 15 talks here about some Chiclets that you found and
16 gave to Kleiv; do you recall that?

17 A That's correct.

18 Q And then could you just scroll down, it talks
19 about 7:15 that evening, 'interview Mrs. Murdock
10:33 20 and granted permission for us to sit re stake'.

21 A Stakeout.

22 Q Stakeout? And then it looks at '7:45 transported
23 Forsyth and Cressman to Murdock's; is that right?

24 A That's right.

10:33 25 Q And so what would be the purpose of staking out



1 the murder scene?

2 A In case somebody came back or whatever, you
3 never -- you know, come back and try to --

4 Q Right?

10:33 5 A -- retrieve the sweater and things like that.

6 Q Okay. This is probably an appropriate spot to
7 break.

8 COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: Okay.

9 *(Adjourned at 10:33 a.m.)*

10:33 10 *(Reconvened at 10:51 a.m.)*

11 BY MR. HODSON:

12 Q If I could call up document 006255, please. And
13 we have seen, Mr. Parker, this is the general
14 occurrence report, is that right, the top? Do you
10:52 15 see where I have circled that?

16 A Yes.

17 Q And you will see your name here, Detective J.
18 Parker; correct?

19 A That's correct.

10:52 20 Q And I understand that, as you said earlier,
21 there's one occurrence report for every occurrence
22 and that's basically the first investigation
23 report; is that fair?

24 A That's correct.

10:52 25 Q And then I take it someone assigns an occurrence



1 number or a file number for the matter?

2 A That's correct.

3 Q And then, from that point on, all pieces of paper
4 relating to this file should bear that number; is
5 that right?

6 A That's correct.

7 Q We also see some other numbers on here, I think a
8 01 and another number crossed out; do you have any
9 knowledge of the numbering of these, of the Gail
10 Miller file, or generally whether police files
11 were organized with someone numbering the pages or
12 anything of that nature?

13 A I don't recall even what that would be.

14 Q And I -- and we'll hear evidence from some others,
15 I believe that we'll hear evidence that Detective
16 Sergeant Jack Ward was responsible for organizing
17 the file and he would have numbered all the pages
18 to keep track of them all; does that sound
19 familiar?

10:53 20 A No it doesn't.

21 Q Okay. And then as well Mr. Ullrich, the case
22 preparation officer, may have been involved as
23 well in organizing it; but do you have any
24 knowledge of that?

10:53 25 A No I don't.



1 Q And your practice at the time, Mr. Parker, was it
2 to dictate these reports or hand write them, or
3 just tell us how these came about?

4 A I just can't recall because they changed quite
10:53 5 often.

6 Q We know, we've heard from others that in 1969
7 dictation was a method used by some officers?

8 A It could be. They used to have round circular
9 tapes --

10:54 10 Q Yes?

11 A -- in the --

12 Q Okay?

13 A -- the office.

14 Q And I don't propose to go through this, the
10:54 15 details seem to track what are in your notes;
16 would you have used your notebook, then, to assist
17 you in preparing this report?

18 A That's correct.

19 Q And again, just a couple points here, you write in
10:54 20 your report:

21 "There appeared to have been a scuffle
22 taken place there, also there were spots
23 of blood in the snow and the woman's
24 clothing was disarranged."

10:54 25 So that would be your report based on your



1 observations and your notes; is that right?

2 A Yes, that's correct.

3 Q What about Detective Sergeant Reid, who was with
4 you, I take it only one of you would have prepared
10:54 5 this report; is that right?

6 A I did.

7 Q Yeah. And would you talk to Reid to get his
8 recollection, or would you let him look at this,
9 or how would you coordinate that?

10:54 10 A Well he would look at it.

11 Q And if we go back to the full page, please, do you
12 know what that refers to there? Is that your
13 writing or do you know what that is?

14 A No, I don't know what it is.

10:55 15 Q Next page, please. And again, we went through
16 this on your notes, but again Dr. Fogel's opinion
17 was that she would have died at approximately 6:00
18 a.m. this date, and talks about the temperatures
19 there, so that's consistent with what you told us
10:55 20 a bit earlier?

21 A That's correct.

22 Q And again down at the bottom of the page, please,
23 and you put in the occurrence report information
24 that you gained that Gail Miller worked from 7:30
10:55 25 a.m. to 4:00 p.m., that was the time she was



1 supposed to work on the day of her murder; is that
2 correct?

3 A That's correct.

4 Q Next page, please. And if we could just call out
10:56 5 that portion, and again I think when you talked
6 about finding the sweater, your comment in the
7 report:

8 "It was definitely burried and not just
9 thrown in the snow.",

10:56 10 and the:

11 "... articles were placed in my locker
12 and are still in my possession."

13 And, again, I think those are things you told us
14 earlier?

10:56 15 A That's correct.

16 Q And then, just down at the bottom of that report,
17 it says:

18 "After this was completed we returned to
19 the Police Station Det. Office and met
10:56 20 with Supt. Wood and other members of the
21 Dept. and they were informed of our
22 investigation to date."

23 Do I take it there would have been a meeting
24 later that day at the police station about this
10:56 25 matter?



1 A I think that's what happened. I can't recall
2 though.

3 Q And would I be correct in saying, Mr. Parker, that
4 the Gail Miller murder; this would have been a
10:56 5 significant investigation for the police at the
6 time?

7 A I would say so.

8 Q And there, I think we heard evidence earlier that
9 there were four murders in 1969, but I take it any
10:57 10 time there was a murder it was a big event for the
11 police; is that fair?

12 A I would say I would agree with you.

13 Q Now who would -- again, at the end of the day you
14 go back and report to Superintendent Wood; who
10:57 15 would be running the show and deciding who should
16 be doing what on that day by way of police
17 officers?

18 A I can't recall.

19 Q Okay. And it seems to me, and I won't go through
10:57 20 them, but there is a number of reports dated
21 January 31, February 1, February 2, where many,
22 many officers are out checking addresses, checking
23 dry cleaners, checking cabs, busses, etcetera, and
24 I take it, Mr. Parker, that someone or some people
10:57 25 would have to be in charge of deciding who does



1 what and sending them out on the task; is that
2 fair?

3 A That would be fair.

4 Q And I take it that someone would have to know, for
10:58 5 example if you were going to go check the 200
6 block Avenue N and knock on doors, someone would
7 have to know that you are doing that so someone
8 else doesn't go do the same thing ten minutes
9 later; is that correct?

10:58 10 A That's correct.

11 Q And someone would also want to make sure that
12 something was not missed; is that fair?

13 A That's fair enough.

14 Q And so normally on a file who would be the person
10:58 15 who would be responsible in an investigation?

16 A I would say it would start with Wood, --

17 Q Yes?

18 A -- then it would go to Short, and then the
19 detective sergeants.

10:58 20 Q Would the officers assigned in charge of the file,
21 would that be their responsibility to --

22 A Well, not necessarily, that -- like I would say
23 the Detective Sergeant would probably have read
24 the file and say 'okay, this has to be done, this
10:59 25 has to be done, this has to be done', in



1 coordination with the chap that -- who had the
2 file assigned to him.

3 Q If we talk specifically about the Gail Miller
4 murder investigation is it fair to say,
10:59 5 Mr. Parker, that you don't have any personal
6 knowledge or don't have any recollection of who
7 and how that file was directed and managed?

8 A That's correct.

9 Q Let's just talk generally at the time, and as far
10:59 10 as your knowledge as a detective, as to how these
11 files worked. I think you have told us that
12 someone would be responsible, or some persons
13 would be responsible, and I think you said you
14 would start with Wood and Short and then down the
10:59 15 line?

16 A That's correct.

17 Q Is that fair? And is it fair to say that in a
18 murder investigation, as compared to a break and
19 enter, that more senior people might be involved
10:59 20 on a murder than on a break and enter?

21 A No.

22 Q No?

23 A No.

24 Q What about -- and again, if we can progress after
11:00 25 January 31, let's just talk about the paper flow.



1 Let's -- if you go out and do an investigation,
2 would the practice be that you would prepare a
3 report informing others what you had done, what
4 you had found, what you had learned?

11:00 5 A Not really. Depends on what was being trans --
6 you know, what we would be investigating.

7 Q Let me just give you an example. Let's say in
8 this case someone had asked you to go out and
9 interview Les Spence, the boyfriend of Gail
11:00 10 Miller, and you went out and interviewed Mr.
11 Spence, took a statement, and learned a bunch of
12 information and identified three or four things
13 that you thought should be followed up on; okay?

14 A Well I would leave a report --

11:00 15 Q I see.

16 A -- and it would be up to the chap who had, like a
17 detective sergeant, he would be, he would be
18 reading that investigation report and then whoever
19 it was assigned to would read it. It's the same
11:01 20 as when I, on my memo book when I first started on
21 the 31st there, entered --

22 Q Right?

23 A -- reviewed my files.

24 Q Okay. So in this case you would prepare a report,
11:01 25 and you would dictate it or send it in to central



1 records and it would end up going to --

2 A The detective division.

3 Q And the persons in charge of the Gail Miller file;
4 is that right?

11:01 5 A Yeah, that's right.

6 Q And would I -- I take it, then, that you would
7 assume that someone would read that, absorb the
8 information, decide what further steps should be
9 taken, and delegate or direct who should do that;
11:01 10 is that fair?

11 A That's correct.

12 Q And so, when you sent the report in, you weren't
13 keeping your own list of saying 'here's the things
14 I got to do'?

11:01 15 A No.

16 Q You would report and wait for further direction?

17 A That's correct.

18 Q And so the purpose of the investigation report
19 would be to inform others, and in particular the
11:01 20 detectives in charge of the file, of what you
21 learned and what further work might need to be
22 done; is that fair?

23 A That's correct.

24 Q And you would wait to hear back from them if they
11:02 25 wished for you to follow up, in the example I gave



1 you, on the Les Spence items?

2 A That's correct.

3 Q And so it sounds to me, then, that the
4 investigation reports were a fairly important part
11:02 5 of the system in place in any investigation; is
6 that fair?

7 A That's correct.

8 Q And, similarly, that I take it when you were out
9 doing an investigative step, for example
11:02 10 interviewing Les Spence, there could be six other
11 officers out interviewing six other people that
12 may have very valuable information relating to Les
13 Spence; is that fair?

14 A There, there could be.

11:02 15 Q Yeah, and maybe six is too many but a couple,
16 let's say?

17 A Yeah, well it depends on whoever was assigning
18 that.

19 Q And so, in that case, you don't know what the
11:02 20 other officers are doing or what they have
21 learned, you simply do your task and report;
22 correct?

23 A Umm, sometimes you would know what certain
24 officers were doing, some -- like you would be,
11:03 25 could be together and all of a sudden he would say



1 'well you do this and you do this and you do
2 this', so you would have knowledge maybe.

3 Q And I take it someone who, then, would have to
4 read all the reports, then someone who would be,
11:03 5 if I could use the term, 'all-knowing' would know
6 what you found out about, for example, Les Spence,
7 and what the other officers found out, and could
8 put it all together and say 'okay, now I
9 understand points A, B, and C', and then decide
11:03 10 what further steps ought to be taken; is that
11 fair?

12 A Correct.

13 Q So that person, and I take it in any file there
14 would be a person or persons who would be, if I
11:03 15 can call it, all-knowing; in other words absorbing
16 all the information that all the officers are
17 doing, connecting it, and deciding what further
18 steps ought to be taken?

19 A Correct.

11:03 20 Q And, again, that would be -- would it typically be
21 the person in charge of the file?

22 A That's right, and he could request assistance, or
23 whatever.

24 Q Now in this case I believe we'll hear evidence,
11:04 25 and have heard evidence, that Detective Mackie and



1 sergeant -- or Detective Sergeant Mackie and
2 Detective Sergeant Reid were the detectives
3 assigned the file; were you aware of Jack Ward's
4 involvement at all?

11:04 5 A No I was not.

6 Q Now just as far as the investigation reports,
7 again we've talked about how, if you prepared one,
8 it would go to central records, there would be a
9 master copy kept; is that right?

11:04 10 A Hmm, I don't know. I can't recall exactly what
11 happened but I believe there would be a copy on
12 the, on the file, and then copies would go to the
13 detective division, morality, or whatever it is --

14 Q And --

11:05 15 A -- of the investigation.

16 Q And did you get your own copy back of any report
17 you prepared?

18 A No.

19 Q So if I could call just in the detectives
11:05 20 division, then, I take it there would be one
21 central file that would have all the reports; is
22 that right?

23 A That's correct.

24 Q And would there be anything preventing you -- for
11:05 25 example let's say you were assigned the task of



1 interviewing Les Spence and I take it you could go
2 to the existing file, read through it, and see
3 whether there's anything in there that might help
4 you on that interview?

11:05 5 A That's correct.

6 Q And did -- generally, are those things that you
7 did as a detective on other files, would that be a
8 common occurrence?

9 A It could be, yeah.

11:05 10 Q Or I suppose another thing you could do is go talk
11 to the officer in charge and say 'lookit, I'm
12 going to interview Les Spence, tell me a bit more
13 about the case' or 'a bit more about these facts'
14 or 'what are you looking for'; things of that
11:06 15 nature?

16 A Umm, well, I would check with the chap that the
17 file was assigned to --

18 Q Yes?

19 A -- to see if he wanted me to do that, I wouldn't
11:06 20 go out on my own and just do that.

21 Q But let's assume that you have been asked to go
22 interview.

23 A Yeah.

24 Q How would that communication take place, how --

11:06 25 A Well it would have to take place from the chap



1 that had the occurrence.

2 **Q** But would he phone you, talk to you, send you a
3 memo?

4 **A** Well, not really. Well, he could do both, but
11:06 5 normally it would be, like, at the station in the
6 office and we would discuss it there.

7 **Q** And so verbally, in person, would be normally how
8 you would get the communication?

9 **A** That's right.

11:06 10 **Q** Let me just call up, just turning to exhibits,
11 call up document 105573, please, and this is an
12 exhibit report and that's your signature at the
13 bottom is it?

14 **A** That's correct.

11:06 15 **Q** And so this indicates one wool black and red
16 sweater, it says button front. Do you see that,
17 it looks like it's button front?

18 **A** Yeah.

19 **Q** One right foot snow boot, one large package of
11:06 20 Chiclets, evidence, and then it talks about later
21 dates being turned over, and so do I take it from
22 this that you would fill this report out any time
23 you seized or picked up a piece of physical
24 evidence?

11:06 25 **A** That's correct.



1 Q And then you would store it in your locker and
2 then you would track it when you gave it to
3 someone else, you would write down what you did
4 with them, I take it that was for continuity?

11:07 5 A That's correct.

6 Q If I could now turn to February 3rd, 1969, and the
7 murder took place on Friday, January 31 and I want
8 to go to your notebook of Monday, February 3rd,
9 '69, and that's page 091231, and before I go
11:07 10 through this, Mr. Parker, and again you've had a
11 chance to read through these notes recently,
12 haven't you, to refresh your memory?

13 A Trying to, yeah.

14 Q And we'll go through the notes, but they detail
11:07 15 some checks that you did at a bus stop and in the
16 neighbourhood and I'm just wondering, do you have
17 any recollection independent of what's in the
18 notes of your activities that morning?

19 A Not really, no.

11:07 20 Q And so I take it, if we look at February 3, '69,
21 reported for duty 5:40 a.m., assigned to
22 detectives, and it says license, there's no
23 number. Does that mean you didn't have a car
24 or --

11:08 25 A Well, probably didn't fill it out.



1 Q Okay. And then it says -- and this is your
2 handwriting; is that right?

3 A That's correct.

4 Q And then it says Detective McCorriston. Does that
11:08 5 mean you were paired --

6 A With him, yeah.

7 Q Did you have a regular partner at the time or did
8 you get assigned to go with --

9 A Not really. It depends on the circumstances. It
11:08 10 depends what we were doing. Sometimes we would go
11 out on our own, sometimes we wouldn't.

12 Q If we could scroll down, please, the bottom half,
13 and then it says occurrences, it says checked area
14 of 200 Avenue N - O - P, also 300 and 100 blocks,
11:08 15 and then info on Ronald Elder, and then 6:15,
16 which I believe is a.m., it says checked --

17 A N and 20th.

18 Q N and 20th, Leslie Ives, 702 Avenue O South going
19 to work Veterans home, catches bus every day
11:09 20 during week at above location, nothing heard or
21 seen unusual on January 31, '69, and it appears
22 from this, Mr. Parker, that you are in the
23 vicinity of Avenue N, O and 20th talking to people
24 in the area about whether they observed anything
11:09 25 the earlier Friday; is that right?



1 A Probably at the bus stop.

2 Q And why would you have been at the bus stop?

3 A That's where the people usually go and waiting for
4 the bus.

11:09 5 Q And would you have had information that Avenue O
6 and 20th is where Gail Miller normally caught the
7 bus?

8 A That's correct.

9 Q And so would you --

11:09 10 A Well, I presumed that's where she caught the bus.

11 Q And so generally would you be there on the Monday
12 morning checking with people to see if they
13 observed anything the previous Friday that may
14 assist you in the investigation?

11:09 15 A Yeah, observed or heard something.

16 Q And if we could just call up, if we could put the
17 notebook on the right-hand side and a map on the
18 left, 180089, please, and on the right-hand side,
19 Mr. Parker, you will see a map and there's 20th
11:10 20 Street and Avenue O and you'll see Gail Miller's
21 house at the top and on 20th Street I think the
22 evidence is that there's a bus stop, on the
23 southwest corner of Avenue O and 20th, and as well
24 on the southwest corner of Avenue N, and Gail
11:10 25 Miller's house is there, so I think you said you



1 presumed that she caught the bus at Avenue O and
2 20th; is that what you said?

3 A That's correct.

4 Q And so you would be in this vicinity on that
11:10 5 morning of Monday, February 3rd checking people;
6 is that right?

7 A That's correct.

8 Q Now, these times that you have on your notebook,
9 would those be exact times that you --

11:11 10 A Yes.

11 Q And was that your practice, to --

12 A Write it down when I am interviewing someone, I
13 would write the time down and who, where, how and
14 why.

11:11 15 Q And then so again 6:16, you checked another person
16 who walks to N and 20th, and then if we could just
17 again on the right-hand side, we can get rid of
18 the map and call up 106108, and on the right-hand
19 side, Mr. Parker, is a report, police report,
11:11 20 January 31, it says '68, but it's 1969, Constable
21 Gabruch, and just call that out and it talks
22 about -- this is the Friday night of the murder
23 and Gabruch, on instructions from Short, went with
24 Sergeant Passet of the transit office and
11:12 25 interviewed the bus driver who was operating the



1 first bus on the Pleasant Hill route on the
2 morning of the murder, and the bus driver,
3 Husulak, says, stated that around Avenue O and
4 20th Street he would ordinarily have a male
11:12 5 passenger at Avenue O and 20th Street who appeared
6 to be a construction worker wearing red hard hat
7 and approximately 20 years old, however this
8 morning, which is the date of the murder, the
9 gentleman was not around. He stated that he
11:12 10 leaves the clock at the top of 20th at 6:15 and
11 does not recall having anyone on the bus fitting
12 this description. Do you see that?

13 A I see it.

14 Q Now, do you recall getting that information or
11:13 15 having that information at the time?

16 A I don't recall that, no.

17 Q Okay. We can take down the police report. If you
18 can go to the next page of the notebook and just
19 call out the top part, please, and it says 6:17
11:13 20 checked bus stop, O and 20th Street. Tony Humen,
21 216 O South, bus operator John Husulak, it says
22 intent, but that might be ident, this person as
23 one with red hard hat, catches bus each morning
24 (red ski cap). Do you see that note?

11:13 25 A I see it.



1 Q And am I right where it says intent, should that
2 be -- do you know what that means?

3 A I can't recall that.

4 Q And are you able to tell us, Mr. Parker,
11:14 5 whether --

6 A I think that's ident.

7 Q This word intent would be ident?

8 A Yeah.

9 Q Now, I just showed you the report of January 31
11:14 10 where the bus driver Husulak said that there was a
11 construction work with a red hat who wasn't on the
12 bus first thing. Would it be fair to say that you
13 and Mr. McCorriston might have been checking out
14 that information that the bus driver provided to
11:14 15 the police?

16 A Yeah, that could be.

17 Q Do you have any recollection of that?

18 A No, I don't.

19 Q Do you have any recollection of meeting Tony Humen
11:14 20 on the bus that morning?

21 A No, I don't.

22 Q And then if we could just scroll down, please,
23 6:49, checked Murray Duffus, 1501 20th Street,
24 which is right at the bus stop on Avenue O and
11:15 25 20th Street, and goes on to get his observations,



1 and he says he didn't see anything unusual January
2 31st, in and out of the house several times. Has
3 noticed a nurse, white stockings, catch bus at
4 this corner. If someone screamed or hollered he
11:15 5 thinks he would have heard it. Can't recall
6 seeing her January 31, '69. So I take it from
7 that you were trying to find out from people in
8 the area what they may have seen the morning of
9 the murder; is that fair?

11:15 10 A That's correct.

11 Q If we can then scroll down, please, it says 6:49
12 checked Larry Fisher 334 O South (yellow hard hat)
13 works at education building, Masonry Construction.
14 Caught bus on January 31, '69 at 6:30 a.m. Never
11:15 15 heard or saw anything unusual. Do you recall
16 checking Larry Fisher on the morning of February
17 3rd, 1969?

18 A I can't recall that now.

19 Q And again are you able to tell us from your notes,
11:16 20 would you be checking him in the same manner you
21 were checking Mr. Humen and others that morning?

22 A That's correct.

23 Q And what would be your purpose of checking Mr.
24 Fisher that morning?

11:16 25 A Well, just to see if he had any information in



1 regards to if he heard anything, saw anything.

2 That was the reason for checking at the bus stop.

3 Q Would you, and again I appreciate you don't recall
4 the meeting, but based on your notes would you be
11:16 5 checking him as a suspect or as a witness?

6 A As a witness.

7 Q Now, I had just earlier showed you the police
8 report of the day of the murder that talked about
9 a fellow not being on the bus, being a
11:16 10 construction worker with a red hard hat, and did
11 it occur to you that Mr. Fisher may have been the
12 fellow that Mr. Husulak referred to as someone who
13 was not on the bus that morning?

14 A I can't recall that.

11:17 15 Q And again I take it that Mr. Fisher says that he
16 was, he caught the bus at 6:30 the previous
17 Friday. Would you have inquired of him as to why
18 he caught the bus on Monday at a later time or
19 would that have twigged --

11:17 20 A No, never twitched.

21 Q And is there any reason that you would have put
22 yellow hard hat in your notes?

23 A I guess just for general description what he was
24 doing I guess. I can't recall.

11:17 25 Q Do you recall at that time or at any time in the



1 Gail Miller investigation there being any
2 significance to persons wearing a hard hat?

3 A No.

4 COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: No, you can't
11:17 5 recall, or no, there wasn't?

6 A No, I can't recall.

7 BY MR. HODSON:

8 Q Do you recall or can you tell from your notes
9 where this discussion would have taken place? Was
11:18 10 it at the bus stop or --

11 A That's correct.

12 Q It would be at the bus stop at 0 and 20th?

13 A That's right.

14 Q Now, if we go to the next -- let me just pause
11:18 15 there. Do you recall whether you would have taken
16 any further steps to follow up on Mr. Fisher at
17 this time?

18 A No, I did not.

19 Q And would there be anything, and again from your
11:18 20 notes, that would have caused you to leave a
21 report, an investigation report saying someone
22 should check this fellow out further?

23 A No, I didn't.

24 Q And would there be a reason you wouldn't do that?

11:18 25 A I was just checking the people to see, for



1 information if they heard or saw anything, that's
2 all. I didn't have him as a suspect or anything
3 else, it's just that -- like, the same as the
4 other people we checked.

11:19 5 Q Now, if we go down at 6:52, it says interviewed
6 bus operator Husulak further, and I take it that
7 the note we saw at the top, it looks like you
8 talked to him at 6:17 when you saw Tony Humen. Do
9 you remember me showing you that?

11:19 10 A Yes.

11 Q So it says interviewed bus operator Husulak
12 further. Stated Humen was the person checked
13 in -- I think that says mind. Is that right?

14 A That's right.

11:19 15 Q And then scroll down a bit further, 7:15, checked
16 Roy Sawayze, had been checked previously, no
17 further information. Checked Mr. Strong, checked
18 other people. So would these be the same sorts of
19 checks as you had done with Larry Fisher?

11:19 20 A That's correct.

21 Q If we can just go down to 7:47, it says checked
22 Cliff Holdmen, or hold something, and it says 22nd
23 Street, Avenue M, and wasn't working last week,
24 too cold, wearing orange hard hat, worked for
11:20 25 Bennett Construction?



1 A That's correct.

2 Q And again, would there be a reason that you would
3 report about the orange hard hat?

4 A No. Just what I observed.

11:20 5 Q Now, if we could just put the notes on the
6 right-hand side and call up 106189 on the other
7 side, and I take it when you were doing these
8 checks, was Detective McCorriston with you?

9 A Yes, he was.

11:20 10 Q And so were the two of you interviewing people
11 together; is that --

12 A Uh, I can't recall that.

13 Q His notes are similar as far as times and names in
14 a number of respects, so would it appear that both
11:21 15 of you may have interviewed and both made notes?

16 A Yes, he made his notes and I made mine. We could
17 have -- depends how many people were there. I
18 could have interviewed someone at the same time as
19 he did and interviewed another person.

11:21 20 Q When you were interviewing these people that
21 morning, what would you have told them about the
22 Gail Miller matter, if anything?

23 A Gees, I can't recall that.

24 Q Would it be -- would it be your practice to say
11:21 25 there was a murder last Friday a block away, this



1 is where she caught the bus, did you see anything,
2 or would you give that type of information or
3 would it be --

4 A I doubt it.

11:21 5 Q Would it be more of a question --

6 A It would be more of a question if they heard
7 anyone screaming or yelling or anything unusual.

8 Q Would you tell the people that there had been a
9 murder?

11:22 10 A I doubt it.

11 Q I take it, and maybe you can't comment on this,
12 but the murder was reported the previous Friday,
13 it was in the news; was it not?

14 A I can't recall that.

11:22 15 Q So again just -- and I appreciate you can't
16 recall, but you think your practice would have
17 been not to provide too much information to these
18 witnesses about the matter you were investigating?

19 A I wouldn't divulge too much information.

11:22 20 Q And why not?

21 A Just a policy because they can come up with quite
22 a few stories maybe. I don't know.

23 Q Okay.

24 A I just wouldn't.

11:22 25 Q So I think we went through your notebook and just



1 if we can go back to the previous page in the
2 notebook, please, and again the 6:49 -- the 6:49
3 with Duffus, which is at the bus stop, and as well
4 Larry Fisher at the bus stop, and then if you look
11:23 5 at the right-hand side, it's a report, I believe
6 it's Reid and Mackie, I can't recall who was the
7 author, but -- it's actually Reid and it talks, if
8 you can just call out the top part, and this is
9 their report that:

11:23 10 "On February 3/69 --"

11 Which is the same day as your notes, Reid says:

12 "-- Detective Sergeant Mackie and writer
13 started work at 6:30 a.m. and drove to
14 vicinity of 200 block Avenue N & O.

11:23 15 At approximately 6:50 I
16 interviewed transit bus driver John
17 Husulak who resides at 217 H South and
18 was driving a transit bus at the time on
19 20th Street regarding a construction
11:24 20 worker wearing a red hat. Mr. Husulak
21 states the person got on the bus just
22 the past trip and made mistake as the
23 person was wearing a red ski cap and not
24 a red hat. This person according to the
11:24 25 bus driver was checked out by Detective



1 McCorriston this date."

2 So it appears from this that Mackie and Reid, if
3 their time is right, are talking to Husulak sort
4 of two minutes before you are talking to Husulak
11:24 5 on the bus. Do you see that?

6 A Yeah.

7 Q And since he's driving the bus, I'm assuming the
8 interview would be on the bus; is that a fair --

9 A Probably.

11:24 10 Q Can you help us out here, Mr. Parker, it looks as
11 though there would have been four officers, police
12 officers at the bus stop at Avenue O and 20th
13 Street at or about the time Larry Fisher got on
14 the bus on the morning of February 3rd, '69 and
11:24 15 that you would have talked -- and four of you
16 would have talked to Husulak, two of you would
17 have talked to Mr. Fisher. Does that --

18 A I can't recall that.

19 Q Do you agree that that's what the reports suggest?

11:25 20 A It does, but I just can't recall it. I can't
21 recall Reid and Mackie being there at all.

22 Q Okay. If we can go back just to the notes, you
23 can take the police report down, and go to the
24 next page, please, of the notes, and again there's
11:25 25 a note at the bottom, 8:10, arrangements made with



1 garbage truck. Do you recall what that related
2 to?

3 A We were looking for any articles that might have
4 belonged to Gail Miller like her purse or
11:25 5 anything --

6 Q Yes.

7 A -- that would help us in the investigation.

8 Q And so what arrangements did you make with the
9 garbage truck?

11:26 10 A Well, we had someone follow the truck and check
11 the garbage --

12 Q Yes.

13 A -- containers and that prior to them dumping it.

14 Q Okay. And in what area -- what area was that; do
11:26 15 you remember?

16 A Well, that was in the area around there. I don't
17 know exactly. It would be on the north lane on --
18 the north side of 20th Street, east and west lane.

19 Q If we can go back to the map, please, maybe just
11:26 20 call that up, and if we again could just call out
21 that area, and again I believe this is where Gail
22 Miller's body was found, here's the funeral home,
23 20th Street, and this is what's been referred to
24 as the T alley. Can you tell us where you would
11:27 25 have been following the garbage truck, in what



1 area?

2 A Well, it would be in that general vicinity.

3 Q In this area?

4 A Yes.

11:27 5 Q Now, would you -- I'm sorry, go ahead.

6 A Well, it would be probably past Avenue O and --

7 Q You can go ahead and tell me on the screen, just
8 touch the screen.

9 A Oh. I would say from here and probably these
11:27 10 streets here.

11 Q So in here in the alleys?

12 A Yeah, the alleys, yeah, where the garbage --

13 Q And are you aware, Mr. Parker, whether there was
14 garbage collection south of 20th Street on that
11:27 15 same day?

16 A Oh, I couldn't say.

17 Q Do you recall making any inquiries as to find out
18 when garbage may have been picked up?

19 A No, I can't.

11:28 20 Q And so were you the one following the garbage
21 truck around or do you remember if --

22 A I think -- I'm not sure. I know Gerry McCorrison
23 was one officer there.

24 Q We'll just maybe go back to your notebook.

11:28 25 A I think I was in attendance there.



1 Q Okay. If we can just go back and to the next
2 page, please, and there's a note here at 10:30,
3 located one brown handbag in garbage, can biggest
4 can south, and then it's got 1414 20th Street
11:28 5 West. Do you see that?

6 A That's right.

7 Q And then what is this depicting, is that --

8 A That's just -- I think that's the house and the
9 garbage container.

11:28 10 Q Okay. If we can maybe go to map 105048, call out
11 that area. According to this map, 1414 20th
12 Street would be right there and I see someone has
13 written purse. Does that look as though --

14 A That's where it was located in the garbage.

11:29 15 Q And so you would have been involved in the process
16 of recovering that purse; is that right?

17 A That's correct.

18 Q And would it be fair to say that prior to
19 embarking on that search you would have known that
11:29 20 her purse was not amongst the items found on the
21 morning of the murder?

22 A I presume. I don't know for sure.

23 Q Next if we could go to 081016, please, and go to
24 page 081031, and this is the next day, February
11:30 25 4th, '69, and again it looks as though you are



1 with Detective McCorriston. Do you see that?

2 A That's correct.

3 Q And then if you can just scroll down again and
4 just -- it looks as though the next morning, at
5 6:15 a.m., Tony Humen, who was the fellow -- you
6 recall that name, that that was the name you
7 checked out the day before, the fellow with the
8 red ski cap. Do you recall that? You recall me
9 showing you that?

11:30 10 A That's right.

11 Q And it would appear that -- do I take it from this
12 that you would have been back at the bus stop
13 talking to him again?

14 A Could have. I can't recall that.

11:31 15 Q Now, we heard evidence from Mr. Humen earlier in
16 this Inquiry that after his initial contact with
17 the police on February 3rd he had further contact
18 with some officers, and I don't believe he
19 identified any names, so it may not have been you,
20 but it appears that at least the next day you
21 would have talked to him; is that fair?

22 A Could have been. I just can't remember that.

23 Q Would there be any reason, Mr. Parker, that you
24 would follow up with Tony Humen as opposed to the
11:31 25 others that you observed that morning, and in



1 particular Larry Fisher?

2 A No, I just can't recall.

3 Q If I could then go to document 106212, please.

4 This is a report dated February 5, '69 and it's
11:32 5 prepared by Detective McCorrison, it's a lengthy
6 report, and I didn't find in any of the records,
7 Mr. Parker, an investigation report authored by
8 you for your events of February 3rd and 4th, '69.
9 Am I to presume from that that McCorrison would
11:32 10 have been, it would have been agreed between the
11 two of you that McCorrison would have prepared
12 the report?

13 A That's correct.

14 Q And do you recall whether he would have shown it
11:32 15 to you or discussed it with you?

16 A Oh, yes.

17 Q And again I don't propose to go through all of
18 this, but just to identify this, and again the
19 purpose of this report would be to alert other
11:32 20 detectives and the detectives in charge of
21 information you learned in the course of your
22 investigation?

23 A That's correct.

24 Q And if we go to 6:17 a.m., we talk about -- just
11:33 25 call out that last paragraph -- and again this is



1 McCorriston's report and it talks about:

2 "Humen was identified by transit driver
3 John Husulak of 217 Ave. V. North as the
4 person he had referred to as the person
11:33 5 who usually wears a red or orange hard
6 hat and who he believes had not caught
7 this bus on Jan. 31st. Humen at this
8 time was wearing a red ski cap and
9 stated he never wears a hard hat. On
11:33 10 Feb. 4th. Det. Parker and myself again
11 questioned Tony Humen who advised that
12 approx. 6:30 P.M. Jan. 30th. 1969 he had
13 noted a 1963 Pontiac sedan, White/blue
14 the operator being alone, the window of
11:34 15 his car was down. He had been going
16 west on 20th. Street and when Humen and
17 his sister, Natalie, 17 yrs. caught the
18 bus, this car followed this bus east on
19 20th. Street to the vicinity of
11:34 20 Adilmans."

21 And then it goes on:

22 "Humen's sister, Natalie advised him she
23 had seen this same auto at approx. 7:05
24 A.M. Jan. 31st. as she was catching the
11:34 25 bus at Ave. O. and 20th. Street. His



1 car window was down and he seemed to be
2 acting in a somewhat suspicious manner."

3 Do you recall any of that, any of the dealings
4 with Tony and Natalie Humen on this person
11:34 5 driving this vehicle at 7:05 a.m. the morning of
6 the murder near the bus stop?

7 A I don't recall it at all.

8 Q If you go to the next page, and call out that
9 part, and McCorriston writes:

11:36 10 "6:49 A.M. Checked in 300
11 Blk. Ave. O South, Larry Fisher 334 Ave.
12 O South. Works at Masonry Contractors
13 at the Education Bldg. U. Of Sask.
14 Wearing yellow hard hat. Stated last
11:36 15 Friday he caught bus at 6:30 A.M. at
16 Ave. O and 20th. Street. He states
17 there waas no one else around at that
18 time and he had no information to
19 offer."

11:36 20 And, again, that, I take it that's consistent
21 with your notes and what you observed of him and
22 what he told you?

23 A That's correct.

24 Q And then it says:

11:36 25 "6:52 A.M. Checked again with



1 the operator of a transit bus John
2 Husulak who stated the man with the red
3 ski cap, Tony Humen, was definitely the
4 person he had in mind and realized he
11:37 5 had been mistaken regarding his having
6 worn a hard hat."

7 Do you recall that?

8 A No I don't.

9 Q Is there any reason in this report -- or looking
11:37 10 at this report, Mr. Parker, would the
11 identification here of checking Mr. Fisher at the
12 bus stop, again based on the fact that you have
13 your notes and you were with Detective
14 McCorriston, was this identifying Mr. Fisher as a
11:37 15 witness or as a suspect?

16 A As a witness.

17 Q Okay. And, if he had been identified as a
18 suspect, what would your practice be as far as
19 identifying this individual in your notes or in a
11:37 20 police report?

21 A That's a -- I -- that's a difficult question
22 there.

23 Q Let me give you an example. If you, if you
24 interviewed someone as a witness and something in
11:37 25 the information they gave you caused to think that



1 this person might be a suspect and ought to be
2 checked further, how would you deal with that and
3 how would you communicate that?

4 A I would get in touch with the chap that had the
11:38 5 file --

6 Q Yes?

7 A -- and advise him what I did and why I suspected
8 him as a suspect and pass that information on to
9 him.

11:38 10 Q Okay, and would you put that in a police report as
11 well?

12 A I would.

13 Q So do I take it from that, Mr. Parker, was there
14 anything, then, in your encounter with Mr. Fisher,
11:38 15 based on your notes and observing the report
16 prepared by your partner at the time, Detective
17 McCorriston, that caused you to consider Larry
18 Fisher to be a suspect in the murder of Gail
19 Miller?

11:38 20 A No.

21 Q Okay. And then again the next page, please, and I
22 won't go through it other than McCorriston puts
23 forward information about the garbage cans and the
24 purse, and so I take it that would be -- he would
11:39 25 have reported on the finding of the purse in the



1 garbage can?

2 A That's right.

3 Q Now the next document after February 4, '69 that
4 appears to have your name on it, Mr. Parker, is
11:39 5 February 28, an entry which I'll go to in a moment
6 dealing with the Miller family, but apart from
7 that it doesn't appear that you had any other
8 involvement in the Gail Miller investigation; does
9 that accord with your recollection?

11:39 10 A That's correct.

11 Q And would there be a reason for that?

12 A I had other files, working on 'em, that file would
13 probably be assigned to somebody and didn't have
14 any more to do with it.

11:40 15 Q Okay. If we could call up 001874, please, and
16 this is your handwriting; is it?

17 A That's correct.

18 Q And it's February 28th, '69. Just scroll down to
19 the bottom. And it says 'took sweater & boot from
11:40 20 locker occur 641 Gail Miller murder, showed
21 sweater to Mrs. Jean Miller mother, she stated
22 that the sweater --'

23 A 'She stated what sweater'.

24 Q 'Gails, looks like the one she had' -- I'm sorry,
25 question and answer; 'question what sweater,



1 answer Gails, looks like the one she had, question
2 when, answer she had it when she --' are you able
3 to read that bottom?

4 A 'Went to school'.

11:40 5 Q 'Went to school, question when, answer two years
6 ago, question what size did Gail wear, answer size
7 9'. And then '2:55 turned over boot and sweater,
8 instructed --' is that --

9 A No, 'initialed JHP'.

11:41 10 Q Okay. So that it appears that on February 28th
11 you would have had Gail's mother identify the
12 sweater?

13 A That's correct.

14 Q Is there any reason that it would have been done
11:41 15 28 days later as opposed to right after the
16 murder?

17 A Umm, I don't know, probably maybe trying to get
18 them to come in to look at it. I couldn't say for
19 sure.

11:41 20 Q Okay. We're done with that document. Apart from
21 your own involvement in the Gail Miller murder
22 investigation, which I think we've covered so far
23 in my questions, do you have any general
24 recollection of the investigation, observing what
11:41 25 was happening, significant developments in that



1 investigation?

2 A No, I haven't.

3 Q Now did you personally have any dealings with
4 David Milgaard prior to his arrest in --

11:42 5 A No, I didn't.

6 Q Did you have any dealings with Ron Wilson?

7 A No.

8 Q And what about Nichol John?

9 A No.

11:42 10 Q What about Albert Cadrain; were you aware of
11 Albert Cadrain at the time of the Miller murder
12 investigation?

13 A Umm, I -- Shorty?

14 Q Shorty Cadrain, yes, that was his nickname.

11:42 15 A Yeah. I had, I don't know what date it was but I
16 was going out on another, on a search warrant, --

17 Q Yes?

18 A -- an investigation in regards to break and
19 enters, and I was up in the detective office just
11:42 20 in the hallway and I was on my way out, and Shorty
21 came in and he said he had something and I told
22 him that I, I didn't have time to talk to him
23 right now, I was -- had made arrangements to go
24 out with the RCMP on a search warrant, and so I
11:43 25 turned him over to Detective Karst.



1 Q Do you know, do you know if that was in relation
2 to the Gail Miller murder investigation?

3 A It was, I can't recall exactly what it was, but he
4 said he didn't want to get burnt or something like
11:43 5 that so I says 'no, Detective Karst will look
6 after you'.

7 Q And can you tell us what time of year that was,
8 was it in 1969 or --

9 A Oh, geez, I just can't recall that.

11:43 10 Q And, prior to that encounter, did you know of or
11 have previous dealings with Shorty Cadrain?

12 A Prior to that?

13 Q Yes?

14 A Umm, I used to get the odd bit of information from
11:43 15 him.

16 Q What, would he be classified as an informant for
17 you then?

18 A Well, yes.

19 Q And what types of information would he provide
11:44 20 you?

21 A Who was doing break and enters, bootlegging,
22 different things like that.

23 Q And so this would be prior to Gail Miller's
24 murder, would that be fair, the time frame?

11:44 25 A That's right.



1 Q And how long had you been dealing with Mr.
2 Cadrain; do you recall?

3 A I can't recall for sure.

4 Q And did you pay him for information, then, was
11:44 5 that how it worked?

6 A I would give him a couple of dollars sometimes.

7 Q And what was your impression of Mr. Cadrain's
8 credibility when he was providing you with
9 information as an informant?

11:44 10 A Fairly reliable.

11 Q Pardon me?

12 A Fairly reliable.

13 Q And so, again, it would be break and enters; could
14 you give us an example of what type of -- where
11:44 15 would he get his information from?

16 A People that he was hanging around with.

17 COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: Did you say that
18 he was 'fairly' reliable or 'very'?

19 A Fairly, fairly reliable.

11:45 20 COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: Fairly?

21 BY MR. HODSON:

22 Q Oh, I'm sorry. So were there occasions when he
23 gave you information that was not reliable?

24 A Well, it didn't pan out. It could have been
11:45 25 reliable at the time or prior to that, but --



1 Q And he would have been, what, 16 at the time, at
2 that time?

3 A Oh, I couldn't say how old he'd be.

4 Q Compared to, and I take it would you have had
11:45 5 other -- and maybe 'informants' is the wrong --
6 other people who gave you information similar to
7 Shorty Cadrain, did you have other people
8 that would --

9 A Oh yes.

11:45 10 Q And, compared to those people, was Shorty Cadrain
11 any more or less credible than other people who
12 gave you --

13 A Oh, they were all about the same.

14 Q Meaning some good, some bad?

11:45 15 A That's right.

16 Q And would this be on friends that Albert Cadrain
17 would be providing you with information?

18 A I couldn't say.

19 Q And did you have any concerns, in your dealings
11:46 20 with Albert Cadrain, about his mental health?

21 A Not really, no.

22 Q Did he seem to be a fairly normal young fellow to
23 you?

24 A Hmm, I would say yeah.

11:46 25 Q Were there some things that caused you concern



1 about him?

2 A Not really, no.

3 Q And, with respect to the Gail Miller murder
4 investigation, did you have any discussions or
11:46 5 dealings with Shorty Cadrain in connection with
6 that investigation?

7 A No I didn't.

8 Q Are you aware as to whether or not Shorty Cadrain
9 provided information to other police officers
11:46 10 other than yourself?

11 A He could have. I don't know.

12 Q Do you recall, in May of 1969, a fellow by the
13 name of Inspector Art Roberts from the Calgary
14 Police Service attending in Saskatoon?

11:47 15 A No I did not.

16 Q Now I understand that -- or let me back up. Did
17 you play any role in the decision to pursue David
18 Milgaard as a suspect in the murder of Gail
19 Miller?

11:47 20 A No I did not.

21 Q Did you play any role in the decision to charge
22 David Milgaard with the murder of Gail Miller?

23 A No I did not.

24 Q I take it at the time that Mr. Milgaard was
11:47 25 charged, which I think was late May of 1969, you



1 would have been in morality; is that right? I
2 believe that's what the chart showed us.

3 A Probably, yeah.

4 Q Now I understand that you were called to testify
11:47 5 at David Milgaard's preliminary hearing and trial;
6 is that right?

7 A That's correct.

8 Q And primarily to deal with your observations of
9 the day of the murder and some physical evidence;
11:48 10 is that fair?

11 A That is correct.

12 Q And you have had a chance to review the
13 transcripts of the preliminary hearing and the
14 trial prior to appearing here; is that correct?

11:48 15 A Yeah.

16 Q And are you able to confirm for us that they are
17 accurate?

18 A Yes.

19 Q The prelim document is 070618, if we could go to
11:48 20 page 070622. And, again, this would be in August
21 of '69, I believe, so about seven or eight months
22 after. And you were asked, and this is
23 Mr. Caldwell asking you to describe what you
24 observed there, and you say at question 24:

11:49 25 "A There were signs of a scuffle, imprints



1 of what appeared to be persons falling
2 in the snow, there was also splats of
3 blood in the immediate area, and there
4 was numerous - appeared to be footprints
11:49 5 but they were obliterated, no definite
6 signs of tread or anything.

7 Q I see. And was that the situation when
8 you first went near the body?

9 A That's correct."

11:49 10 So that's an accurate account of your
11 recollection, is it, Mr. Parker?

12 A That's correct.

13 Q And if you could go to 070631. And this is
14 Mr. Tallis, Mr. Milgaard's lawyer, cross-examining
11:49 15 you at the preliminary, and he says:

16 "Q Now, directing your attention then to
17 the early morning of January 31st, my
18 learned friend has asked you to look at
19 this exhibit, P.1, in these proceedings,
11:50 20 and I gather that during the course of
21 your investigation, you made it a point
22 to walk along the east-west lane forming
23 part of this T of alleys?

24 A That's correct.

11:50 25 Q And do you recall which area you walked



1 along first, whether it was the east or
2 west portion of that east-west alley?

3 A I can't recall.

4 Q In any event, I take it that you looked
11:50 5 along that alley very carefully for
6 motor vehicle tracks that might be of
7 significance?

8 A That's correct.

9 Q And did you see any motor vehicle tracks
11:50 10 that appeared to be of any significance?

11 A There were some, but obliterated.

12 Q In other words, they were of no
13 significance as far as you were
14 concerned as an investigating officer?

11:50 15 A Couldn't pick up anything.

16 Q And I take it that there was no
17 indication of a vehicle having been
18 stuck in that lane at all?

19 A There was some ruts near 227, but they
11:50 20 didn't appear too fresh to me.

21 Q I see, in other words, you saw some
22 ruts, that would be in front of 227
23 Avenue N?

24 A No, not in front.

11:51 25 Q In that vicinity?



1 A In the laneway.

2 Q Oh, I see, south of where the items were
3 found?

4 A That's right.

11:51 5 Q But from your examination of those ruts
6 or marks, they did not appear to be
7 fresh?

8 A Not that fresh."

9 And then skip down to the bottom, please, number
11:51 10 20:

11 "Q And it was your considered view at that
12 time when you saw these marks that they
13 were not fresh?

14 A That's correct."

11:51 15 And so is that an accurate account of your
16 evidence and does that accord with your
17 recollection, Mr. Parker?

18 A That's correct.

19 Q And to page 070634, again I believe this is
11:51 20 Mr. Tallis asking you about foot marks or tracks,
21 and he says:

22 "Q Now, were you able to find any foot
23 marks or tracks or drag marks in the
24 north-south lane, where the body was
11:51 25 located?



1 A There were numerous, numerous foot
2 marks where the body was located.

3 Q Right around A, B, C, D, and E?"

4 And those were marks on a map:

11:52 5 "A In the lane from 219 right through and
6 there were some on the other side where
7 it looked like somebody was going in the
8 deep snow, but there was nothing - no
9 distinct pattern or anything else."

11:52 10 Next page:

11 "Q Well now, just so that I understand your
12 evidence, then, you noticed quite a
13 number of foot marks at the rear of 219
14 Avenue N South, and those foot marks
11:52 15 continued in a southerly direction?

16 A Both southerly and northerly."

17 Then down to 43:

18 "Q Then as I understand it, if we take the
19 area south of the body, that is along
11:52 20 that area down to the alley, there were
21 other foot marks there?

22 A That's correct

23 Q Then, if I understand your evidence
24 correctly, if we go to the rear of 220
11:52 25 Avenue O South, moving south, there were



1 foot marks in the deep snow there?

2 A That's correct."

3 And then down at the bottom, 47:

4 "Q I see. You couldn't tie them in one way
11:52 5 or the other?

6 A No.

7 Q They were fairly - so these marks you
8 couldn't?"

9 Then, the next page, you say:

10 "A I couldn't connect them with the body at
11:53 11 all."

12 And then down to 51:

13 "Q I see, and I gather then from your
14 observations there as investigating
11:53 15 officer, there was nothing that you
16 could see that would indicate that the
17 deceased had been dragged or pulled to
18 that spot?

19 A No, just in the immediate vicinity it
11:53 20 looked like a scuffle had taken
21 place."

22 And then, scrolling down, it says:

23 "Q Thank you. And I take it then what you
24 found there was quite consistent with
11:53 25 the deceased having been brought there



1 by an automobile, and a scuffle ensuing?

2 A I wouldn't agree to that.

3 Q Did you find anything to indicate to the
4 contrary? I'm not saying it did happen
11:53 5 that way, but what I am saying is was
6 the scene consistent with that, or just
7 as consistent with that as having been
8 dragged there?

9 A It could possibly be, but I've got my
11:53 10 own opinion in it and I wouldn't say
11 that.

12 Q You saw nothing to indicate that she'd
13 been dragged or pushed there?

14 A No, it looked like it had happened...

11:54 15 Q ...at that spot?

16 A At that spot, I wouldn't say an
17 automobile was used right there."

18 Now that's a lengthy part there, Mr. Parker, but
19 I take it that would be an accurate recording of
11:54 20 your recollection at the time?

21 A That's correct.

22 Q And that was your opinion and assessment, back in
23 1969, of what had happened?

24 A That's correct.

11:54 25 Q And, again, the trial transcript, doc. ID 176846,



1 go to page 176860. And this is cross-examination
2 by Mr. Tallis and you were asked:

3 "Q And is it fair to say that when you
4 walked down the east-west lane you did
11:55 5 not notice any tire marks of any
6 significance?

7 A That's correct.

8 Q And is it also fair to say that you did
9 not notice any spot where it appeared
11:55 10 that a vehicle had been stuck?

11 A That's correct."

12 And, again, that would be an accurate recording
13 of your evidence at the time and reflects what
14 you thought and observed at the time?

11:55 15 A Well, prior to that I had said that there was
16 tracks, but not any significant --

17 Q Yes.

18 A Like there was ruts or whatever it was.

19 Q Right. And I think what Mr. Tallis asks you here:

11:55 20 "... you did not notice any tire marks
21 of any significance?"

22 A That's correct.

23 Q And is that correct?

24 A That's correct.

11:56 25 Q And I think if you scroll down, please, Mr. Tallis



1 asks :

2 "Q And your purpose in walking down the
3 lane was I think it's fair to say to see
4 if there were any marks of any
5 significance?

11:56

6 A That's correct."

7 And I think you checked it a couple of times. So
8 that's an accurate recording of what you thought
9 and what you observed at the time?

11:56

10 A That's correct.

11 Q Now at the time, during the course of the
12 investigation of the Gail Miller murder and/or the
13 time of trial, were you aware of some sexual
14 assaults that had taken place in Saskatoon? And
15 let me just give you a few more specifics. The
16 names are (V1)--- (V1)-, (V2) (V2)- (V2)-----,
17 (V3)-- (V3)-----, and they were -- you are
18 shaking your head -- October-November of 1968; do
19 you recall any of those?

11:56

11:57

20 A No I do not.

21 Q Do you recall hearing or observing or being aware
22 of any connection between some unsolved sexual
23 assaults and the murder of Gail Miller?

24 A No.

11:57

25 Q After the conviction of Mr. Milgaard, I think on



1 February 21, 1970 there was a fourth rape in
2 Saskatoon, the name is (V5)-- (V5)---; do you
3 recall hearing anything about that?

4 A No I do not.

11:57 5 Q And those four -- well, I'll get to that in a
6 moment. Were you aware, in October of 1970, a
7 fellow by the name of Larry Fisher was in jail in
8 Winnipeg for some sexual assaults committed there
9 and Detective Karst and Inspector Nordstrom went
11:57 10 to interview him and take statements; were you
11 aware of that at the time?

12 A No I wasn't.

13 Q Would there be anything unusual in Detective Karst
14 going to Winnipeg to get a statement from a
11:57 15 prisoner there?

16 A I don't think so.

17 Q And the fact that it was in relation to a rape and
18 he was a detective, did that -- is that unusual?

19 A Not really, no.

11:58 20 Q And what about Inspector Nordstrom, who I believe
21 was the inspector in charge of morality at the
22 time, --

23 A That's --

24 Q -- anything unusual about him going to Winnipeg?

11:58 25 A Not really, no.



1 Q Were you aware at the time, and again December
2 1971, that Mr. Fisher pled guilty and was
3 convicted to -- for three sexual assaults and one
4 indecent assault for these Saskatoon offences?

11:58 5 A I didn't know at the time, no.

6 Q Did you become aware of that at some later point?

7 A I did.

8 Q I think, Mr. Commissioner, this is probably an
9 appropriate spot to break.

11:58 10 COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: Okay.

11 (Adjourned at 11:59 a.m.)

12 (Reconvened at 1:30 p.m.)

13 BY MR. HODSON:

14 Q Mr. Parker, if we could turn to post-conviction
01:30 15 matters, and that's after Mr. Milgaard was
16 convicted on January 31, 1970 and through until
17 1980, and I'll deal specifically with the Linda
18 Fisher statement, but apart from that, do you
19 recall anything of significance transpiring in
01:30 20 those years related to the Gail Miller matter or
21 David Milgaard from the date of his conviction
22 through to 1980?

23 A Not that I can recall.

24 Q If I could call up 219408, please -- or actually,
01:31 25 I'm sorry, 106829, is that the right number?



1 That's the right document, but -- what's the
2 correct doc ID, correct version? 829, okay. This
3 is a letter December 31, 1980 to the then chief of
4 police John Gibbon from deputy police chief Corey
01:31 5 and it talks about a telephone call from Chris
6 O'Brien, a news reporter, and who is seeking
7 information regarding David Milgaard's conviction,
8 and if you can go to the second page, please,
9 there's just a reference here that Corey says:

01:31 10 "I've since learned that Mr. O'Brien
11 attempted to contact Staff Sergeant
12 Parker during the 30th of December,
13 1980."

14 Do you recall any discussion with Chris O'Brien
01:31 15 or any contact of that nature?

16 A Nothing.

17 Q If we could then turn to Linda Fisher. Sir, you
18 are aware who Linda Fisher is, the ex-wife of
19 Larry Fisher?

01:32 20 A Yeah. I just learned that the other day.

21 Q Now, the record indicates that in 1980, August of
22 1980, Linda Fisher attended at the Saskatoon City
23 Police station and gave information that suggested
24 someone else was responsible for Gail Miller's
01:32 25 murder; namely, her ex-husband Larry Fisher. Do



1 you have any recollection of receiving any
2 information in connection with that visit?

3 A No, I don't.

4 Q If we could call up -- and at that time were you a
01:32 5 detective sergeant, do you remember, 1980?

6 A I can't recall that.

7 Q I think the service record that the city police
8 provided to us indicated that you were in
9 detectives at the time and may have been a
01:33 10 detective sergeant.

11 A I could have been, yes.

12 Q Okay. If we could call up 105323 and this is a
13 report dated August 28th, 1980 and are you
14 familiar with the type of report, was this a
01:33 15 standard --

16 A This is an investigation report?

17 Q Yes.

18 A Yeah.

19 Q And so August 28th, 1980, and Inspector Ken
01:33 20 Wagner, you know who Ken Wagner is?

21 A Yes, I do.

22 Q And this details -- have you had a chance to read
23 through this report recently in preparation for
24 this hearing?

01:33 25 A Yes, I read --



1 Q You are generally aware of its contents?

2 A That's right.

3 Q And she talks about, identifies herself as Linda
4 Fisher and the Cadrains, the house and then went
01:34 5 on to state, call out that paragraph:

6 "Larry Fisher started committed rapes,
7 and harming women in Winnipeg."

8 And just scroll down a bit, please -- I'm sorry,
9 if you could scroll back up to the fourth
01:34 10 paragraph, here, she then went on -- sorry, up
11 higher, please. No, up higher please. Right
12 here. It says:

13 "She then referred to the murder in
14 question, and advised that she feels
01:34 15 that David Milgaard whom she has never
16 met, and does not know, did not commit
17 this murder, that he is serving time,
18 for, and was convicted of, but that
19 instead that it is her former husband
01:34 20 that committed this murder. She advised
21 that at the time, they lived in the
22 basement suite, the address which she
23 thought was 329 Ave. O. So., but is not
24 sure of ..."

01:34 25 The same house that the Cadrains resided at.



1 So you are familiar with the contents of this,
2 you've read this before?

3 A Yes, I have.

4 Q And as well, and I'll call it up in a moment, she
01:35 5 gave a written statement as well giving this
6 information. Are you aware of that?

7 A That's correct.

8 Q And if we could go back -- actually, maybe we'll
9 just call up the statement, 105324, and you'll see
01:35 10 this is Wagner is the witness, Linda Fisher's
11 statement dated August 28th, and it sets forth
12 information similar to what's in the investigation
13 report?

14 A That's correct.

01:35 15 Q If we could go back to the investigation report,
16 please. Do you recall in 1980 seeing this
17 investigation report or being provided with a copy
18 of it?

19 A No, I don't recall it at all.

01:35 20 Q And what about the statement of Linda Fisher?

21 A Same answer.

22 Q Now, when you say you don't recall, is it possible
23 that you were provided with this investigation
24 report and/or statement and simply don't remember
01:36 25 or is it a case of something that you are pretty



1 sure you didn't see?

2 A I'm pretty sure I didn't see it.

3 Q If you had, what would you have done with it?

4 A Well, I would have gave the officer that had the
01:36 5 file that occurrence number. It would have been
6 forwarded to that.

7 Q So when you say the officer who had it, this file
8 had been concluded, right, Mr. Milgaard had been
9 convicted?

01:36 10 A That's right, but the officer would have been
11 notified or investigation report would have been
12 attached to that occurrence and authorities would
13 have been notified in regards to it.

14 Q Now, you'll see your name at the top, Detective
01:36 15 Sergeant Parker, you see that under --

16 A That's right.

17 Q -- divisional assignment. Is that your writing?

18 A No, it's not.

19 Q Do you know whose writing that is?

01:37 20 A I don't know. I presume it could be Wagner's. I
21 don't know.

22 Q And what about this handwriting at the bottom, if
23 we could just call that out, I think it says "Gail
24 Miller Cadrain". Do you recognize that writing at
01:37 25 all?



1 A No, I don't.

2 Q Do you have any idea why your name would be on
3 this report, sir?

4 A Well, when I found out later, not too long ago --
01:37 5 I don't know why he would put it, but it said that
6 apparently I knew all about this occurrence, Gail
7 Miller's. I don't know why he would give it to
8 me.

9 Q Have you discussed this report and statement with
01:37 10 Inspector Wagner?

11 A No, I haven't. Just like I say, just a couple of
12 weeks ago I saw it.

13 Q Okay. What inspector, or Mr. Wagner -- I expect
14 what Mr. Wagner will say and what he has reported
01:38 15 previously to others in interviews of the RCMP is
16 that he would have forwarded this report to the
17 detective division and the person who came on
18 shift after would have likely assigned the file
19 and he thinks either the duty inspector or the
01:38 20 officer in charge of detectives would have written
21 Parker's name on the report and that a copy would
22 have been provided to you, and Mr. Wagner will
23 also say that when, after this report, when he hit
24 the day shift, he will say that he talked to you
01:38 25 personally because he knew you had been at the



1 scene of the Miller murder and he wanted to know
2 if you thought that the knife described by Linda
3 Fisher in this report and her statement matched
4 the description of the one found at the scene, and
01:38 5 he will say you replied, "No, it's not even
6 close," and that you didn't seem very interested,
7 and Wagner will say that he got the impression
8 that you weren't going to do anything. Now, do
9 you recall any -- and I appreciate, Mr. Parker,
01:39 10 this is what we anticipate that Mr. Wagner will
11 say, I want to put that to you.

12 A No, I don't recall any of that at all.

13 Q Do you recall --

14 A As a matter of fact, I never did see any knife.

01:39 15 Q Okay. So as far as discussions with Mr. Wagner at
16 or around the time of this report, are you saying
17 that you don't recall any or that you wouldn't
18 have had any discussions with him?

19 A I can't recall having any discussions with him in
01:39 20 regards to that. I could have, but I can't recall
21 it.

22 Q Okay. So I take it then that you did not take any
23 steps, sir, in 1980 in relation to the information
24 that Linda Fisher provided to the city police?

01:39 25 A No, I didn't.



1 Q At the time -- let's just talk generally about
2 process. I believe Inspector Wagner was -- just
3 bear with me for a moment -- he was an inspector,
4 he was inspector in charge of the A platoon at the
01:40 5 time and he was the duty inspector at 5:40 in the
6 morning when Mrs. Fisher came in, so I think he
7 was the senior person on at the time. What would
8 be the process then for someone who received this
9 type of statement back in 1980 to ensure that
01:40 10 someone follows up on this?

11 A Just like was previously stated, you can assign it
12 to the detective division, the detective division,
13 the detective sergeant, which my name is supposed
14 to be on there --

01:40 15 Q Yes.

16 A -- written there. They would, if they were on
17 duty, they would take and look up the file and it
18 would be assigned to appropriate for further
19 investigation.

01:41 20 Q And so it should have been assigned to a detective
21 or a detective sergeant to follow up?

22 A Yeah, that's right.

23 Q Now, Mr. Wagner says that's what happened and it
24 was given to you to follow up on and --

01:41 25 A I can't recall that at all.



1 Q But would you agree that this type of information
2 is something that should have been followed up on
3 by the police at the time?

4 A Definitely.

01:41 5 Q And what would you follow up on?

6 A Well, I would go back to speak to Mrs. Fisher
7 again.

8 Q Yes.

9 A And find out if he was, what her husband was doing
01:41 10 then and was he working or whatever, you know, and
11 then --

12 Q I'm sorry?

13 A And then I would leave a report in regards to what
14 I found out about it.

01:42 15 Q Okay. Do you recall being contacted by the RCMP
16 in the early 1990s regarding some investigations
17 they were doing in the course of David Milgaard's
18 application to have his conviction reviewed?

19 A I do.

01:42 20 Q And do you recall meeting with a Sergeant Pearson
21 of the RCMP?

22 A I can't recall Sergeant Pearson, but I remember
23 talking to, it was on two occasions I spoke to the
24 RCMP. They came to my house and I spoke to them.

01:42 25 Q The first document, if I could call up 041241,



1 please, and this is a report of November 5, 1991
2 and it's a typewritten report of Sergeant Pearson
3 and he was assisting the Federal Justice
4 Department in reviewing Mr. Milgaard's application
01:43 5 and I'll just go through parts of this. Paragraph
6 311, and it appears that this is by phone:

7 "Jack Parker called and we discussed the
8 information that had been passed to me
9 by the C/Supt. earlier. Parker stated
01:43 10 he had been at the scene sometime after
11 7:00 am, it was still fairly dark out
12 and an extremely cold morning. Parker
13 recalls that he sees someone lying near
14 a shack on the east side of the
01:43 15 north/south lane, he states that his
16 first impression was that this was a
17 drunken Indian, as he saw the auburn,
18 reddish coloured hair. He stated he
19 phoned and obtained the services of the
01:43 20 coroner. He says Penkala and Kleiv from
21 Ident arrived. Parker stated he could
22 not see any fresh tracks in the snow but
23 there was frost on the ground and that
24 the body was getting stiff. He states
01:43 25 that he requested that coroner Fogel



1 take a rectal temperature. The coroner
2 went back to the car and apparently took
3 the temperature of the deceased. Parker
4 was left alone until Ident arrived. At
01:44 5 that time they rolled the body over and
6 found a name tag on the uniform."

7 And so is that an accurate recording of what you
8 would have told the RCMP, Mr. Parker?

9 A That's correct, yeah.

01:44 10 Q And then if we could go on to paragraph 312, it
11 says:

12 "Parker made the statement that he
13 observed or recalls what appeared to
14 have been a car stuck in the south lane
01:44 15 of the east/west alley. He also stated
16 he found women's items, no details
17 provided, 20 feet or so from where the
18 car was stuck. Parker also stated that
19 he recalled seeing some footprints in
01:44 20 the snow that looked like moccasin
21 tracks, this was in the east/west lane,
22 going west away from the location where
23 the vehicle was stuck. Parker states,
24 "I remember smooth tracks on the north
01:44 25 side of the east/west lane. No sign of



1 a scuffle in the area." And that he was
2 called off the investigation early to
3 work on break and enters. Parker states
4 there were only a couple of
01:45 5 moccasin-like tracks, he never connected
6 tracks to Milgaard's stocking feet until
7 quite some time after."

8 Now, is that an accurate recording of what you
9 would have told the RCMP at the time?

01:45 10 A There's a little discrepancy there.

11 Q Okay. Can you tell me where?

12 A I remember smooth tracks on the north side.

13 Q Yes.

14 A Well, is he referring to car tracks or what?

01:45 15 Q I believe -- that's how I read it, and again we'll
16 hear from Mr. Pearson, but that's what it appears
17 to be.

18 A All I can remember is that there were tracks, but
19 they were obliterated.

01:45 20 Q I'm sorry, that may be foot tracks. I'm sorry.

21 A Yeah, they were obliterated, because as you can
22 see on the previous photographs that you had of
23 all that hoarfrost and that that was on the
24 ground, so I didn't think they were very fresh
01:45 25 because you couldn't tell that.



1 Q Now, before lunch I read to you excerpts from your
2 evidence at David Milgaard's preliminary hearing
3 and trial and you recall when Mr. Tallis
4 questioned you I think you said that you could not
01:46 5 identify any footprints or tracks in the area?

6 A Yeah. Well, later on, quite a while later, like,
7 years later I remember seeing these things and I
8 heard that Milgaard was in stocking feet.

9 Q Yes.

01:46 10 A So I thought, gees, I don't know if those are
11 moccasin tracks or stocking feet tracks. There
12 was only a few, like, paces there, you know, it
13 wasn't -- it didn't lead to the body or anything
14 else, it was just on that -- where I found those
01:46 15 near that on the north side of, by the Westwood
16 Funeral Home.

17 Q Now, is there any reason that your notebook or
18 your reports wouldn't have reflected --

19 A Well, I didn't think it was -- it just didn't fit.

01:46 20 Q So are you telling us at the time in 1969 you
21 observed moccasin -- what do you mean by
22 moccasin-like tracks?

23 A Well, they were smooth tracks like --

24 Q Footprints?

01:47 25 A Yeah.



1 Q But with no tread?

2 A No, no tread. It just looked like moccasin
3 tracks.

4 Q So you observed those in 1969, but you did not
01:47 5 record them in your notebook or report?

6 A No, no, because there was no significance to it at
7 all.

8 Q And then when Mr. Tallis was examining you at the
9 preliminary hearing and trial of David Milgaard
01:47 10 and asked you about whether there was any
11 footprints, was there a reason you didn't tell him
12 about --

13 A Well, I didn't think there was any significance.
14 I didn't connect it at all until after I heard
01:47 15 about he was walking around in stocking feet and I
16 don't know if that was Milgaard's -- I don't know
17 if they were stuck there or what.

18 Q What and when did you hear about David Milgaard
19 walking in his stocking feet?

01:47 20 A Oh, that was a long time after, a long time. I
21 couldn't say.

22 Q So years after Mr. Milgaard was convicted you
23 heard about him walking, being in stocking feet?

24 A Yeah, yeah, that's right.

01:48 25 Q And do you recall who you heard it from or where?



1 A No, I just --

2 Q That he was outside walking in stocking feet?

3 A Yeah.

4 Q And then did you recall, can you explain to me how
01:48 5 it was that you then made the connection with your
6 observation in 1969 of the moccasin tracks?

7 A No, I just started thinking about it, 'my God, I
8 wonder if that would have been him there or not'.

9 Q And so I believe, and please correct me if I'm
01:48 10 wrong, that this statement here when you talked to
11 the RCMP, would this have been the first time that
12 you formally, and perhaps even informally, told
13 anyone about the moccasin tracks?

14 A That's right. Well, it appeared to be moccasin
01:48 15 tracks, I don't know what it was, but --

16 Q And when you told Sergeant Pearson this, did you
17 think that those were David Milgaard's footprints
18 from his stocking feet?

19 A I don't think so. I can't recall that.

01:49 20 Q Or that they were possibly -- let me put it this
21 way. Were you now in 1991 saying maybe there is a
22 connection, maybe what I saw were stocking feet
23 marks?

24 A Yeah, that's correct.

01:49 25 Q Is that what you were telling them?



1 A That's right.

2 MR. HODSON: Mr. Commissioner, there's
3 another matter in this report, and actually
4 refers to a couple of other, in a couple of other
01:49 5 reports about information Mr. Parker provided to
6 Sergeant Pearson in 1991 and the RCMP in 1993 and
7 it relates to an observation Mr. Parker says he
8 had of David Milgaard while in the jail cells,
9 and I am -- but before I proceed further on this,
01:49 10 I wish to raise it with you. I've -- I'm mindful
11 of the comments you made earlier where you
12 indicated that where evidence or documents which
13 harm reputation, parties must identify the
14 claimed point of relevancy before putting the
01:50 15 question and shown to you to avoid public
16 scrutiny, etcetera, so I have raised this with
17 counsel for both David and Joyce Milgaard, Mr.
18 Wolch and Ms. McLean, indicating that there are
19 items in here. I'm not aware about the position
01:50 20 of other parties, but I wish to simply raise it
21 with you to ensure that it is of relevance and,
22 if so, I will then ask the question of
23 Mr. Parker. I'm not sure if other counsel wish
24 to make submissions on it. Perhaps we can just
01:50 25 go to the next page, please, 041242, and it's



1 paragraph 313, if counsel simply wish to read it.

2 COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: Yes.

3 MR. HODSON: And I think the potential
4 relevance is twofold; one, to the extent it has
01:51 5 any effect on Mr. Parker's evidence, the fact
6 that he made these statements to the RCMP, and
7 secondly, whether in the reopening phase, whether
8 any of the authorities or others relied upon
9 this, and I can't say, I mean, until we hear that
01:51 10 evidence, whether they will or not, so those are
11 the two possible areas of relevance, but
12 before -- put it this way, if it's something
13 that's going to be raised by some counsel, I feel
14 obliged to raise it with the witness. If it
01:51 15 ought not to be raised, then we should deal with
16 it now and I'll move on, so maybe I will invite
17 other counsel if they wish to address it.

18 COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: Mr. Wolch?

19 MR. WOLCH: Mr. Commissioner, I take no
01:51 20 particular position. I can see a potential for
21 relevance in a sense that it may go to attitude,
22 it may go to not following up on Linda Fisher,
23 for example, with that attitude that's exhibited
24 here, so I take no particular position and leave
01:52 25 it to your good wisdom.



1 COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: Thank you, Mr.
2 Wolch. Mr. Elson?

3 MR. ELSON: Mr. Commissioner, as the
4 document indicates, this apparently was the first
01:52 5 occasion in which Mr. Parker raised it. It would
6 be our position that if it was recorded in a
7 document relatively contemporaneous with the
8 event it would be an appropriate document because
9 it would go to the question of police impressions
01:52 10 at the time which obviously may have had some
11 significance in the investigation. In light of
12 the fact that this witness, according to the
13 document, says that it was not disclosed until it
14 was, until it was relayed to the RCMP officer, we
01:52 15 would take the position that it is not
16 particularly relevant and certainly is perhaps
17 more prejudicial than it would be relevant.

18 COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: Thanks.

19 MR. GIBSON: Mr. Commissioner, I would
01:53 20 imagine that as we get into the later stages when
21 the RCMP are involved in '90, '91 and into 1993,
22 that people may be taking issue with some of the
23 conclusions reached by the RCMP as to whether or
24 not the RCMP were in a position to exonerate Mr.
01:53 25 Milgaard at that point in time and why they



1 didn't do that and why they reached certain
2 conclusions that they did in their report, and of
3 course it's a very lengthy report, so I agree
4 that this is evidence that obviously would not be
01:53 5 admissible at a trial, but it's certainly
6 evidence that may lead an investigator to add up
7 a number of other points and reach a conclusion
8 in regards to various issues that would be at
9 play, so I would suggest that it is relevant in
01:53 10 that regard.

11 MS. KNOX: I'm not sure if Mr. Hodson meant
12 for me to speak, but he had asked me whether I
13 had a position and I would indicate that I would
14 share the position taken by Mr. Elson. It wasn't
01:54 15 information that was available to my client at
16 the time of the prosecution, so I don't think I
17 could argue that it's something that needs, for
18 purposes of my representation of him, to be part
19 of the record. However, I do recognize the
01:54 20 concern raised by Mr. Gibson on the reopening
21 issue.

22 MR. HODSON: And I think I can clarify, and
23 it's maybe a question I'll ask the witness, I
24 don't believe there's any record of this comment
01:54 25 being made in 1969 and I understand that the



1 evidence would be that it was not communicated to
2 anybody in 1969.

3 COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: I don't think I've
4 heard that yet.

01:54 5 MR. HODSON: No. And so --

6 COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: I haven't heard
7 that it was not communicated. Maybe the witness
8 can enlighten us.

9 BY MR. HODSON:

01:54 10 Q Perhaps, Mr. Parker, if you could, if I may ask
11 the question, you've read this paragraph of what
12 you communicated to the RCMP in 1991?

13 A That's correct.

14 Q And in 1969 did you share your observation or what
01:55 15 you saw with any other police officer?

16 A No, I did not.

17 Q Did you record it in your notebook?

18 A No, I did not.

19 Q Did you prepare a report?

01:55 20 A No, I did not.

21 Q And why not?

22 A Well, it just seemed like he was a smart-aleck.
23 Like, I didn't know him that well, I was
24 interviewing another prisoner and so --

01:55 25 Q Would it be fair to say that in this report when



1 you told the RCMP in 1991, this would have been
2 the first time you communicated this information
3 to anybody?

4 A That's correct.

01:55 5 MR. HODSON: So with that,
6 Mr. Commissioner, I'm not sure -- I'm in your
7 hands. If it is something that should be dealt
8 with, and I might say, the only question I would
9 ask Mr. Parker is to confirm the truth of this
01:55 10 and it may be for other witnesses later, and
11 other counsel may have questions for him, I don't
12 wish to preclude that.

13 COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: You still maintain
14 that you saw this?

01:55 15 A Pardon?

16 COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: Do you still
17 maintain that you saw this?

18 A Yes.

19 COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: Do you have a
01:56 20 memory of it?

21 A Yes.

22 COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: And this is to
23 Sergeant Pearson that you told this?

24 A That's right.

01:56 25 COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: All right. Thanks



1 for your submissions in this respect, counsel.

2 Ms. McLean, you didn't have anything to say?

3 MS. McLEAN: I don't have anything to add.

4 COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: All right.

01:56 5 Certainly if the matter had been communicated to
6 other officers at the time, it might have had a
7 bearing upon the attitude they took towards the
8 prisoner, David Milgaard. There's no evidence
9 that there was any communication; in fact, to the
01:56 10 contrary, the witness says he didn't tell anybody
11 about it, so that makes the matter irrelevant as
12 of 1969. It might possibly be relevant as to
13 what Sergeant Pearson did or did not do or
14 reported to Mr. Williams or the Department of
01:56 15 Justice back later on in 1991, if that's when
16 this document dates from.

17 MR. HODSON: Yes.

18 COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: So we'll not go
19 into the matter any further at this moment. If
01:57 20 you wish to raise it again in the context of
21 Mr. Williams' argument, you may wish to do so, or
22 Sergeant Pearson, as far as I'm concerned --
23 testimony, not argument.

24 MR. HODSON: If I could, and maybe this was
01:57 25 in response to your question, whether Mr. Parker



1 could simply confirm that what is recorded here
2 on 313 does accurately reflect what you told
3 Sergeant Pearson and is truthful. Is that
4 question fine, Mr. Commissioner?

01:57 5 COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: Yeah.

6 BY MR. HODSON:

7 Q I'm sorry, two questions; one, does this
8 accurately record what you would have told
9 Sergeant Pearson at the time?

01:57 10 A I don't know if the wording was exactly, like --

11 Q Words to that effect?

12 A Yeah, it was words to that effect.

13 Q Okay. And is that what you recall observing of
14 Mr. Milgaard in 1969?

01:57 15 A That's all. It was only a matter of walking in
16 and walking out, that's what it was, a matter of
17 seconds.

18 Q I believe this is also reference in a couple of
19 other documents, Mr. Commissioner, when we get to
02:00 20 those I'll simply point it out that it's there and
21 I will leave the matter to be dealt with for later
22 witnesses.

23 COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: So now, so that
24 the press is not left in any quandary here, the
02:00 25 matter has been before them in the context of



1 this hearing and it is on CaseVault for all
2 counsel to see, and presumably it's on the
3 Internet, is it?

02:00 4 MR. HODSON: It's -- this document will
5 become an exhibit today, yes.

6 COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: So is it to be a
7 public document, or is it to remain as such, does
8 anybody want to know about that? It's -- it may
9 be already out in a public domain from a previous
02:01 10 proceedings, I'm not sure.

11 MR. HODSON: I mean it is, the document
12 becomes an exhibit and absent a ruling from the
13 Commissioner it would become a public document,
14 as would the transcript of Mr. Parker's evidence.
02:01 15 And so I think the purpose of your earlier
16 ruling, at least my understanding of it, was to
17 avoid gratuitous, if I can call it that,
18 reference to inflammatory documents where the
19 evidence was not relevant, --

02:01 20 COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: Yes.

21 MR. HODSON: -- in which case it wouldn't
22 get on the record. If this document, if this
23 evidence is relevant, then it becomes evidence
24 unless you wish to wait until we hear from
02:01 25 Sergeant Pearson to determine whether it is



1 relevant is another option.

2 COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: I have already
3 said that it's not relevant for today's purposes,
4 and it may become so under examination of further
02:01 5 witnesses, so I think in fairness to the subject
6 of these comments it should not be published at
7 this time.

8 MR. HODSON: So, just so that I'm clear,
9 what we will do is we will redact paragraph 313
02:02 10 from the document, it will become a full exhibit,
11 so paragraph 313 will not be public. I presume
12 that there is a ban on publication and that we'll
13 revisit this with later witnesses?

14 COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: Possibly, if
02:02 15 that's what counsel wish to do, yeah.

16 MR. HODSON: Yeah.

17 COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: Mr. Wolch, do you
18 have any further comment?

19 MR. WOLCH: Just one comment. I don't want
02:02 20 to cause any problem but I'm not certain, at this
21 point, I'm going to cross-examine the witness or
22 not, but if I do I would touch on his remarks,
23 not necessarily even in the context of this
24 paragraph, but I think his remarks would be
02:02 25 important as to attitude and -- towards my



1 client.

2 COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: Well, perhaps,
3 except that I don't know if any basis has been
4 made to demonstrate that this witness had any say
02:02 5 in the course of the investigation beyond his
6 immediate duties.

7 MR. WOLCH: No, what I am getting at is,
8 though, that the officer's remark back to a young
9 person pending on a trial or pending --

02:03 10 COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: Well you can go
11 into that.

12 MR. WOLCH: That's what I mean.

13 COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: Yeah, and if you
14 do, of course the same --

02:03 15 MR. HODSON: Might I make a suggestion? I
16 think, if I can in fairness, if Mr. Wolch puts
17 this in play I think it is an exhibit, and if --
18 the reason it is not going to become public is
19 because we don't know its relevance yet, and when
02:03 20 we determine its relevance it becomes public. If
21 it becomes public in cross then I think it's,
22 that's a different story, and perhaps what we
23 could do is revisit this at the conclusion of
24 cross-examinations today and perhaps, then,
02:03 25 assess the extent to which this may or may not



1 become public. Does that further complicate the
2 matters or --

3 COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: No, we can do
4 that, certainly.

02:04 5 MR. HODSON: Umm --

6 COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: Mr. Wolch, it's
7 entirely up to him whether he wants to pursue the
8 matter, and if he does he will do so in the
9 knowledge that he may make it relevant and,
02:04 10 hence, part of the record. All right.

11 MR. HODSON: Thanks, Mr. Commissioner.

12 BY MR. HODSON:

13 Q If I could go to 011848, please. And this is a
14 report, an RCMP report of November 14th, 1991.

02:04 15 I might add, Mr. Commissioner,
16 there are parts in this report that are related to
17 other matters that I have asked the staff to
18 remove from the document before it is put on the
19 Internet as a public document. They are matters
02:04 20 that, unless they get dealt with -- the problem
21 with some of the RCMP reports is they are very
22 lengthy, and they deal with many, many matters,
23 and to protect the privacy of those individuals,
24 matters that are not before the Court we will take
02:05 25 steps to avoid, where possible, inadvertently



1 disclosing them. So I just point that out.

2 For the purposes of Mr. Parker,
3 if you could go to the next page, please. And
4 again, if we could call out paragraph c), and this
02:05 5 is a follow-up interview, I think this is an
6 in-person interview after your telephone
7 interview, and again it talks about:

8 "... was interviewed concerning comments
9 he recently made that moccasin tracks
02:05 10 were seen near the body of Gail Miller
11 on the morning of the crime. It is
12 known that David Milgaard was outside
13 his vehicle in his stocking feet during
14 his stop in Saskatoon. Possibly the
02:05 15 moccasin tracks seen by Parker were in
16 fact stocking feet impressions made by
17 Milgaard. Parker today states he
18 distinctly recalls seeing several tracks
19 in the packed snow, which at the time he
02:06 20 believed were moccasin tracks. During
21 the original murder trial, Parker made
22 no reference in his evidence to the
23 moccasin tracks. In an effort to pursue
24 this key point further, inquiries at the
02:06 25 Saskatoon City Police Department



1 revealed Parker's original notes of 31
2 JAN 1969. In those notes is a comment,
3 'no clear footprints'. There is nothing
4 in the original notes which would
5 suggest moccasin tracks were observed."

6 And then if we could go down -- does that
7 accurately record what you would have told the
8 RCMP at the time?

9 A No, it's not.

02:06 10 Q And what is -- and, again, this may simply be
11 their own commentary, but is there something in
12 there that you don't agree with?

13 A I don't agree with 'he said it's near the body',
14 that's not true at all.

02:06 15 Q Okay. Umm --

16 A This was on the east-west lane.

17 Q Okay. Let's call up, if we can call up the map,
18 please, one of the maps, I think map A or map B.
19 Just call out that area, please. We know that the
02:07 20 body -- you see where the body was found, and
21 there is the T intersection, can you just put an X
22 on the screen where you say the moccasin tracks
23 were?

24 A Around there someplace.

02:07 25 Q Okay. I'm going to help you out here. Are you



1 talking in this area?

2 A That's right.

3 Q And it's --

4 A But on that --

02:07 5 Q On the north side?

6 A On the north side.

7 Q So if we said right here?

8 A About there, yeah, I would say.

9 Q And so in the travelled portion of the road or on
02:07 10 the side?

11 A No, on the side.

12 Q So that would be near where you found the sweater
13 and boot, then? Where in relation --

14 A Well I can't recall exactly, but it was on that
02:07 15 side, but --

16 Q Okay. So somewhere, I think you have described it
17 elsewhere, on the north side of the east-west
18 lane --

19 A That's right.

02:08 20 Q -- east of the T?

21 A Yeah.

22 Q So right in where the --

23 A Yeah, that's right.

24 COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: It was near the
02:08 25 Hounjet fence?



1 BY MR. HODSON:

2 Q Okay. It was near the Hounjet fence?

3 A Yeah.

4 Q Okay. So if we could go back to the RCMP report,
02:08 5 please, so you take issue with saying 'no, it
6 wasn't near the body'?

7 A Definitely not.

8 Q And then if we could scroll down to paragraph d).
9 Again, Mr. Commissioner, I just point it out;
02:08 10 there is another discussion, again, about the
11 subject matter we just talked about where
12 Mr. Parker was asked about his encounter with
13 Mr. Milgaard in 1969.

14 And then down to paragraph e),
02:08 15 it says:

16 "Another point brought up by Parker was
17 an observation he made that a vehicle
18 appeared to have been stuck in the snow
19 east of the 'T' intersection of the
02:08 20 alley where the body of Gail Miller was
21 found. It is known that the vehicle
22 Milgaard was in during the morning of
23 Ms. Miller's murder became stuck in an
24 alley, however, there was later some
02:09 25 dispute of the actual location. While



1 Parker now makes his recollection, it
2 does not form part of his testimony at
3 trial, nor is it to be found in his
4 notebook."

02:09 5 And, again, is that an accurate account of what
6 you would have told the RCMP about a vehicle
7 being stuck in that alley?

8 A I didn't say 'stuck', there was tracks there,
9 that's -- on the east.

02:09 10 Q Okay. It says here that:

11 "... a vehicle appeared to have been
12 stuck in the snow east of the 'T'
13 intersection ..."

14 A No, it wasn't stuck.

02:09 15 Q You are saying --

16 A It was on the travelled portion of the road, there
17 was ruts there, but they were all covered with
18 this hoarfrost.

19 Q So help us -- help me to understand here. You,
02:09 20 according to what you told the RCMP in '91, you
21 are saying that you recall identifying somewhere
22 in the east-west alley something in the snow that
23 would indicate --

24 A Yes.

02:10 25 Q -- a vehicle was there that morning?



1 A Yeah, well I don't know about that morning. The
2 tracks were fairly deep, --

3 Q Yes?

4 A -- and -- but they were covered with that
02:10 5 hoarfrost --

6 Q Yes?

7 A -- and that stuff, so I couldn't tell you if they
8 were fresh or -- that's when I was questioned, I
9 think on the trial by Mr. Tallis, but they weren't
02:10 10 --

11 Q Yeah, I think what Mr. --

12 A -- didn't look fresh at all.

13 Q I think when Mr. Tallis questioned you at the
14 trial, the part I read to you this morning, --

02:10 15 A Yeah.

16 Q -- I believe your evidence was that you could not
17 identify anything of significance --

18 A Yeah, that's.

19 Q -- to indicate that a car was stuck or travelled
02:10 20 there?

21 A Yeah.

22 Q And it appears, at least according to what the
23 RCMP write here, that, according to their notes,
24 that you are now saying something different, that
02:10 25 there may have been a vehicle stuck there?



1 A Yeah, there could have. Like I say, I --

2 Q Well no, a bit more than 'could have', it says
3 'maybe the vehicle appears to have been stuck'.
4 Now are you --

02:10 5 A Well, there was tracks there, now it could have
6 been stuck, or I don't know, because it was going
7 uphill a bit but --

8 Q Let me ask you this; is this point, is this a
9 different recollection in 1991 that the RCMP is
02:11 10 recording than what you said at Mr. Milgaard's
11 trial?

12 A I can't remember.

13 Q Okay. Let me try it a different way. In -- at
14 David Milgaard's trial you gave evidence about
02:11 15 your observations of the lane way; remember I went
16 through that?

17 A Yeah.

18 Q And your observations?

19 A Yeah.

02:11 20 Q In 1991, when you talk to the RCMP, it appears
21 that you are giving them new information or
22 information different than what you said at the
23 trial in 1970; in other words that there may --
24 that there appears to be a vehicle stuck. And my
02:11 25 question is -- and I appreciate you say --



1 A Yeah.

2 Q -- you don't agree with what they wrote, but my
3 question is in 1991, when you are talking to the
4 RCMP, is this a matter like the moccasin tracks
02:11 5 where you are thinking about it and saying 'you
6 know what, I remember something differently --'

7 A That's right.

8 Q '-- than what I said in 1969'?

9 A That's right.

02:12 10 Q It is?

11 A Yeah.

12 Q Okay. So what's different than what you remember
13 in 1991?

14 A Well thinking about, after I learned that someone
02:12 15 was in stocking feet or something like that I
16 thought, 'well, this might have something to do
17 with it, I don't know for sure'. So they were
18 asking these questions and I says 'well, there was
19 ruts there but I don't know if a vehicle was
02:12 20 stuck', or I don't know exactly what I said to
21 them but I can remember there was ruts but they
22 were all covered with hoarfrost, and the same with
23 the imprints of a, like, a moccasin.

24 Q Yes?

02:12 25 A I, but there was only a few of them there, and so



1 it wasn't relevant to me in regards to my
2 investigation.

3 Q Okay. So are you telling us that in 1991, in your
4 mind, they then became relevant?

02:12 5 A That's --

6 Q The observations of the tracks?

7 A Yeah, that's --

8 Q Okay.

9 A I thought, well, it could have some connection, I
02:13 10 don't know.

11 Q At the time in 1970 when you testified at David
12 Milgaard's trial were you not aware of the
13 theory/position/evidence that the vehicle David
14 Milgaard was in was stuck in that alley?

02:13 15 A No, I wasn't, I wasn't aware of it at all.

16 Q Or in the vicinity of the alley?

17 A No, I wasn't.

18 Q Okay. So it was later when you found out that
19 there had been evidence that David Milgaard's
02:13 20 vehicle was stuck in that alley, at least some
21 evidence of that, that you started to think that
22 maybe you saw those tracks?

23 A Yeah.

24 Q And that's what you would have been telling the
02:13 25 RCMP in 1991?



1 A Yeah, that's right.

2 Q And what was it, if you can, that you recall about
3 the tire tracks that caused you to think a vehicle
4 may have been stuck there?

02:13 5 A Well they were fairly deep, you know, but then I
6 heard that, later on, that someone was apparently
7 stuck there. But I don't, still don't know if
8 that was the same alley that they were stuck, --

9 Q Okay.

02:14 10 A -- I couldn't tell you.

11 Q If we could then go I think in 1993, the two
12 reports I read to you were from 1991 and that's
13 where the RCMP were investigating on behalf of
14 Federal Justice in connection with Mr. Milgaard's
02:14 15 application to review his conviction; okay?

16 A Yes.

17 Q In 1993 the RCMP, different officers, were
18 investigating matters related to the David
19 Milgaard conviction and investigation and I
02:14 20 believe they talked to you again; is that correct?

21 A Umm, that's correct, that was -- that was the
22 second time.

23 Q Yeah, it was Constable Cunningham, does that name
24 sound --

02:14 25 A There was two of them I think.



1 Q Two of them, yes. If we could call up 041237,
2 actually it's 041222 is the doc. ID, but it's
3 backwards and so we'll start on the last page,
4 being 041237. And so this is April 8th, 1993,
02:15 5 contacted Parker, and that they would meet on
6 April 14th, '93, and does that sound about the
7 right time that you would have talked to them?

8 A Oh, I can't recall that.

9 Q If you go to the next page, please, which is 36,
02:15 10 and actually just if we can -- it appears the RCMP
11 provided you with a number of documents to refresh
12 your memory, including the transcripts of the
13 prelim and trial, summary and documents, etcetera,
14 portions of Sergeant Pearson's report, the Linda
02:15 15 Fisher complaint, etcetera, and then it -- just
16 scroll down to the bottom; do you recall the RCMP
17 giving you some papers, at that time, to look at?

18 A No, I can't recall.

19 Q It says:

02:15 20 "In any event, Mr. Parker provided the
21 following information:",
22 and if we go on to the next page, please, and it
23 says here:

24 "- he confirmed the police reports and
02:16 25 events as they are documented ...",



1 etcetera:

2 "He cannot recall finding the sweater
3 and the boot which were buried near the
4 east-west lane. He seems to recall
02:16 5 locating Chiclets."

6 Do you remember, I had heard your evidence this
7 morning that you recalled finding the sweater and
8 the boots?

9 A Yeah. I don't know why I couldn't recall it then,
02:16 10 I remember that.

11 Q Okay. Any reason that you would have told the
12 RCMP -- now -- told the RCMP that?

13 A Hmm, I don't know, I just got out of the hospital
14 so I don't know what I had told them --

02:16 15 Q Okay.

16 A -- for sure, I can't recall it.

17 Q Okay. If we could just scroll down, please, just
18 talking about the tire tracks it says here:

19 "Initially, he stated he found no
02:17 20 evidence of footprints, tire tracks or
21 vehicles being stuck. At trial, this
22 was much the same except for the tire
23 tracks being 'obliterated' and some ruts
24 that were found near '227 Avenue N' in
02:17 25 the laneway which did not appear to be



1 fresh. He admitted that these tracks
2 did not appear to be significant."

3 Next page, and:

4 "When Sgt. Pearson spoke to Mr. Parker
02:17 5 in November 1991, the latter stated that
6 he recalls what appeared to be a car
7 being stuck in the east-west alley, and
8 that he found 'women's items' about 20
9 feet from where the car was stuck. In
02:17 10 addition, he stated he recalled
11 footprints being present which resembled
12 moccasin tracks which were not connected
13 to a person wearing sock feet until some
14 time later."

02:18 15 Now does that accurately record what you would
16 have told the RCMP?

17 A I believe so.

18 Q It says:

19 "We questioned Parker in great detail as
02:18 20 to the disparities which exist above.
21 He was outwardly shocked when he read
22 his previous testimony in which he
23 failed to allude to the tracks
24 apparently made by moccasins or a person
02:18 25 in stocking feet. He stated he recalls



1 vividly that he saw the imprints but
2 that they didn't take on any
3 significance until after the trial when
4 he learned that Milgaard is alleged to
02:18 5 have been shoeless throughout the time
6 period in question."

7 If we can pause there, does that accurately
8 record what you would have told the RCMP, and
9 your expressions?

02:18 10 A That's correct.

11 Q Were you outwardly shocked when you read your
12 previous evidence and didn't see the moccasin
13 tracks, marks?

14 A Probably I was. I can't recall.

02:18 15 Q I take it that's something that surprised you,
16 that it wasn't in your --

17 A That's right.

18 Q And then, carrying on, it says:

19 "Parker went one step further and
02:18 20 informed us that he recalls seeing the
21 'moccasin or stocking' tracks heading
22 west along the north edge of the
23 east-west lane toward the T. Beside
24 these tracks to the inside (south) of
02:19 25 them were boot tracks with flat square



1 type heels which headed in the same
2 direction. Parker formed the opinion
3 that whoever wore the boots was walking
4 beside the person wearing moccasins or
02:19 5 stocking feet."

6 And, again, does that accurately record what you
7 would have told the RCMP?

8 A That could be, yes.

9 Q Now this, the moccasin tracks I think you told us
02:19 10 about, now this boot tracks beside it with
11 square-type heels headed in the same direction; --

12 A That's.

13 Q -- do you recall that?

14 A I remember that it was sort of a square-heeled,
02:19 15 but not too much. It was sort of obliterated, you
16 know, all these tracks were.

17 Q Was there any reason, at the trial of David
18 Milgaard when Mr. Tallis asked you the question
19 about footprints, that you didn't tell him about
02:19 20 the boot tracks with flat square-type heels headed
21 in the same direction with the moccasin tracks?

22 A I didn't think they were relevant at all, they
23 were quite a ways away from the body, and --

24 Q And you recall the evidence, though -- and I don't
02:20 25 need to bring it up again -- but Mr. Tallis went



1 through with you --

2 A Yeah.

3 Q -- as to why you walked up and down the alley, and
4 I think the record reflects looking for
02:20 5 footprints, tire tracks, --

6 A Yeah.

7 Q -- anything to connect to the crime, so you would
8 have gone and looked in this area --

9 A Yeah.

02:20 10 Q -- for footprints and tire tracks; correct?

11 A Yeah. But they were sort of, they were all
12 obliterated except for the -- right near the body
13 it was fairly obvious that a scuffle had taken
14 place.

02:20 15 Q But the purpose of looking in this area where you
16 say you saw the --

17 A Yeah.

18 Q -- moccasin tracks and the boot heels and the
19 tire tracks --

02:20 20 A Yeah.

21 Q -- was to try and identify just that, tire tracks,
22 footprints --

23 A Yeah.

24 Q -- to connect to the crime scene?

02:20 25 A Yeah, well that's -- I didn't think they were



1 connected at all.

2 Q And why was that?

3 A Because they were obliterated, they just -- there
4 was lots of --

02:21 5 Q And what caused you then, in 1991 and 1993 then,
6 to think that they were relevant?

7 A And I thought 'well goldarn it, they might have
8 something to do with it', after I heard evidence,
9 you know, from the trials and that stuff, could
02:21 10 be.

11 Q Did you --

12 A I said, that's what I told them, I said 'I don't
13 know if they are connected or not'.

14 Q And was it a case of you finding out more about --

02:21 15 A More about --

16 Q -- the evidence?

17 A The evidence, yeah.

18 Q And then what you thought may have fit what you
19 believed the evidence to be; is that right?

02:21 20 A That's right. They could have been connected but,
21 at the time, I didn't think they were.

22 Q Okay. And then you go on to say:

23 "- Mr. Parker stated that he does recall
24 signs of a vehicle with smooth tires
02:21 25 being stuck on the incline in the



1 laneway behind the Westwood Funeral
2 Home. At the time of trial, and at
3 prelim this was not alluded to. Parker
4 was very surprised to find this to be
02:22 5 the case since again, he reiterated his
6 belief that the ruts created by the
7 stuck vehicle were present. He added
8 that he later learned that Wilson's
9 vehicle never had reverse therefore his
02:22 10 observations took on some significance.
11 Initially, he could not understand why
12 the driver did not merely back down the
13 incline but with no reverse gear, his
14 observations made some sense."

02:22 15 Now do you recall telling; does that accurately
16 record what you --

17 A Well, I don't know, but I don't recall saying
18 smooth tires.

19 Q So you are saying you may not have said that?

02:22 20 A I may not have. I don't know. That's now, I
21 could have said it then, but I don't recall how I
22 would say --

23 Q Do you recall the RCMP, or anyone else, telling
24 you that the vehicle, Ron Wilson's vehicle, had
02:22 25 smooth tires?



1 A No.

2 Q So again, other than the smooth tires, does the
3 part that I read to you, does that accurately
4 record what you would have told the RCMP?

02:23 5 A Well, ruts created by a stuck vehicle, it could
6 have been. Like, I don't think I specifically
7 said, I -- I think I said to them, like, it could
8 be.

9 Q Okay.

02:23 10 A Because I -- I wasn't positive, but -- on that at
11 all.

12 Q So you are saying you may have shared this
13 information with the RCMP as, as a possibility --

14 A As a possibility.

02:23 15 Q -- as opposed to a --

16 A That's right. I didn't come out and positively
17 say that 'this is it'.

18 Q And were you, I take it at this time were you
19 aware that the evidence at trial was that Ron
02:23 20 Wilson's car had smooth tires --

21 A No.

22 Q -- and that the reverse in his vehicle didn't
23 work?

24 A No, I -- I heard that he didn't have reverse in
02:23 25 his -- that's later on, but I didn't -- never



1 heard about that he had smooth tires. And also,
2 as far as I'm concerned, I -- I think you could
3 push the car downhill --

4 Q Okay.

02:24 5 A -- and back down the, the incline.

6 Q And, again, this part here that:

7 "... the ruts created by the stuck
8 vehicle were present."

9 It sounds to me that, at this time, you were
02:24 10 saying that you do recall seeing something in the
11 snow that caused you to believe that a vehicle
12 was stuck there, or am I overstating it?

13 A Could have been stuck.

14 Q Could have been? Okay.

02:24 15 A Could have been stuck. There was ruts there but
16 they were all obliterated. As you can see in some
17 of these photos that the ident took, you can see
18 all the hoarfrost and everything else that was all
19 over the place, it was so darn cold out.

02:24 20 Q If we could just scroll down, scroll down to the
21 bottom please, it goes on to say:

22 "- as he recalls Ed Karst became
23 involved in the Miller investigation at
24 the time that Shorty Cadrain came to the
02:24 25 office regarding the investigation.



1 Parker said that Cadrain was an
2 informant who provided information to
3 him from time to time on various
4 offences in the city."

02:25 5 And I think, again, that's what you told us this
6 morning; is that correct?

7 A That's correct.

8 Q And then the next page, please. Umm, it talks
9 again about what Cadrain brought in and he -- and
02:25 10 you say:

11 "He thinks it may have been Milgaard's
12 identification but he isn't sure.
13 Whatever it was, it related to
14 Milgaard.) Parker said that he was too
02:25 15 busy to deal with Cadrain so Cadrain was
16 turned over to Karst. Shorty had asked
17 to be referred to 'not just anyone' but
18 rather a member who was experienced in
19 the protection of sources. Karst was
02:25 20 Parker's choice."

21 And is that what Shorty Cadrain would have said
22 to you?

23 A That's correct.

24 Q I think, this morning, you said that he said 'I
25 don't want to be burned'?



1 A Yeah, it was something like that.

2 Q And what, can you tell us what that might have
3 referred to?

4 A Didn't want to be, like, the investigating officer
02:26 5 saying 'I got this information from Shorty', like
6 squealing on him, --

7 Q Okay.

8 A -- saying that he is a fink --

9 Q Okay.

02:26 10 A -- or an informant.

11 Q Okay. Now in this report it says, the RCMP at
12 least record that whatever it was that Cadrain
13 came to see you on was related to Milgaard; is
14 that the case?

02:26 15 A I can't recall that.

16 Q Okay. Is it possible that it was on some other
17 file that you turned Cadrain over to Karst, or do
18 you think that --

19 A I couldn't say. I couldn't say. He wanted -- and
02:26 20 I was going out with the RCMP on an investigative
21 search warrant or an investigation.

22 Q It says at the bottom, if you could scroll down:

23 "- he recalls that Gus Weir was angry
24 that Karst had gone to interview Fisher,
02:26 25 the reason that the rapes (or at least



1 some of them), were his investigational
2 responsibility. Parker cannot recall
3 when he learned of Weir being upset but
4 it was sometime after the Milgaard trial
02:27 5 was over. He could not be more specific
6 than that."

7 And is that an accurate recording of what you
8 would have told them?

9 A Umm, yeah, parts. Let's see exactly. It was just
02:27 10 through the grapevine you hear the guy was
11 bitchin' that -- he was bitchin' about --

12 Q Gus Weir was?

13 A Yeah, he was not -- cheesed off, I guess.

14 Q And cheesed off at what, to your knowledge?

02:27 15 A That Karst was interviewing him, and not yourself.

16 Q Okay.

17 A But I don't know how true that is, I just heard it
18 through --

19 Q Is this through the grapevine or from Gus Weir?

02:27 20 A I -- no, no, no, no, no, through the grapevine.

21 Q So did you ever talk to Gus Weir at all about
22 this?

23 A Nope, no.

24 Q And again I don't know, if we just scroll down,
02:28 25 and it just talks about:



1 "- Parker had not heard of Larry Fisher
2 while the Milgaard investigation was
3 being carried on. In fact, he is
4 uncertain as to when he learned of
02:28 5 Fisher's identity. Parker did not know
6 that Karst-Nordstrom has interviewed
7 Fisher in Winnipeg until 'sometime'
8 later."

9 I take it that's consistent with what you told us
02:28 10 this morning?

11 A That's right.

12 Q Go to the next page, please, it says:

13 "- he has no knowledge of the Linda
14 Fisher complaint in 1980 nor has he seen
02:28 15 the report - statement filed by Insp.
16 Wagner. He identified Wagner's
17 handwriting on the report and states the
18 latter questioned him about the report
19 some years later. A heated discussion
02:28 20 occurred because Parker maintained he
21 had no knowledge of the complaint."

22 And, again, is that an accurate recording of what
23 you told the RCMP?

24 A That's right.

02:28 25 Q So did you talk to Inspector Wagner about the 1980



1 report sometime later and have a heated --

2 A No, no, I thought I had this discussion with the
3 RCMP.

4 Q Okay.

02:29 5 A The discussion occurred because Parker -- I had
6 no -- 'what the heck are you talking about'.

7 Q It says -- oh, I see. So -- well let's just go
8 back. So it says Parker:

9 "... identified Wagner's handwriting on
02:29 10 the report and states the latter ...",
11 being Wagner:

12 "... questioned him about the report
13 some years later."

14 Do you see that?

02:29 15 A Oh.

16 Q I'm just wondering. It suggests there, Mr.
17 Parker, that --

18 A Yeah.

19 Q -- Wagner might have questioned you; do you
02:29 20 remember that at all?

21 A No.

22 Q And then it goes on to say:

23 "A heated discussion occurred because
24 Parker maintained he had no knowledge of
02:29 25 the complaint."



1 When I read that I thought that was a heated
2 discussion between you and Inspector Wagner?

3 A It could have been, but I can't remember that at
4 all.

02:29 5 Q How about a heated discussion with the RCMP?

6 A Well, I shouldn't say a heated, --

7 Q Okay?

8 A -- maybe I would say 'what the heck is going on
9 here'.

02:30 10 Q And then again if we could just scroll down, Mr.
11 Commissioner, I'll just identify, again, the same
12 references to the subject matter that we had the
13 earlier submissions on. Next page please, if we
14 just go to the next page, please. And this is,
02:30 15 this is the RCMP comments, and I just want to read
16 parts of this. This is not, they are not writing
17 down what you have told them, but they are writing
18 their comments it appears. It says:

19 "From our discussion with Mr. Parker,
02:30 20 Cst. Cunningham and I formed the opinion
21 that Mr. Parker was being truthful in
22 his responses to us. He was always
23 willing to respond without hesitation
24 and readily admitted to the disparities
02:30 25 between his accounting of the footprints



1 and tire tracks. It was very apparent
2 that Parker believes that he recalls the
3 footprints and the signs of a vehicle
4 being stuck despite what he said in his
02:31 5 testimony. However on further
6 questioning, he readily admits that he
7 may be mistaken in his recollection. In
8 otherwords, the years that have passed
9 by may have convinced him that he did
02:31 10 see the prints and vehicle ruts. Parker
11 was clear in his recollection of the
12 events in the cell block. There was no
13 question on this point."

14 And, again, appreciate that these are an
02:31 15 officer's comments; but did they, when they
16 questioned you, did you readily admit that you
17 may be mistaken on your recollection on the
18 tracks and prints?

19 A It could, could be, yeah.

02:31 20 Q That's accurate?

21 A That's right, yeah.

22 Q Now I believe your next involvement, sir, was you
23 testified at the Larry Fisher preliminary hearing
24 and trial; is that correct?

02:31 25 A That's correct.



1 Q Do you recall learning about the DNA results in
2 1997 relating to this matter?

3 A No.

4 Q If I could just call up doc. ID 246777, and we'll
02:32 5 go to 781, I think is the start of the prelim.
6 And, again, you have had a chance to read through
7 the transcripts of the prelim and trial on
8 previous occasions, or no?

9 A Not clearly.

02:32 10 Q Would it be fair to say that, when you testified
11 under oath in both those proceedings, you would
12 have told the truth to the best of your
13 recollection at the time?

14 A That's correct.

02:32 15 Q If we can go to page 246787, and again just to --
16 this is the examination by the Crown prosecutor
17 and you are asked about the scene:

18 "Q Could you indicate what you recall about
19 the area around the body?

02:33 20 A It seemed like a scuffle had taken
21 place there."

22 And I think that's consistent with what you said
23 at the David Milgaard trial; is that correct?

24 A That's correct.

02:31 25 Q And then to page 246800 and you are just asked



1 again here about the boot and sweater in the snow
2 and I think this is consistent with what you told
3 us this morning, you were asked:

4 "Q Could a person simply have thrown the
02:31 5 articles and they would've gone in to
6 the snow?"

7 You said:

8 "A No. Oh no. No. That'd have to be
9 pushed. The size of them, that'd have
02:31 10 to be pushed in there."

11 I think that's what you told us; is that correct?

12 A That's correct.

13 Q Page 246804, and again this is the Crown
14 prosecutor at the prelim asked the question:

02:31 15 "Q Can you indicate whether or not you were
16 looking for footprints or tire marks in
17 the lane?

18 A Yes, I was. I never saw anything that
19 appeared real fresh, for the simple
02:31 20 reason that it was, like, sort of ice
21 crystals and it obliterated any
22 like -- well, from the time we were
23 there you can see our footprints but
24 other than that you couldn't. And
02:32 25 around the body you couldn't see any



1 what -- real footprints because it was
2 so cold the snow sort of moved in.

3 Q Okay.

4 A It wouldn't leave a, like in a softer
02:32 5 snow you could see but in -- but this
6 here was so cold that it just moved
7 in.

8 Q All right. You didn't see any sign of
9 footprints that would aid in your
02:32 10 investigation?

11 A No, not that I could see anyway.
12 Ident might've found something. I
13 don't know."

14 And I'm wondering, Mr. Parker, you would agree
02:32 15 there that there's no reference to the moccasin
16 tracks, to the square-heeled boot tracks or to
17 the stuck vehicle; correct?

18 A That's correct.

19 Q And can you tell us why you would not have given
02:32 20 that evidence at Mr. Fisher's preliminary hearing?

21 A Because it was not relevant.

22 Q Okay.

23 A I didn't know if they were fresh or what.

24 Q When you say not relevant, and again --

02:32 25 A To my investigation at that time.



1 Q Okay. But why didn't you just tell Mr. Sinclair
2 that, 'lookit, I saw what I thought were moccasin
3 tracks, I thought I saw boot tracks and I thought
4 I saw a vehicle that might be stuck,' and let him
02:33 5 or the court or someone else decide whether or not
6 it was relevant?

7 A Well, I was the chap that had to answer to it and
8 that's why I said what I said.

9 Q So did you make a decision then not to tell the
02:33 10 court about the moccasin tracks and the vehicle
11 and the boot?

12 A That's right.

13 Q If we could then go to page 246821 -- actually,
14 22, sorry, the next page, and this is Mr. Beresh
02:33 15 asking you again at the prelim, and he says:

16 "Q Right. So if you left a footprint it
17 wouldn't be a clear footprint?

18 A Well, I couldn't see any clear
19 footprints."

02:34 20 And again, do you have the same answer as to why
21 you wouldn't have told him about the moccasin
22 tracks or the heel marks?

23 A That's correct.

24 Q Page 246833 -- actually, just the one page
02:34 25 previous to that. This is Mr. Beresh questioning



1 you about your interviews at the bus stop on
2 February 3rd and in particular what you might have
3 said to these people about what you were
4 investigating and you recall I asked you some
02:35 5 questions this morning about that and Mr. Beresh
6 says:

7 "Q And I take it when you spoke to these
8 people you informed them generally what
9 you were investigating?

02:35 10 A I could've. I can't recall that.

11 Q Well, I would presume you would go up to
12 them and say --

13 A Well, that's -- probably I told them
14 what had, probably what had happened,
02:35 15 and asked them if they had heard
16 anything unusual.

17 Q Probably would go up to them and say I'm
18 investigating the death of this woman
19 who was found here recently; what do you
02:35 20 know about it?

21 A Could've said something like that,
22 yeah."

23 I'm just wondering, Mr. Parker, I appreciate you
24 told the Court at the Fisher trial and you told
02:35 25 us you can't recall what you would have said, but



1 I think both Mr. Beresh and I asked you the
2 question 'what do you think you would have said'
3 and I think this morning you said you probably
4 wouldn't have said much, wouldn't have --

02:35 5 A That's correct, I wouldn't have mentioned murder
6 or anything like that.

7 Q Okay. If I can then go to the trial transcript,
8 313366, go to page 313397, and you are asked the
9 question:

02:36 10 "Q Okay. Detective, did you as well walk
11 up and down -- well, in your search as
12 you've described your search in the
13 alley looking for things, did you make
14 note of any track or anything unusual in
02:37 15 the alley?

16 A I can't recall that.

17 Q Pardon me."

18 So I take it at that time you told the Crown
19 prosecutor you couldn't recall making a note of
02:37 20 any tracks?

21 A That's right.

22 Q What is your recollection today, Mr. Parker, of
23 what you observed in that back alley by way of
24 footprints, the moccasin or any other footprint
02:37 25 and any signs of a vehicle being stuck in the



1 east/west alley --

2 A Like I said previously, there was ruts there, they
3 were obliterated with the heavy crystals, same
4 with the footprints, whatever they were, they
02:37 5 were, didn't look fresh to me, but after finding
6 out that the car was stuck, and I don't know if it
7 was stuck there or what, but I just came to, well,
8 gee, maybe that has something to do with the case.

9 Q So your recollection today, let's talk about
02:38 10 vehicle tracks, tell us in your own words what is
11 your recollection of what you observed in that
12 alley the morning of the murder as to signs of a
13 vehicle being stuck?

14 A I don't know if it was stuck. There was ruts
02:38 15 there.

16 Q Or signs that would indicate a vehicle may have
17 been stuck?

18 A That's right.

19 Q And what do you recall of that?

02:38 20 A It was -- they didn't look fresh to me at all.

21 Q So did you conclude that a vehicle may have been
22 stuck the morning of the murder today based on
23 what you observed?

24 A Oh, I don't --

02:38 25 Q What about footprints?



1 A Well, could have had something to do with it, but
2 at that time that wasn't --

3 Q Today do you have a recollection of observing
4 moccasin-like footprints in the east/west --

02:38 5 A Yes.

6 Q You do?

7 A Yeah.

8 Q And what about boot prints or heel prints?

9 A Well, I noticed there was squares, there was only
02:39 10 a few -- like, they didn't lead to the --

11 Q Right.

12 A -- to the T at all, they were just --

13 Q Did you ever compare that or look at the boot, or
14 at the heel print on the boots worn by Gail
02:39 15 Miller?

16 A Not that I can recall.

17 Q One of the mandates of this Commission of Inquiry,
18 Mr. Parker, is to inquire into the conduct of the
19 investigation into the death of Gail Miller. As
02:39 20 you know, Larry Fisher has been convicted of the
21 rape and murder of Gail Miller and the Government
22 of Saskatchewan has exonerated David Milgaard and
23 declared him to be factually innocent of this
24 crime. If you look back at your role in the
02:39 25 investigation into the death of Gail Miller, is



1 there anything you believe you could have or
2 should have done differently?

3 A I guess I could have mentioned the tracks, but
4 that's about all from this Inquiry. I would have
02:40 5 cut short a lot of questioning.

6 Q If you look back at the original police
7 investigation in its entirety, and not just your
8 role, but the entire police investigation, and
9 based upon your observations or personal knowledge
02:40 10 of the investigation, what do you think could have
11 or should have been done differently?

12 A I don't think there's -- anything could have been.

13 MR. HODSON: Those are my questions, Mr.
14 Parker, thank you. I'm wondering,
02:40 15 Mr. Commissioner, whether we should break now and
16 I can take a roll-call of cross-examiners, or
17 whether we wish to get into --

18 COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: No, we'll break,
19 thanks.

02:59 20 (Adjourned at 2:40 p.m.)

21 (Reconvened at 3:00 p.m.)

22 **BY MR. ELSON:**

23 Q Mr. Commissioner, I've agreed to go first. It's
24 not going to be a particularly adversarial
02:59 25 cross-examination, but it is a cross-examination



1 that is consistent with the opening statement that
2 we made to you earlier; namely, where we're
3 interested in pursuing some possible
4 recommendations and it's in that context that I
02:59 5 would like to ask Mr. Parker some questions.

6 Mr. Parker, my name is Richard
7 Elson and I'm representing Chief Russell Sabo and
8 the Saskatoon Police Service. For the record, you
9 and I have not spoken before today; is that
02:59 10 correct?

11 A That's correct.

12 Q And in fact you would have received a letter from
13 my law firm, McKercher, McKercher & Whitmore,
14 indicating that for the purposes of this Inquiry
03:00 15 our office is not to be seen as representing any
16 of the former police officers of the Saskatoon
17 City Police?

18 A I never received the letter.

19 Q You didn't receive that letter?

03:00 20 A No, I didn't.

21 Q You should have if you didn't.

22 A I didn't.

23 Q In any event, you have your own counsel here today
24 in Mr. Henderson?

03:00 25 A Yes.



1 Q Mr. Parker, I would like to ask you some questions
2 arising from the, I'm calling it the Wagner
3 report. I've forgotten what Officer Wagner's rank
4 was at that time.

03:00 5 MR. HODSON: Inspector.

6 BY MR. ELSON:

7 Q Inspector, Inspector Wagner, and that document is
8 105323 and that is the investigation report dated
9 August 28, 1980. I don't have any questions with
03:00 10 respect to the specific content of the report,
11 Mr. Parker, but you do recall the evidence put to
12 you that it was the understanding I believe, and I
13 stand to be corrected by Commission Counsel, that
14 Inspector Wagner believes or believed that this
03:01 15 report had been referred to you after it had been
16 received from Linda Fisher and what became of it
17 nobody seems entirely sure, there doesn't appear
18 to have been any follow-up, and as I understand
19 your evidence, you don't recall having received
03:01 20 this report from Inspector Wagner; is that
21 correct?

22 A That's correct.

23 Q Now, you also said in answering Mr. Hodson's
24 questions that in your view it was a document that
03:01 25 should have been followed up. Did I hear you



1 correctly?

2 A That's correct.

3 Q Now, in your career as a member of the Saskatoon
4 City Police, were you ever involved in an
03:01 5 investigation of a closed file, and specifically
6 what I mean by a closed file is a file where there
7 had been a conviction and the appeal period, or
8 the appeal had either been exhausted or the appeal
9 period had expired and nothing further was
03:02 10 expected to be done on it? Had you ever been
11 involved in an investigation of such a file?

12 A Not that I can recall, no.

13 Q Had you ever been -- are you ever aware of any
14 other officer of the Saskatoon Police Service,
03:02 15 during your career, ever being asked to
16 participate in an investigation of a file where
17 there had been a conviction and no subsequent
18 appeals?

19 A No.

03:02 20 Q Are you aware of a provision which existed then
21 and still exists now in the *Criminal Code* which
22 allows the Federal Minister of Justice to review a
23 conviction on the grounds of a miscarriage of
24 justice?

03:02 25 A No.



1 Q On the grounds of a possible miscarriage of
2 justice?

3 A Not that I can recall.

4 Q So when you indicated, and I'm curious, and I ask
03:03 5 this question of you honestly without having any
6 idea what your answer would be, if this were to be
7 followed up, assume that it was presented to you
8 and assume that you followed it up and assume that
9 you believed every word Linda Fisher said and
03:03 10 assume that you believed it to be very credible
11 and very relevant with respect to whether or not
12 Larry Fisher had committed this crime, what do you
13 think you would have done with it?

14 A Well, first of all, I would go to the top and
03:03 15 advise them what I had found out and then let them
16 take the appropriate steps.

17 Q Can I stop you at that point. Who is the top?

18 A Well, it could be the, whoever is in charge of the
19 detective division that had the file.

03:04 20 Q All right. So that would be the top as you
21 understood it to be?

22 A That's right. Then --

23 Q Carry on.

24 A Then from there I imagine they would go and speak
03:04 25 to the prosecutor.



1 Q All right. And speak to the prosecutor?

2 A Yeah.

3 Q And it would be -- would it be your expectation
4 that the matter would be referred to the
03:04 5 Department of Justice?

6 A Well, that's what I would think would be done.

7 Q All right. What expectation would you have that
8 this information would be disclosed to the person
9 actually doing time for the offence, in this case
03:04 10 David Milgaard?

11 A What -- could you repeat that again?

12 Q What expectation would you have that this
13 information would be passed on to the person
14 actually doing time; namely, David Milgaard?

03:04 15 A Oh, I couldn't say.

16 Q And you would have no idea whether it would be
17 passed on to his counsel?

18 A I couldn't say.

19 Q Do you have an opinion as to what should happen to
03:05 20 this kind of information? When you look at it now
21 from the perspective of hindsight, and I
22 appreciate hindsight is 20/20, but if this report
23 was to be referred to you and you say it should
24 have been followed up, from hindsight what do you
03:05 25 think now should happen to that document and what



1 advice might you give to the Commissioner about
2 how police officers should handle information that
3 comes to them in the context of a closed file
4 where an accused has already been convicted?

03:05 5 A Oh, I still think it should be opened.

6 Q By whom?

7 A By the department and with advice from the
8 Attorney General's department probably, you know.
9 Every case would be a little different probably
03:05 10 and exactly what type of evidence you've gained,
11 if it would be submitted or not.

12 Q When you give the answer to that question, and I
13 appreciate your answer, Mr. Parker, but when you
14 give the answer to that question, are you aware of
03:06 15 any formal policy that might exist within any
16 municipal police force in Canada that talks about
17 how police departments should deal with
18 information such as this that comes to the
19 attention of a police department that may suggest
03:06 20 an accused, a person already convicted may not be
21 guilty of the offence for which that person has
22 been convicted, are you aware of any policy that
23 gives any guidance to police departments on that
24 point?

03:06 25 A Not that I know of.



1 MR. ELSON: Thank you, Mr. Parker, I have
2 no further questions.

3 A You're welcome.

4 BY MS. WEMPE:

03:06 5 Q Good afternoon, Mr. Parker. My name is Rochelle
6 Wempe and I'm here on behalf of the RCMP.

7 Now, your testimony today, you
8 stated that in your conversations with the RCMP in
9 both '91 and '93, you've indicated different
03:07 10 things to them than what you testified to at the
11 Milgaard trial and prelim inquiry, you've stated
12 that there were moccasin tracks, that there were
13 square tracks, that there were ruts which appeared
14 to look like a vehicle was stuck, then that there
03:07 15 were just ruts with frost on them. If we could
16 pull up document ID number 041222 and go to page
17 041226. Now, earlier the top part of this, right
18 here, was put to you, and you agreed with Mr.
19 Hodson with the RCMP's recording that you may have
03:08 20 been mistaken in your recollection of the events.
21 Now, in your discussions with the RCMP, it was a
22 very open discussion, you were trying to
23 co-operate as best you could obviously. Your
24 discussions with the RCMP were a different forum
03:08 25 than a trial or a preliminary inquiry; is that



1 correct?

2 A That's correct.

3 Q It was more of an open discussion?

4 A Correct.

03:08 5 Q Officers sitting down, and you were just trying to
6 help the RCMP in giving them whatever sort of
7 information you could remember?

8 A That's correct. They were asking, you know,
9 several questions in that stuff and to the best of
03:09 10 my recollection, and after the trial my
11 recollections sort of changed a bit over the years
12 and started thinking about it and stuff like that
13 and it could have changed.

14 Q So basically you were just trying to relay any
03:09 15 information that you thought might be relevant?

16 A That's right.

17 Q So you would have no reason to believe that what
18 the RCMP recorded was inaccurate because basically
19 you ceded earlier that you're not exactly sure
03:09 20 what you said to them?

21 A Well, I can't recall for sure what I said. I --
22 basically some of it, but some of it, I think I
23 brought it to your attention, that that wasn't,
24 like smooth tires, I never even heard of it,
03:10 25 what's the name had smooth tires.



1 Q Okay. Now, in your discussions with the RCMP,
2 obviously it's not a recording verbatim, there's
3 no transcript of it, it's simply one officer's
4 interpretation of a conversation, and what one
03:10 5 person may interpret may be different from what
6 another person may interpret?

7 A That could be correct.

8 Q Okay. But you are not disputing the accuracy of
9 what the RCMP recorded?

03:10 10 A Well, some parts of it, you know, it's a little
11 different. It depends how you accept it.

12 Q So basically it's just a different perspective?

13 A I would say, yes.

14 MS. WEMPE: Those are all my questions.

03:11 15 COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: Thanks, Ms. Wempe.

16 **BY MR. WOLCH:**

17 Q Sir, I'm Hersh Wolch, I'm David Milgaard's lawyer,
18 and I just want to touch on a few areas.

19 Regarding the initial
03:11 20 investigation and your questioning regarding the
21 bus stop, I want to pull up a document, 106234,
22 and just ask you a couple of questions. This
23 would not be your report?

24 A No, that was McCorrison's.

03:11 25 Q Bennett I believe.



1 A Bennett?

2 Q Detective Bennett. Do you see the bottom
3 right-hand corner?

4 A Oh. I never seen that before.

03:12 5 Q Okay. If I can just pull up this paragraph here
6 and maybe just speed read it together, Mary
7 Gallucci was interviewed and she took the bus at O
8 and 20th and on the morning of January 31st a girl
9 on the bus, the girl we have reason to believe was
03:12 10 Gail Miller, and then she talks about a young man
11 getting on the bus, construction worker coming
12 from O South of 20th who we would believe to be
13 Larry Fisher. Now, that type of report that
14 Bennet did then, would that get into your hands
03:12 15 back then?

16 A Not in mine, no. I never had anything to do with
17 any of the reports at all except the ones that
18 McCorriston and myself left.

19 Q What I'm getting at is this, that in terms of
03:13 20 getting to Larry Fisher back then, you know,
21 perhaps had somebody put two and two together and
22 found out that even though he said he took the bus
23 that morning and nobody saw him and maybe got to
24 his wife who said he didn't go to work that day,
03:13 25 it may have been solved back then. I'm trying to



1 understand if there was a pooling of information
2 among the officers who were looking into it,
3 whether you would see this or somebody would see
4 all of it?

03:13 5 A No, I've never seen that report at all.

6 Q Okay. But back then who would you expect to be
7 taking an overview and saying look, Parker saw
8 this and Bennett saw this and somebody else saw
9 that and, hey, this doesn't jibe with that.

03:13 10 A Well, I would have expected the detective sergeant
11 probably or the lieutenant.

12 Q Can you recall back then who you would have
13 thought was looking at the overall picture?

14 A I can't recall. That's what all the, would go to
03:14 15 the detective office and the detective sergeant or
16 the lieutenant at that time or inspector would be
17 looking at these files to, and probably read them
18 and then put them in, attach them to the
19 occurrence report.

03:14 20 Q I want to turn to a different topic. When you
21 were being interviewed by the RCMP, what did you
22 believe the purpose of the interviews were?

23 COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: Which one?

24 BY MR. WOLCH:

03:14 25 Q Either one, any time you are talking to the RCMP



1 in later years.

2 A I didn't really -- I wasn't feeling very good at
3 that time, but I didn't really know exactly what
4 it was about. Like, I knew it was about Milgaard,
03:15 5 but I didn't know what it was actually for at that
6 time and later on then I found out that it was, I
7 think it was because he was going to be released.

8 Q Okay. That would -- but in terms of talking to
9 the RCMP, did you understand it to be that they
03:15 10 were interested in further evidence or information
11 pertaining to David's guilt or innocence?

12 A No, I didn't realize it was for that.

13 Q It had some purpose?

14 A Yeah. Well, I thought it was just that he was
03:15 15 going to be released and --

16 Q Okay. Well, in talking to them, and I'm going to
17 zero in on three items, there were three items you
18 seem to have conveyed to them, three distinct
19 areas, all of which, you know, one might conclude
03:16 20 you would intend to be detrimental to David's
21 position, and they were the moccasin tracks, the
22 car being stuck and an obnoxious remark you
23 attributed to him, there were three areas like
24 that.

03:16 25 A Well, they were asking me some questions and that



1 and, like, have you got anything else you can add
2 or anything else, and I says, well, not really.
3 This is from previous evidence that was submitted
4 that I heard about I neglected to --

03:16 5 Q But there's nothing there that's favourable to
6 David, all three of them are unfavourable; would
7 you not agree?

8 A Well, not really. No names were mentioned to
9 speak of in regards the -- on that one it was her
03:17 10 and the other one, when he's asking me, I said I
11 seen these tracks. Now, I didn't know if it was
12 Milgaard's or someone with moccasins or whatever
13 it was, I didn't know.

14 Q I appreciate that, but do you not agree that all
03:17 15 three had negative implications to David Milgaard?

16 A Well, it could have, it could have, but they
17 weren't what you would call any sound evidence.

18 Q I appreciate that, but it's also quite striking
19 that all three were items that you had never
03:17 20 recorded before in any way; that is, if you had
21 ever thought that moccasins were significant, I
22 would think that you might have written that down
23 at some point previously?

24 A Well, that's what I say, at the time I didn't
03:17 25 think they were significant at all, that's why I



1 never reported them. Well, you would have to see
2 what the weather was like and what the conditions
3 of the roads and lane ways and everything else was
4 like.

03:18 5 Q Okay. But is it your position that if it fits,
6 you report it; if it doesn't fit, you don't report
7 it?

8 A Well, it's pretty hard to explain on certain
9 things, that it's quite a distance away and
03:18 10 everything else and that's the way it went.

11 Q I guess the point I'm trying to get at, and I
12 thought I heard this from your answers to
13 Commission Counsel --

14 A Yeah.

03:18 15 Q -- that if it fit what you heard about David, you
16 would report it; that is, you would bring it
17 forward, but if it didn't fit, it wasn't relevant,
18 so why mention it?

19 A Well, they were asking me about it, but -- like,
03:18 20 they were inquiring about -- I thought it was in
21 regards to him getting released or whatever it
22 was.

23 Q But you understood that David had perhaps not worn
24 shoes that morning?

03:19 25 A Well, I found out later on, yes.



1 Q And did it strike you that perhaps it made no
2 sense that somebody would be outside for 15 or so
3 minutes and not freeze their feet or whatever?

4 A Well, I thought it was sort of funny a guy walking
03:19 5 in his stocking feet --

6 Q Sir, you have to come close to the microphone.

7 A -- a person walking in stocking feet at that
8 temperature.

9 Q But you weren't saying to the police, 'look' or
03:19 10 the RCMP, 'look, I thought about it, how could a
11 guy be outside for 15 minutes or so in his
12 stocking feet in that kind of weather,' you
13 weren't taking that perspective, you were taking
14 the perspective that maybe I saw prints that would
03:19 15 link him to it.

16 A I don't know exactly how I said it to the RCMP,
17 sir.

18 Q But we do agree that what you were saying to the
19 RCMP you had never documented before anywhere, any
03:20 20 place?

21 A No, that's correct.

22 Q And you do agree that all three tended to bolster
23 a perception of guilt on David?

24 A I don't know if it was a perception of guilt.

03:20 25 Q Well, they led towards him, all three of what you



1 raised?

2 A Yeah.

3 Q I mean, it's pretty obvious?

4 A It could have been towards that, yeah.

03:23 5 Q And would that be because you were reluctant to
6 see David cleared or exonerated?

7 A No, I couldn't care.

8 Q I have to put it to you that the attitude you
9 conveyed, I suggest, regarding raising these three
03:23 10 issues would appear to be consistent with somebody
11 who was handed a report from Linda Fisher that
12 said "my husband did it" and said "oh, the heck
13 with it, I don't care"?

14 A No, that's wrong.

03:23 15 Q You do see the parallel, that that would be your
16 attitude?

17 A Yeah, I -- I see what you are trying to think, but
18 that's not --

19 Q But you are taking it, you are saying that's not
03:23 20 an accurate --

21 A No.

22 Q Now I referred to the fact that there was -- you
23 say that David made an obnoxious remark, you say
24 he said something very obnoxious?

03:24 25 A Yeah, well I thought he was just trying to be



1 smart.

2 Q Okay.

3 A A smart aleck.

4 Q It was a very obnoxious remark, --

5 A Yeah.

6 Q -- if he made it, --

7 A Yeah.

8 Q -- very obnoxious?

9 A Yeah.

03:24 10 Q Now you would be aware that he was about 16 or 17
11 years old?

12 A I don't know, I can't recall how old he was.

13 Q And this might have been the day before his trial,
14 or around that time?

03:24 15 A I can't recall.

16 Q But --

17 A I can't recall.

18 Q And he would be under a lot of strain and stress?

19 A I don't know if he was or not.

03:24 20 Q Well, common sense?

21 A Well, I don't know if he was or not, the remarks
22 that he was making. It's just like being a smart
23 aleck.

24 Q Okay. But he was a young man who was facing a
03:24 25 very, very severe charge?



1 A Well, I would say that he was.

2 Q And you were a mature police officer?

3 A That's right.

4 Q And you made a remark back to him; did you not?

03:25 5 A That's right.

6 Q And you told him what?

7 A Told him what?

8 Q What did you tell him?

9 A I said "if you go to jail you won't be so smart",
03:25 10 or something to that effect, that "they like young
11 boys there".

12 Q Yeah. You suggested that he would be raped in
13 jail?

14 A No, I didn't suggest that at all.

03:25 15 Q Well what's the meaning of 'they like young boys
16 there'?

17 A Well, I don't know, they like young boys.

18 Q Yeah, but you made that remark?

19 A That's --

03:25 20 COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: Mr. Wolch, I don't
21 think the witness can fairly be asked to explain
22 his remark without reference to what he says he
23 saw and heard.

24 MR. WOLCH: Right.

03:25 25 COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: So, if you want to



1 put this in evidence, it's in evidence for all
2 purposes.

3 MR. WOLCH: If somebody wants to lead it,
4 sir, but I think I'm entitled, I think I have
03:25 5 approached it in the correct manner, if somebody
6 wants to lead it that's their choice but I
7 think --

8 COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: No, I'm saying
9 right here and now, please put the whole thing
03:26 10 out if you are going to talk about it at all.

11 MR. WOLCH: I don't understand.

12 COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: Refer him to what
13 he said to the RCMP.

14 MR. WOLCH: Well I'm interested in what he
03:26 15 said. I mean it's going to be led through, I
16 understand, through the RCMP and --

17 COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: Well, no, you have
18 no assurance of that.

19 MR. WOLCH: Well I think the witness who
03:26 20 said it should be asked what he said. I don't
21 know if any remark justifies that remark.

22 COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: Well then he can
23 reply in terms of what he heard and saw.

24 MR. WOLCH: If he wants to say it, that's
03:26 25 fine.



1 COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: Okay, that's fine,
2 as long as you realize it,

3 BY MR. WOLCH:

4 Q I'm asking you what you meant by the remark. What
03:26 5 did you mean by the remark 'they like young boys'?

6 A That's through my experience dealing with people
7 in the pen, they like young boys, whatever they do
8 I don't know. It's up to you what you want to
9 think they might do.

03:26 10 Q Do you have any regrets for saying that to a
11 16-year-old boy in jail?

12 A No, I haven't.

13 Q Okay.

14 A Because that's sort of a warning, being smart
03:26 15 alecky and stuff like that.

16 Q Okay. Those are all my questions.

17 COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: Anybody else?

18 MR. HODSON: I'm not sure where that left
19 us with both the relevancy of the document and
03:27 20 the issue of publication, so maybe I could ask
21 the Commissioner to clarify whether or not --
22 what we ought to do with the documents that were
23 put to the witness; are they to be redacted,
24 redact the paragraph, or are they in as exhibits?

03:27 25 COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: Umm, for the time



1 being they should be redacted as to that
2 paragraph.

3 MR. HODSON: Okay.

4 COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: That does not
03:27 5 foreclose counsel, in the future, to -- from
6 making reference to them if they become relevant.

7 MR. HODSON: Okay. And there was just one
8 question in re-exam.

9 BY MR. HODSON:

03:28 10 Q Mr. Parker, you referred a couple times in your
11 evidence --

12 COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: Just, sorry, that
13 was paragraph number what?

14 MR. HODSON: It's in document 011848 on
03:28 15 page 011849, paragraph d). This reference is in
16 a few other documents that I identified and I'll
17 make sure that Commission's staff reviewed them.

18 COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: Okay.

19 BY MR. HODSON:

03:28 20 Q Just by way of re-exam, Mr. Parker, you mentioned
21 a couple of times, and most recently to Mr. Wolch
22 and maybe Ms. Wempe, that in 1993 that you were
23 out of the hospital and sick, and I'm wondering
24 whether -- and I don't wish to pry into personal
03:28 25 matters -- but whether your health or your



1 condition at the time, whether you are saying it
2 may have impacted on your physical or mental
3 ability to deal with these interviews, and if it's
4 not it's not but I just wish to raise it?

03:28 5 A Yeah, it did.

6 Q In what way?

7 A Well, I was suffering a lot of pain --

8 Q Yes?

9 A -- and I didn't feel like being interviewed, umm,
03:29 10 like I had a serious operation.

11 Q Okay. Those are all my questions in re-exam, Mr.
12 Commissioner. Is there anything?

13 COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: Thanks very much.

14 MR. HODSON: Thank you, Mr. Parker.

03:29 15 A You're welcome.

16 COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: Thanks Mr. Parker.

17 MR. HODSON: You are done.

18 A Thank you.

19 MR. HODSON: The next witness is Raymond
03:29 20 Mackie.

21 **RAYMOND WILLIAM MACKIE, sworn:**

22 **BY MR. HODSON:**

23 Q Good afternoon, Mr. Mackie. And I, for the
24 record, point out that Mr. Mackie's counsel, Mayer
03:30 25 Schulman, is here appearing with Mr. Mackie.



1 Mr. Mackie, I understand that
2 you currently reside in Biggar, Saskatchewan; is
3 that right?

4 A Yes.

03:30 5 Q And your age is 78 years of age?

6 A Yes.

7 Q And that you worked with the Saskatoon City Police
8 Service from 1948, retiring in 1978; is that
9 correct?

03:30 10 A Yes.

11 Q And if we could just go through your service
12 record, 325558, please. And I think you and I
13 have had a chance to review this before and can
14 you confirm that this would accurately set out
03:31 15 your service record with the Saskatoon City

16 Police?

17 A Except for the detective lieutenant, I don't ever
18 remember holding that rank, I was in there relief
19 for a very short period if I recall.

03:31 20 Q Okay. And we're talking about the July 16th, 1971
21 detective lieutenant?

22 A Yes.

23 Q And you are saying that you weren't, you were only
24 there for a short period, not the two years as
03:31 25 stated?



1 A Not as a detective lieutenant, as I recall.

2 Q Hope that doesn't affect your pension, sir.

3 A It's --

4 Q We won't tell them. As far as the 1969, January
03:31 5 of 1969, it looks as though April 16th, '65 you
6 would have been detective sergeant -- I didn't
7 draw that very well -- is that right?

8 A Yes.

9 Q So at the time of Gail Miller's murder you were a
03:32 10 detective sergeant?

11 A Yes.

12 Q And then 1970, the following year you went to
13 patrol staff sergeant, and that when you retired
14 that you were inspector of patrol; is that
03:32 15 correct?

16 A Yes.

17 Q Now when you retired in 1978 -- we're done with
18 that document -- did you stay in touch and stay
19 involved in police matters and stay in touch with
03:32 20 other police officers?

21 A No.

22 Q Did you make a conscious decision to move on?

23 A Yes.

24 Q And can you tell us about that?

03:32 25 A When I retired I, I had a facility at Emma Lake



1 when I retired, sold everything in Saskatoon and
2 went there, started all over, left my career with
3 the Saskatoon City Police behind, never told
4 anybody where I worked, --

03:32 5 Q And --

6 A -- this sort of thing.

7 Q And why was that?

8 A I just wanted to be recognized for me, not for
9 anybody else, what I had been.

03:33 10 Q Did you stay in touch with police officers to see
11 what was happening on the force?

12 A No.

13 Q Did you follow the David Milgaard or Gail Miller
14 story in the media in the '80s and '90s after you
03:33 15 retired?

16 A No.

17 Q And I believe, post-retirement, you would have
18 been interviewed -- and we'll go through these --
19 interviewed by the RCMP in about 1993; is that
03:33 20 correct?

21 A I was interviewed by them but I don't remember the
22 dates.

23 Q And you were examined for discovery in a civil
24 proceeding in 1996 or thereabouts?

03:33 25 A Yes.



1 Q And you testified at Larry Fisher's trial in 1999;
2 is that right?

3 A Yes.

4 Q And would it be fair to say -- and those three
03:33 5 would be the only occasions where you have been
6 involved in reviewing or looking at anything
7 related to the David Milgaard matter; is that
8 fair?

9 A Yes.

03:33 10 Q And let me ask you just a general question on your
11 memory, Mr. Mackie. Are you able to recall the
12 events back in 1969 and 1970 relating to the Gail
13 Miller murder investigation?

14 A Not very much of it.

03:34 15 Q And, for the purposes of testifying at this
16 Inquiry, I was wondering if you could confirm that
17 you have in fact reviewed and gone through, on a
18 number of occasions, records, transcripts and
19 documents relating to this matter to assist you in
03:34 20 refreshing your memory; is that correct?

21 A That's the ones that you have provided?

22 Q Yes?

23 A Yes.

24 Q I'm talking about you have met with me on a number
03:34 25 of occasions, is that right, --



1 A Yes.

2 Q -- and gone through documents; is that correct?

3 A Yes.

4 Q And in reviewing those documents have you -- just
03:34 5 generally, and we'll deal with them more
6 specifically -- but have reviewing the documents
7 assisted you in refreshing your memory about your
8 involvement in this investigation?

9 A Not particularly. It's like reading a --
03:35 10 something that I never knew of.

11 Q All right. If we could go to 325570, being the
12 organizational chart of the city police. And,
13 Mr. Mackie, this is an organizational chart from
14 the 1969 annual report, and I think you have
03:35 15 looked at this before with me, have you not?

16 A Yes.

17 Q And this reflects the structure of the police
18 service back in 1969; is that right?

19 A As far as I recall, yes.

03:35 20 Q If we could call up document 71, please. And
21 again, we've added some names in here, and if we
22 could just enlarge the detective division area
23 there please. You will see, under the detective
24 division, you are one of four detective sergeants
03:35 25 at the time; is that right?



1 A Yes.

2 Q And it's my understanding that you were the, the
3 senior, senior detective sergeant at least by
4 years of service, is that correct, or by rank?

03:36 5 A Yes.

6 Q And Detective Sergeant George Reid, he testified
7 this morning, he would have been involved with you
8 in the investigation; is that right?

9 A Yes.

03:36 10 Q And, in fact, was the Gail Miller investigation
11 file assigned to you and Mr. Reid?

12 A Yes it was.

13 Q And Detective Sergeant Andrew Porter; do you
14 recall if he had much involvement in the Gail
03:36 15 Miller matter?

16 A No, I don't.

17 Q And what about Detective Sergeant Jack Ward?

18 A He is the one that was assigned to basically
19 correlate the file, put it together, and check it
03:36 20 out.

21 Q And my understanding from the annual report is
22 that Jack Ward died in 1969, is that right, in the
23 fall sometime?

24 A He died sometime. I'm not sure what the date was.

03:36 25 Q Okay. I think there is a reference in the annual



1 report, do you recall him being ill in 1969, or
2 that he was working at a desk job or desk duties?

3 A Yes.

4 Q And due to his illness?

03:37 5 A Yes.

6 Q Now if we could just go back, or to the detectives
7 here then, would the detectives report to you; is
8 that how, how it worked, just generally?

9 A On a normal routine, that's the way it would be,
03:37 10 but on this one we were basically just another
11 investigator.

12 Q Okay. And I'll get to, in a moment, the Gail
13 Miller matter. If we could just go back to the
14 larger document, please. And I take it, then,
03:37 15 that Lieutenant Charles Short; was he your
16 immediate supervisor, then, or superior?

17 A Yes, he would.

18 Q And did you, did you report to him then, is that
19 the right term or --

03:37 20 A If it was necessary to go to him, yes, if he was
21 in -- on duty at the time, otherwise it would have
22 been Jack Wood, I believe, was there.

23 Q Okay. And Jack Wood was the superintendent above
24 Short?

03:38 25 A Yes.



1 Q And it's my understanding, please correct me if
2 I'm wrong, that Short and Wood would sort of
3 platoon as far as who was on shift at a given
4 time, one was days and one was evenings, and they
03:38 5 kind of covered; is that correct?

6 A As I recall, yes.

7 Q So that not at all, not at all times, but let's
8 say from early morning to late evening, either
9 Short or Wood, one of them would be there or
03:38 10 around and available; is that fair?

11 A Most of the time, yes.

12 Q And that's who would be, for lack of a better
13 word, running the detective division?

14 A Yes.

03:38 15 Q And so if you, as a detective sergeant, needed to
16 get authority for something that's who you would
17 go to?

18 A Yes.

19 Q And Deputy Chief Forbes, did he play any role in
03:38 20 the operations of the detective division in '69?

21 A Not that I recall.

22 Q And would that be an administrative function, as
23 far as you recall?

24 A Yes.

03:39 25 Q And what about Chief Kettles; what role, if any,



1 did he have in the operations of the detectives in
2 the day-to-day duties?

03:39 3 A I'm not sure how much Superintendent Wood would
4 have reported to him but he usually liked to keep
5 track of what was going on.

6 Q And do you recall answering questions for him
7 about files, or the Gail Miller file, or was he --
8 was he involved in a hands-on way in finding out
9 what was happening?

03:39 10 A Initially we had meetings in the morning and, if I
11 remember right, he was there with all the others
12 that were involved and were on duty at the time.

13 Q You are talking about the Gail Miller file, now,
14 are you?

03:39 15 A Yes.

16 Q And what types of things, just generally, would
17 you have to, as a detective sergeant, would you
18 have to get authority for or approval from someone
19 higher up in the chain than you; were there
03:39 20 certain things that you couldn't do on your own?

21 A Well, expenditures of funds we would require some
22 approval.

23 Q So if you had to travel somewhere, fly in a plane
24 somewhere to interview a witness, is that
03:40 25 something you had to get approval from someone



1 higher up?

2 A Yes.

3 Q If you decided to bring in a bunch of suspects for
4 a police line-up, I take it, is that something you
03:40 5 could do on your own without --

6 A Yes.

7 Q And so -- and let me try and just generally state
8 this, and please correct me if I'm wrong -- would
9 it be fair to say that there were certain tasks or
03:40 10 items that you needed to get approval from
11 higher-ups, that generally related to spending
12 money, that you had to go get approval, but apart
13 from that you could pretty much run your files as
14 you saw fit?

03:40 15 A More or less.

16 Q Yeah. And I take it as well that, even though you
17 didn't have to get approval from the higher-ups,
18 you would consult with them, bounce ideas off
19 them, take direction from them, talk to them from
03:40 20 time to time?

21 A That's part of an operation.

22 Q So if you had a trouble file and you wanted
23 someone else's input you could go to Lieutenant
24 Short or Wood and say 'lookit, here's what I have
03:41 25 got, what do you think', that type of thing?



1 A Could have, yeah.

2 Q Pardon me?

3 A Could have done that, yes.

4 Q Or some of the other detectives as well?

03:41 5 A Whoever happened to be around, you could discuss
6 it, yes.

7 Q Now let's just talk about how files were handled,
8 and I'll get to the Gail Miller file in a moment
9 because I understand -- or tell me; was the Gail
03:41 10 Miller file handled differently, from an
11 organization and structure perspective, than other
12 files that detectives normally handled?

13 A Yes.

14 Q So let's talk about generally how things were
03:41 15 done, not the Gail Miller file, but generally.
16 Let's take for example a robbery file, can you
17 tell me how it would be handled by the detectives
18 division, who would be in charge of it?

19 A If I was working when they, the files came in --
03:41 20 they usually they came in in the morning, most of
21 them -- but the detective sergeant would be
22 responsible for assigning them to an investigator,
23 and depending what the file was would determine
24 where it was assigned, but if it was something
03:42 25 that required somebody working in the daytime



1 that's where it would be assigned. And then
2 generally the detective sergeant kept track of
3 what various investigators were doing on the
4 files, if an investigator figured he had done all
03:42 5 he had or could on a file he would submit it for
6 consideration to be filed temporarily, or --

7 Q So is it correct that every file would have a home
8 with one detective, then, generally?

9 A As I recall it, yes.

03:42 10 Q And so that you, let's say you have a robbery file
11 and you might assign it to say Detective Parker,
12 and when you do that what happens; you formally
13 designate somewhere and give him a note and say
14 'lookit, this is your file', or how was that
03:42 15 recorded?

16 A The file, a copy of the file would be put into his
17 file folder for his attention.

18 Q Okay. And I understand in the detectives area,
19 then, there would be a row and bank of files
03:43 20 sorted by officer in charge; is that right?

21 A There was a, a filing cabinet with a drawer for
22 files, various investigators.

23 Q And so if I wanted for example Detective Parker,
24 there would be a set of all of the files that
03:43 25 Detective Parker was the detective in charge of,



1 is that right?

2 A That's right, all his active files.

3 Q All his -- and I presume there would be an index
4 or a list somewhere so you could find out who was
03:43 5 handling what file; is that fair?

6 A I think there would. I forget.

7 Q So then you, as detective sergeant, would make the
8 decision to assign the file to someone. I think
9 you said you would look at who was on days and
03:43 10 nights, the types of files, and make that
11 decision; is that right?

12 A Yes.

13 Q And then I take it that that, barring some change,
14 that that detective then would be responsible for
03:43 15 the file until it was concluded; is that fair?

16 A Yes.

17 Q And as the -- was there sometimes two detectives
18 assigned or was it usually just one?

19 A Most of them, just one, as I recall.

03:44 20 Q And I take it then that, when the file was
21 assigned to the detective, the detective would
22 call the shots on the file, decide what needs to
23 be done on it?

24 A Yes.

03:44 25 Q And would be responsible to read all the reports



1 that others may have on that file and decide what
2 further steps need to be taken?

3 A Yes.

4 Q And would either do the investigative tasks, or
03:44 5 delegate it to someone else, but the person in
6 charge would have that responsibility; is that
7 fair?

8 A The person who the file was assigned to?

9 Q Yes.

03:44 10 A Yes.

11 Q And then I take it, for example if a patrol person
12 was out and got a report on this robbery file that
13 you gave to Detective Parker and they prepared a
14 report, it would end up going through the system
03:44 15 and end up in Detective Parker's file; is that
16 right?

17 A Yes.

18 Q And he would be responsible to read it and decide
19 what, if any, impact it had on the investigation
03:45 20 and what further work needed to be done?

21 A Yes.

22 Q Is that fair?

23 A Yes.

24 Q Now what would be the role of the detective
03:45 25 sergeant, the one level above, you -- we've got



1 the file down in detectives; what would be your
2 role with respect to that file?

3 A You would have to check the files periodically,
4 see what they were -- was happening on them, if
03:45 5 time permitted, or whatever.

6 Q Would it be fair to characterize your, generally
7 your job as detective sergeant as more of an
8 overseer, administrative-type position as opposed
9 to an on-the-street investigators?

03:45 10 A Yes.

11 Q And so would it be proper to describe your job as
12 overseeing what the detectives are doing,
13 reviewing their files, giving them some direction
14 and being responsible for what they are doing,
03:45 15 more or less?

16 A In a general sort of a way.

17 Q And so would it be fair to say that there would be
18 less of the interviewing of witnesses and active
19 investigation steps as a detective sergeant?

03:46 20 A Very little of that, as I recall.

21 Q And then what about other detective sergeants,
22 were there -- did -- was it set up such that there
23 would always be one detective sergeant on duty for
24 different shifts, or how did that, how did that
03:46 25 work; were you responsible for certain files that



1 you handed out or how did you split that amongst
2 yourselves?

3 A Some of that would be determined by the shift that
4 you were working, because a lot of the new files
03:46 5 would occur during the nighttime, they would be
6 all there for the morning for assignment.

7 Q So when you, when you got out the robbery file
8 then, and assigned it to Parker in the example,
9 would you hang on -- would you be the detective
03:46 10 sergeant on that file until it's concluded, or
11 would it just be any other detective sergeant
12 might supervise it and oversee it as well?

13 A Whoever was around would be doing that.

14 Q So, as detective sergeants, you didn't have your
03:47 15 own list of files that you were overseeing versus
16 other ones that other detective sergeants were
17 overseeing?

18 A No.

19 Q Can you tell me about what interaction -- and,
03:47 20 again, talking about 1969 -- you would have had
21 with the morality division, when I'm talking
22 detectives and morality?

23 A There were not too much contact with them. They
24 were in a different part of the building.

03:47 25 Q And they would investigate morality offences,



1 liquor offences, and things of that nature; is
2 that right?

3 A I think the organizational charts at one time set
4 out what types of files went to what department.

03:47 5 Q And what about if you had a case of a sexual
6 assault and a robbery where it straddled both
7 morality and detectives; how were those handled?

8 A I can't really say, but I would suspect they went
9 to the detective division, they might have been
03:48 10 assigned to both, I don't know.

11 Q And what about cases where you might have common
12 suspects, a morality file might have a suspect for
13 a certain crime and detectives have a different
14 crime but a similar suspect; would there be
03:48 15 co-operation, coordination there, or how did that
16 happen?

17 A To my knowledge there was -- my recollection,
18 reports would have been, investigation reports
19 would have been made up and attached to two
03:48 20 different files, if I understand you correctly.

21 Q Were there cases where, just generally, a morality
22 officer might be going out to interview a suspect
23 on a morality file and a detective might go along
24 with him or might be involved in that?

03:48 25 A I don't know, I don't recall it ever happening,



1 but --

2 Q No, and I just --

3 A -- it's possible.

03:49

4 Q But generally that; are you saying that didn't
5 happen at the time?

6 A Not to my knowledge.

7 Q Do you recall there being any concerns or issues
8 about detectives and morality knowing what each
9 other were doing back in 1969?

03:49

10 A I don't recall any particular problems in that
11 line. There was some discussion, or from some of
12 the individuals once in a while, they would get
13 information and they would give it to whoever,
14 somebody else, and then they wouldn't give them
15 credit for they information they got, and I always
16 said 'well if you have got information leave a
17 report'.

03:49

18 Q So was there, this issue about credit, there was
19 concern that information would be passed from
20 officer A to B, and B would take credit and they
21 would get none; is that what you are saying?

03:49

22 A I think that's basically what I am trying to say.

23 Q And did that cause officer A to be less
24 forthcoming with information the next time, or was
25 that --



1 A Oh, it could work that way.

2 Q So you -- just -- and I want to know what you
3 observed or what you recall observing at the time.
4 And, again, I think what you have identified,
03:50 5 then, is that there was some protectiveness of
6 information, is that fair, for some -- in some
7 cases?

8 A I don't --

9 Q Maybe that's not even a word, 'protectiveness',
03:50 10 but people guarded their information in some cases
11 because they didn't want others to get credit for
12 it?

13 A I think, when we say 'guarded', I don't recall
14 them withholding information at all, not that I am
03:50 15 aware of or ever recall.

16 Q Now let's talk about the Gail Miller file, and I
17 think you said it was treated differently than a
18 regular detective file, and let's start off; first
19 of all my understanding is that the file was not
03:50 20 assigned to a detective but, rather, to two
21 detective sergeants being you and Mr. Reid; is
22 that correct?

23 A Yes.

24 Q Now was that unusual to have detective sergeants
03:51 25 to be assigned in charge of a file?



1 A The only one I know of it being.

2 Q And why did that happen?

3 A Somebody higher up decided that's the way it
4 should be.

03:51 5 Q And on other murder files, would they be assigned
6 to detectives as opposed to detective sergeants,
7 or do you know?

8 A To my knowledge, as to what little I recall, they
9 probably were.

03:51 10 Q Assigned to detectives?

11 A To a detective, yes. Some of them, if they were
12 more involved, could maybe have been assigned to
13 two.

14 Q Now as the, as the officer, one of the officers in
03:51 15 charge of the file, can you tell me; what was your
16 understanding of your role and responsibility on
17 the Gail Miller file?

18 A As I recall it I was basically just another
19 investigator.

03:51 20 Q Okay.

21 A I don't recall delegating too much, although there
22 is some reports that say I did.

23 Q Now, if we go back, we had earlier talked about
24 generally how files were dealt with, and I think
03:52 25 you said where a detective was in charge of the



1 file the detective would be responsible to oversee
2 and delegate, etcetera; is that right?

3 A If he, if he felt that he wasn't able to get
4 somewhere because of the hours he was working or
03:52 5 something he would request somebody else to do
6 it, --

7 Q Okay.

8 A -- or else he would come to a detective sergeant
9 and ask them if they could get somebody to do a
03:52 10 certain task for them.

11 Q So if we just go back, and let me just go through,
12 again, as far as having -- would you agree, sir,
13 that someone or some persons would have to be
14 overall in charge of the file to direct traffic,
03:52 15 for lack of a better word, on the investigation;
16 you would agree with that?

17 A Oh yes.

18 Q And so someone would have to -- and when I say
19 'someone' or 'some persons', one or two or
03:52 20 whatever, would have to direct the investigators
21 on their work; correct?

22 A Yes.

23 Q Someone would have to make decisions regarding the
24 course and direction of the investigation; is that
03:53 25 fair?



1 A Yes.

2 Q Someone would have to decide on what resources
3 would be devoted to the file and at what times; is
4 that correct?

03:53 5 A Yes.

6 Q Someone would have to read the file, all the
7 reports, and be all-knowing about what was
8 happening to coordinate efforts; would you agree
9 with that?

03:53 10 A Yes.

11 Q And someone would have to oversee the work of
12 others; is that fair?

13 A Yes.

14 Q And let me give you an example, that -- and we
03:51 15 know that in the days following the murder a
16 number of officers went out and checked homes in
17 the area; right?

18 A Yes, they did.

19 Q And I presume somebody would have said to the
03:51 20 officers, you go on this street, officer B, you go
21 on that street so they don't go to the same
22 houses; correct?

23 A Right.

24 Q So someone would have to decide who does what?

03:51 25 A Right.



1 Q Someone would have to decide who's going to go see
2 Les Spence and take a statement, someone is going
3 to have to see who checks the busses, who checks
4 the dry cleaners, etcetera, etcetera; right?

03:51 5 A I think that's the way it was done.

6 Q So who was that, was it you who made those
7 decisions then?

8 A Not that I recall.

9 Q Who did?

03:51 10 A Probably Superintendent Wood.

11 Q Now, I think what I just went through, the types
12 of things that have to be coordinated on a file,
13 in a normal file that would be the responsibility
14 of the detective in charge; is that right?

03:51 15 A How he does his investigation?

16 Q Yes.

17 A Yes.

18 Q And in the Gail Miller file, I think you said that
19 you did most of the investigation; is that right?

03:52 20 A Yes.

21 Q So can you tell us why, in the Gail Miller file,
22 you and Detective Sergeant Reid would not have
23 been the persons who were quarterbacking the file,
24 making decisions, delegating, reading, etcetera?

03:52 25 A I don't suppose there were required manpower on



1 the street and Sergeant Ward was there and he was
2 assigned that task of coordinating the file and
3 reading it, passing out information of things that
4 had to be done and this sort of thing.

03:52 5 Q So can you tell us then what role Detective
6 Sergeant Ward played in the investigation?

7 A He looked after the file and all the reports as
8 they come in, he numbered them.

9 Q Okay.

03:52 10 A Correlated it all.

11 Q And would he be, and I think maybe you had used
12 the term the reader of the file; is that right?

13 A I guess you could call him that.

14 Q For example, Mr. Mackie, we heard evidence and
03:53 15 we'll hear other evidence about a phone call, a
16 fellow named Syd Sargent phoned in in mid February
17 of 1969 and told, I think Officer Wilton, gave
18 some information about what he observed the
19 morning of the murder, and Officer Wilton left a
03:53 20 report and it doesn't appear that anything further
21 was done, and who would be responsible to read
22 that report, Wilton's report and decide whether
23 something should be followed up and making it
24 happen?

03:53 25 A I think that would come under Jack Ward's, what



1 his basic task was with this file.

2 Q And do you recall yourself receiving notes or
3 direction from Jack Ward about things you ought to
4 do?

03:53 5 A Not specifically, no.

6 Q What makes you think then that he was the one who
7 had that role?

8 A Of directing or --

9 Q Yes.

03:54 10 A Part of his job, he was reading the files and
11 finding things that required to be done that
12 hadn't been done and he would leave notes and put
13 them out.

14 Q So you are aware he did leave notes then?

03:54 15 A As I recall he did.

16 Q So he would be the fellow then who would read an
17 investigation report and decide whether follow-up
18 needed to be done?

19 A As I recall, yes.

03:54 20 Q So if I'm an officer and I prepare a report that
21 says, you know what, I interviewed person A and I
22 think person B is a key suspect based on this
23 information, someone should follow up with suspect
24 B and here's the things they ought to look for and
03:54 25 I file that on a report, who is going to make sure



1 that that gets followed up on, or who would have
2 on the Gail Miller file?

3 A On this one, I think it was Sergeant Ward. It
4 could have been the investigator himself would
03:54 5 have taken it on and followed it up on their own.

6 Q But who -- let me ask this. When an investigation
7 report is prepared, what was the purpose of an
8 investigation report?

9 A Put the information on the file that has been
03:55 10 gathered.

11 Q So if I'm at the end of my shift and I'm taking
12 time off and I write a report about a suspect that
13 needs to be followed up, where in the system is it
14 going to be -- or how does the system work to
03:55 15 ensure that an officer follows that up, that one
16 does for sure and two don't?

17 A As far as I know or recall on this one, it would
18 have been Jack Ward and he put something out to
19 indicate.

03:55 20 Q So would he be the all-knowing officer who
21 absorbed all the information, coordinated it and
22 gave direction to investigators as what needed to
23 be followed up?

24 A As I recall, yes.

03:55 25 Q Did you play any role in that, Mr. Mackie?



1 A Not that I recall.

2 Q Just back then to your understanding of your roles
3 and responsibilities then, what were they on the
4 Gail Miller file?

03:56 5 A Basically just another investigator for a lot of
6 it.

7 Q And I think when we go through the reports we'll
8 see that you did interview a number of people and
9 take a number of investigative steps. Would you
03:56 10 agree with that?

11 A Yes.

12 Q And when you did that work, did you report to
13 someone about what you did other than the paper
14 reports that went in, did you report to Short or
03:56 15 Wood on that?

16 A Not that I recall, unless there was something
17 specific they were asking for and looking for.

18 Q What do you recall being the role played by
19 Lieutenant Charles Short in the Gail Miller
03:56 20 investigation?

21 A Not very much.

22 Q Your recollection is not very much or his
23 involvement was not very much?

24 A Both.

03:57 25 Q Both?



1 A I don't know how much he was involved. I assume
2 he was involved to some degree, but what he did, I
3 don't know.

4 Q What about Jack Wood, do you remember what role or
03:57 5 involvement he had?

6 A He was at the morning meetings all the time.

7 Q Tell me about the morning meetings, who was there
8 and what was the purpose?

9 A Just I recall the chief being there and Jack Wood
03:57 10 and all the people involved in the investigation
11 that were on duty that were working at the time.

12 Q So let's go to February of 1969, every morning
13 would there be a meeting of those at the police
14 station to talk about what had happened on the
03:57 15 Gail Miller file, for example?

16 A Yes.

17 Q And what would happen, people would share
18 information, reports or tell me what would happen?

19 A I don't recall any specifics on any of the
03:57 20 meetings, but that's basically what I would assume
21 would have happened, there would be information
22 shared, what's done, what needs to be done, what's
23 been checked, not checked, this sort of thing.

24 Q And would Jack Ward be at those meetings?

03:58 25 A I would think so. I don't recall.



1 Q Do you recall there being meetings where officers
2 would brainstorm, for lack of a better word, to
3 discuss theories, suspects, what might need to be
4 done, who might need to be pursued?

03:58 5 A The only meetings I recall are these morning
6 meetings.

7 Q Okay. Do you recall the RCMP being involved in
8 the Gail Miller murder investigation?

9 A There were some of them involved helping with the
03:58 10 investigations.

11 Q Okay. We've heard evidence, the names Edmondson,
12 Riddell, Rasmussen. Do any of those sound
13 familiar to you?

14 A I recognize the names.

03:58 15 COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: You did or you
16 didn't, sir.

17 A I recognize the names.

18 BY MR. HODSON:

19 Q And so it's my understanding that at this time the
03:59 20 RCMP would be involved where city police officers
21 needed to do work outside of the city boundaries;
22 is that right?

23 A Yes, and I think they did some work in the city or
24 assisted where it was needed, whatever they could
03:59 25 do to help.



1 Q And was that unusual, to have the RCMP come in to
2 help you on this investigation?

3 A Oh, I don't think so.

4 Q Now, just if we can talk about the flow of paper
03:59 5 and investigation reports, and we've talked about
6 this a bit and we'll go through some of yours,
7 what did you put in the investigation reports,
8 what did you see as their purpose?

9 A It provides the information of what tasks you've
03:59 10 done, where you've been and what you've done with
11 anything in relation to this file.

12 Q And would it be fair to say -- and sorry, what
13 happens with that report?

14 A It's dictated, it went to central registry where
04:00 15 it was transcribed, typed, and then sent back to
16 the department.

17 Q And I take it one of the purposes would be to have
18 a permanent record of what you did, what you
19 observed and what you thought; is that fair?

04:00 20 A Yes.

21 Q And would another purpose be for you to
22 communicate to other investigators what you had
23 done, what you had learned about the file; is
24 that --

04:00 25 A It could be, it would be used that way, it would



1 go to the file and if you need to refer to
2 something, you would go to the file to find it.

04:00 3 Q And would it be fair to say that -- would that be
4 the main or the primary purpose, would be to
5 communicate to someone, sort of the all-knowing
6 person or the director of the investigation,
7 here's information that I think you ought to know
8 to put into the hopper with all the other
9 information to decide what to do next; is that
04:00 10 fair?

11 A It's all sent to the file, yes.

12 Q And so that one of the main -- one of the
13 significant purposes of the investigation report,
14 would it be fair to say, would be to communicate
04:01 15 what you had done, what you had learned, what you
16 thought might need to be done to others in the
17 police force?

18 A I never thought of it as communicating, but yes,
19 that's what it would be.

04:01 20 Q And in fact people read these reports didn't they?

21 A Yes, I assume they did.

22 Q Pardon me?

23 A I assume they did.

24 Q And when you were in charge of a file, let's take
04:01 25 a file other than Gail Miller for a moment, and



1 other officers did investigation work on your
2 file, I take it you would have read the report to
3 see what they did; is that fair?

4 A Yes.

04:01 5 Q And you would want to know what they did so, A,
6 you didn't do it again; right?

7 A Right.

8 Q And B, you would see what follow-up needed to be
9 done?

04:01 10 A Right.

11 Q So in this case I presume, in the Gail Miller case
12 the same thing happened?

13 A It would have only if I wasn't doing the reading.

14 Q Right. What -- can you give us some idea of how
04:01 15 many of the reports did you read on the file?

16 A Don't know.

17 Q Did you regularly read what everybody else was
18 doing on the file or did you stick to your own
19 business or do you recall?

04:02 20 A No, I didn't regularly read them, no.

21 Q You didn't?

22 A Not regularly. I did a lot of the reading later
23 on back in, what, April or something.

24 Q And we'll get to that in a bit. You've talked
04:02 25 about Officer Ward or Detective Sergeant Ward



1 collecting and organizing the file. We have seen
2 a number of police reports that have a number on
3 the top right-hand corner. Are you -- do you know
4 whether or not Ward was numbering these files when
04:02 5 he was organizing them, page numbers?

6 A Yes, he was, to my knowledge.

7 Q As the investigation was ongoing?

8 A Yes.

9 Q And did he have in his office then sort of one
04:02 10 complete file that he kept adding to and numbering
11 the pages?

12 A At least one. I don't know whether he had more
13 than one or not.

14 Q One set of files; is that fair?

04:02 15 A He had one complete file at least. He might have
16 had two that he kept, I don't recall.

17 Q At least one then?

18 A At least one.

19 Q And the police reports he put in some type of
04:03 20 organization and numbered the pages; is that
21 correct?

22 A Yes.

23 Q And then witness statements, were they kept
24 somewhere as well?

04:03 25 A He had a copy of those.



1 Q So if any officer wanted to go in and says,
2 'lookit, I would like to take a look at what we
3 have on the Gail Miller file to date,' there would
4 be a reasonable organized set of police reports
04:03 5 numbered by page and a set of witness statements?

6 A Yes.

7 Q Now, do you know if that was the file that
8 eventually went, or part of it that eventually
9 went to the prosecutor?

04:03 10 A No, I don't know.

11 Q Did you have any role, Mr. Mackie, in organizing
12 and numbering the pages on the police reports or
13 the witness statements?

14 A No.

04:03 15 Q Did you have any role in either deciding what was
16 sent to the prosecutor or assisting in or
17 participating in the collection of documents that
18 were to go to the prosecutor?

19 A No.

04:04 20 Q Do you have any understanding as to what, by way
21 of police records, were sent to the prosecutor in
22 the Gail Miller case?

23 A No, I don't know what they sent.

24 Q Let's talk about witness statements, and I know
04:04 25 that you took a number of them and we'll deal with



1 some of them. Tell me, what was the purpose of
2 taking a witness statement?

3 A The witnesses don't usually keep their own notes,
4 so it's a way of putting their story on a paper
04:04 5 for the use of the investigator and also later on
6 it can be used by that witness to refresh their
7 memory if they want.

8 Q And so first of all it was a record of what, their
9 version of events for other investigators; is that
04:04 10 right?

11 A Yes.

12 Q And secondly, you said it could be something that
13 could be used by the witness later to refresh
14 their memory?

04:04 15 A Yes.

16 Q And I take it you would be referring to court
17 proceedings?

18 A Yes.

19 Q And would you have had experience, sir, where
04:05 20 witnesses used statements to refresh their memory
21 in criminal proceedings?

22 A I don't know. I'm not aware of any.

23 Q And then let's take, for example, your notebook,
24 you testified in court on many occasions; is that
04:05 25 fair?



1 A Yes.

2 Q Over the years. And did you use your notebook to
3 refresh your memory?

4 A I have at times, yes.

04:05 5 Q And as far as taking witness statements, Mr.
6 Mackie, and if you could, again focusing on 1969,
7 and if your practice was the same throughout your
8 career then so be it, but what was your practice,
9 did you have a way in which you normally took
04:05 10 witness statements?

11 A Wrote them in longhand and tried to keep them in
12 some chronological order and tried to do it as
13 they relayed it.

14 Q And was it a question and answer type thing or did
04:05 15 you ask the witness to just generally tell their
16 story or how -- did you have a certain method, if
17 I can call it that?

18 A Not particularly. You would probably ask them a
19 question to clarify something they were saying
04:06 20 because you were going to have to transfer it or
21 write it down. Lots of times you have to slow
22 them down and say I can't write that fast sort of
23 thing.

24 Q And then what about having the witness review the
04:06 25 statement, did you have a standard practice?



1 A Always have them reread it, or assume they read
2 it. On occasion I've had to read it back to them,
3 but most of them read it themselves. Quite often
4 they watch as you wrote it, or always did, watch
04:06 5 you as you wrote it.

6 Q And what about a practice on timing, when did you,
7 if you interviewed a witness, did you have a
8 practice as to when you would take the witness
9 statement?

04:06 10 A It would depend on the circumstance I suppose,
11 when they are available. You might know somebody
12 who is a witness today and then they would not be
13 available and you won't get the statement from
14 them or decide you needed one later on.

04:07 15 Q So if a witness told you their story, would it be
16 your practice to record a statement when they told
17 you the story?

18 A If you were in the process of getting a witness
19 statement from them, yes, at that time.

04:07 20 Q Now, officers' notebooks, what was your practice
21 as far as recording notes in your notebook?

22 A They were recorded every day as you did them.

23 Q I'm sorry?

24 A More or less as you did the investigation.

04:07 25 Q And then did you use your notebooks to assist you



1 in preparing reports, your investigation reports?

2 A Not that I recall, no. To prepare it you mean?

3 Q Yes.

4 A Well, yes, you would use your notes to dictate.

04:07 5 Q Now, back in 1969 was it your practice to dictate
6 your reports?

7 A Yes.

8 Q Now, what happened to your notebooks, your
9 officer's notebooks when you retired from the
04:08 10 police force in 1978?

11 A They were all turned in.

12 Q To the police service?

13 A Yes.

14 Q And are you aware of what they did with them?

04:08 15 A No.

16 Q Just for the record, Mr. Commissioner, I think
17 they were destroyed at some time. We don't have
18 any of your notebooks, Mr. Mackie?

19 A No.

04:08 20 Q If we can just deal now with the Gail Miller
21 investigation. If I could call up 325863, please,
22 and if you can enlarge that, and, Mr. Mackie, what
23 we did here is we've got a list on the left-hand
24 side of all of the reports, investigation reports
04:09 25 and notes authored by you, we've got the date and



1 the document ID number, and these are all
2 documents that we provided to you in advance of
3 this hearing for you to review and I believe -- or
4 let me ask you. Based on your review of the
04:09 5 investigation reports, are you prepared to
6 acknowledge that they would be accurate reports
7 and reflect what you would have written at the
8 time?

9 A Yes.

04:09 10 Q And I take it that on some, or many of those, Mr.
11 Mackie, you were not able to recall the details of
12 what's reported, but you are satisfied that the
13 reports are accurate; is that fair?

14 A Yes.

04:09 15 Q And the middle column are reports that we had you
16 review where they were authored by other officers
17 and they reference your involvement and I think,
18 is it fair to say that again you accept that they
19 are accurate?

04:09 20 A The ones I've been able to read, yes.

21 Q And I believe the ones that we provided to you,
22 that you've read those, have you? The ones listed
23 here are the ones that we had you read?

24 A I didn't get to read all of them.

04:10 25 Q Okay. We'll maybe come back to that. Put it this



1 way, is there anything in the ones that you've
2 read to date that you take issue with as being
3 accurate?

4 A As far as I know, they are accurate.

04:10 5 Q Okay. And as well the witness statements that you
6 took we've listed here, and I'll be dealing with
7 the Cadrain and the Nichol John one in more
8 detail, but as far as the statements, I believe
9 they are in your handwriting, bear your signature
04:10 10 and they would be witness statements that you took
11 at the time in accordance with your normal
12 practice; is that fair?

13 A Yes.

14 Q Now what I would like to do, Mr. Mackie, is just
04:10 15 to go through a couple of the investigation
16 reports from early on in the investigation and
17 this is before Mr. Milgaard is identified as a
18 suspect and I just have some general questions.
19 First if you could call up 106104 and this is a
04:11 20 report of January 31, it says '68, but I think it
21 should be '69, and just call out the first
22 paragraph, and this talks about you and Detective
23 Hein and Morality Sergeant Gryba searching a room
24 occupied by Mrs. Carrier's son looking for
04:11 25 information that might be involved, or that he



1 might be involved in the murder, and I'm just
2 wondering why Morality Sergeant Gryba would be
3 involved in this task, Mr. Mackie. Were morality
4 officers involved in the -- it appears from this
04:12 5 report that he was. Was that unusual?

6 A Not, I don't think, in this file. It would have
7 been on a lot of files, but not necessarily.

8 Q So it appears in this case that some morality
9 officers were involved in the Gail Miller
04:12 10 investigation; is that fair?

11 A Yes.

12 Q If I could then scroll down, please, and this is
13 again the day of the murder, it says:

14 "Detective Hein and I also checked the
04:12 15 Sex Deviate M/O cards in the
16 Identification Office, and listed a few
17 which had an M/O of using a knife."

18 So I take it you and Detective Hein would have
19 looked for some suspects in your existing sex
04:12 20 deviate -- what's M/O, is that modus operandi
21 cards?

22 A Yes.

23 Q Can you explain what those are for us, please?

24 A Those would be -- ident kept records on cards that
04:12 25 were very brief summaries of an individual on the



1 way they committed an offence.

2 Q And so I take it that the Gail Miller case, you
3 were aware I guess on the day of the murder that
4 it appeared that there was a sexual assault as
04:13 5 well as a murder; is that correct? Is that your
6 understanding?

7 A I understood that, yes.

8 Q And so do I take it you would be looking for sex
9 deviates because of the sexual nature of the
04:13 10 murder, the apparent sexual nature of the murder;
11 is that fair?

12 A Yes.

13 Q And you would be listing, looking for others with
14 an M/O of using a knife, so in other words, any
04:13 15 sex deviates who had used a knife in earlier
16 rapes, that's what you would be looking for?

17 A Yes.

18 Q Do you recall if anything came of any of those
19 leads?

04:13 20 A Not that I recall.

21 Q Next if I could call up 009330, please, and this
22 is a report, February 3rd, 1968 (sic), and this is
23 actually Detective Sergeant Reid's report, and I
24 see in the first paragraph here, if I can just
04:14 25 call that out, it talks about:



1 "On Feb. 3/69 Det/Sgt. Mackie and writer
2 started work at 6:30 a.m. and drove to
3 vicinity of 200 blk. Ave. N & O."

4 And if I look on February 3rd, I didn't find, Mr.
04:14 5 Mackie, a similar report that you prepared, and I
6 guess my question is where you were partnered
7 with another detective, would one of you, would
8 you decide that one of you would write the report
9 for things done jointly as opposed to two
04:14 10 reports?

11 A Yes.

12 Q So in this case would it be fair to say Reid would
13 have prepared the report of what you and he did
14 that day?

04:14 15 A Yes.

16 Q And then would you review that before he sent it
17 in or would you give him input on that?

18 A Not likely.

19 Q Pardon me?

04:15 20 A No.

21 Q And this report talks about, and this is the
22 Monday after the murder and talks about Reid
23 interviewing transit bus driver John Husulak and
24 talks about a construction worker wearing a red
04:15 25 hat at the bus stop on Avenue O and 20th and I'm



1 wondering, Mr. Mackie, do you have any
2 recollection of talking to the bus driver or
3 dealing with anything related to Gail Miller's bus
4 stop on the Monday following the murder?

04:15 5 A No.

6 Q And then again if you could just scroll down,
7 there's a note here about Henry Diewold, I think
8 it says Bidwold, but it's Henry Diewold, caretaker
9 of St. Mary's church, and he talks about the
04:16 10 morning of the murder seeing an auto, an
11 automobile running with the lights on, noticed
12 someone cross in front of it in the T alley. Do
13 you recall getting any of that information, Mr.
14 Mackie?

04:16 15 A No.

16 Q Now, I don't believe Mr. Diewold gave a formal
17 statement. When would you take a statement and
18 when would you simply put in your report what the
19 statement, what the person said?

04:16 20 A I suppose when you determined there was something
21 there that you needed to have in the file, if he
22 was going to be a witness.

23 Q So in some cases you would get a formal witness
24 statement and other cases you would just record in
04:16 25 the report?



1 A That's recorded. I don't know why there wasn't a
2 witness statement taken. There could have been.
3 If there wasn't -- I guess there wasn't. I don't
4 know why.

04:16 5 Q And next page, please. Are you able to identify
6 this writing, is that yours, those initials, or
7 "Nothing here. Was reported," are you able to
8 identify that?

9 A That writing might be mine, but the initials, I
04:17 10 don't know.

11 Q The writing, "Nothing here. Was reported," may be
12 yours?

13 A That might be. It kind of looks like it probably
14 is.

04:17 15 Q And do you know what that would refer to?

16 A Whatever is in that paragraph I assume, that last
17 paragraph.

18 Q If we could go to 106216. Just down at the
19 bottom, this is a report of February 5, '69 of
04:17 20 yours. Down at the bottom I see Morality Sergeant
21 Oleksyn is involved and again it would appear that
22 a morality officer is involved in the Gail Miller
23 investigation; is that correct?

24 A Yes.

04:18 25 Q And there's reports here about a taxi driver



1 dropping someone off, or transporting someone from
2 Gail Miller's address, dropping them off downtown,
3 it says this taxi fare was further checked by
4 Detective Sergeant Reid who will be reporting. So
04:18 5 I take it that again where you and Mr. Reid were
6 involved, you would coordinate who was doing what
7 and make sure one would report what was happening;
8 is that fair?

9 A Yes.

04:18 10 Q Go to 106225 -- actually, I'm sorry, we talked
11 about the February 3rd report of Reid. Here's
12 your report of February 3rd that indicates you and
13 Reid made patrols and kept observations in the
14 vicinity of St. Mary's church. If we could scroll
04:18 15 down to the middle of the page, please, it says at
16 12:13 we contacted Mr. Spence, it goes on. Do you
17 recall dealing with a Les Spence, being the
18 boyfriend of Gail Miller, and investigating him at
19 all?

04:19 20 A Went to Perdue, if I remember right, we went to
21 the elevator, his father was an elevator agent in
22 Perdue and we went to that elevator and the dad
23 brought his son over, Les Spence, to the elevator
24 where we interviewed him.

04:19 25 Q And so you recall dealing with Les Spence and



1 interviewing him and his father?

2 A Talked to his father initially about it and told
3 him why we were there as I recall and then he went
4 and got Les and brought him to the elevator and he
04:19 5 left.

6 Q Was he a suspect then do you recall?

7 A I guess you could call him a suspect. He was a
8 friend of the deceased, so you would have to
9 consider him a suspect. Somebody to be checked
04:20 10 out and involve them or eliminate them.

11 Q Just tell me generally, how did you -- did you
12 identify people as suspects saying 'lookit, who
13 could probably be responsible, let's make a list,
14 boyfriends, enemies, co-workers,' how did you --
04:20 15 tell me how you investigated that, identified
16 suspects and eliminated them?

17 A I recall we took anybody that had any contact with
18 her and looked for anybody that had -- might --
19 she might have had problems with. We could have
04:23 20 put anybody there.

21 Q Okay. And we will be looking at a suspect list
22 later, but I take it there would be your standard
23 set of potentials, is that fair; enemies,
24 boyfriends, co-workers, things of that nature that
04:23 25 you would say 'we better check these people out



1 and eliminate them'?

2 A We would have to check anybody that might have
3 been -- that you can find that might have been
4 involved.

04:23 5 Q Okay. If we could call up 106763, please. And
6 this is -- that's your signature there; is that
7 correct?

8 A Yes it is.

9 Q And February 6th, '69, and it says:

04:24 10 "Wanted for questioning
11 re: Murder Gail Millar
12 operator of a 1963 or 1964
13 Pontiac - reddish bottom
14 light top.
04:24 15 left rear fender quite badly
16 Damaged from back door to rear
17 of car".

18 Scroll down, please.

19 "If any see bring to Det Div
04:24 20 or get operators name & address
21 & submit report."

22 So what would this be, Mr. Mackie, this document?

23 A It's some information that was given out to patrol
24 division, or whoever wasn't actively involved, but
04:24 25 it would be circulated through the police



1 department and it was something that we wanted.

2 Q I believe this relates to a Dennis Elliott, who
3 observed a vehicle parked across the street from
4 Gail Miller's house the evening before when he
04:24 5 dropped her off; does that sound --

6 A There was a car across from Gail Miller's, if I
7 remember right, and it had damage on it, but
8 that's about all I remember of it.

9 Q And so this piece of paper, then, this would have
04:25 10 been communicated throughout the police
11 department, then, to alert people to look for this
12 vehicle?

13 A I think it was.

14 Q And how would that happen?

04:25 15 A Well if you put it to patrol division the
16 inspector or lieutenant, whatever was involved or
17 whoever turned out each shift, read them
18 information in regard to the things that were --
19 needed attention, or suspects that we were wanting
04:25 20 to locate, or vehicles they wanted, whatever.

21 Q So the patrol people, then, which were a different
22 division than detectives; correct?

23 A Yes.

24 Q They would be, you would communicate to them
04:25 25 through their inspector, 'please be on the lookout



1 for the following'; is that fair?

2 A That's the way it could be done, that's one of the
3 ways it was done.

4 Q If we could go 106514, please, and this is a
04:25 5 report of yours February 10th -- oh no, I'm sorry,
6 this is of Detective Sergeant Reid, and it talks
7 about what you and he did on February 10th. If
8 you could just call that out, please, and it
9 appears that you are talking to someone at the
04:26 10 university or at the University Hospital regarding
11 a list of outpatients and ex-mental patients, and
12 I take it, again, you were looking for suspects;
13 is that fair?

14 A Yes.

04:26 15 Q And, again, it says:

16 "This information was brought to the
17 attention of Supt. Wood."

18 Why would that, why would that happen, what would
19 be the purpose of that?

04:26 20 A It might have been one of his ideas that we do
21 this. He might have arranged that we see a
22 certain somebody, or a certain individual, or in
23 this case Dr. Taylor. I don't know why we went to
24 Dr. Taylor but I would suspect that Supt. Wood had
04:26 25 already contacted him and made an appointment for



1 us or arranged for us to see him.

2 Q Okay. If we could call up 106522, please, this is
3 a February 11th report. And, again, it looks as
4 though you and Detective Sergeant Reid, detective
04:27 5 -- and Detective Labreque were out checking houses
6 in the 2200 block of 20th Street, and we have seen
7 other reports where houses were checked. Was
8 someone coordinating who, who went where, and
9 which houses were checked?

04:27 10 A I don't know. I don't remember. I assume there
11 probably was, there was -- an area would be
12 blocked off and people assigned to check certain
13 blocks.

14 Q Would this be unusual, Mr. Mackie, in your role as
04:27 15 detective sergeant for you and Detective Sergeant
16 Reid and another detective to be out going door to
17 door as part of the investigation; would that be
18 sort of an unusual task for you given your role as
19 detective sergeant?

04:27 20 A Yes.

21 Q And can you give us any explanation as to why you
22 would be doing it on this file and not others?

23 A Needed the manpower I guess.

24 Q Would it be because of the seriousness of the
04:28 25 crime?



1 A That would be a part of it.

2 Q And if we can go to 106534, please, this is a
3 February the 13th, '69 report of yours. And
4 again, if we could just call out the top part
04:28 5 please, and this says:

6 "On Feb. 12th, Lt. Short, Morality
7 Officer Valila and myself went to Laura
8 Sask. where I interviewed Mr. and Mrs.
9 Miller and their family ...",

04:28 10 etcetera. And again just a question; it appears
11 that Lieutenant Short was also directly involved
12 in some of the investigative steps as well, is
13 that fair?

14 A That says he was.

04:28 15 Q And Morality Officer Valila, what would be the
16 reason that a morality officer would be involved
17 in the interview?

18 A I don't know, other than it's just a manpower
19 situation.

04:29 20 Q And would there be any reason that three of you
21 would go to interview? I'm not being critical,
22 I'm just wondering why -- whether that was
23 unusual?

24 A I don't know. It depended what else was going on
04:29 25 that particular date.



1 Q Go to 106554, please, and this is a February 17th
2 report. I see a K at the bottom on a number of
3 these reports; do you know who would have written
4 that on there?

04:29 5 A That's Mr. Keelan's signature, or initial, and he
6 was in charge of central records.

7 Q So did he put a K on a document that he received
8 in central records; do you know?

9 A I don't remember why he put them there.

04:30 10 Q And again, I don't need to get into too much
11 detail, but it appears here you are investigating
12 some people who were at a party with Gail Miller
13 earlier; I take it that was one of the lines that
14 you were pursuing?

04:30 15 A Yes.

16 Q This is probably an appropriate spot to break, Mr.
17 Commissioner.

18 COMMISSIONER MacCALLUM: Thank you.
19 Tomorrow at 9:00, please.

04:30 20 (Adjourned at 4:30 p.m.)
21
22
23
24
25



OFFICIAL QUEEN'S BENCH COURT REPORTERS' CERTIFICATES :

We, Karen Hinz, CSR, and Donald G. Meyer, RPR, CSR,
Official Queen's Bench Court Reporters for the Province of
Saskatchewan, hereby certify that the foregoing pages
contain a true and correct transcription of our shorthand
notes taken herein to the best of our knowledge, skill,
and ability.

_____, CSR

Karen Hinz, CSR
Official Queen's Bench Court Reporter

_____, RPR, CSR

Donald G. Meyer, RPR, CSR
Official Queen's Bench Court Reporter



'10:00 - 10177:4
'10:35 - 10178:2
'12:10 - 10181:12
'227 - 10281:24
'2:25 - 10181:17
'2:55 - 10226:7
'55 - 10176:2
'65 - 10329:5
'68 - 10205:20,
10367:20
'69 - 10170:2,
10171:10, 10182:23,
10202:9, 10202:20,
10203:21, 10208:6,
10208:14, 10215:14,
10218:25, 10220:4,
10220:8, 10225:3,
10225:18, 10232:21,
10335:20, 10367:21,
10372:19, 10375:9,
10379:3
'70s - 10161:14
'73 - 10161:15
'7:45 - 10186:22
'80s - 10161:14,
10330:14
'8:40 - 10172:17
'90 - 10260:21
'90s - 10169:20,
10330:14
'91 - 10260:21,
10274:20, 10312:9
'93 - 10280:6, 10312:9
'9:45 - 10175:17
'all - 10198:5
'all-knowing' -
10198:5
'appears - 10172:19
'approximately -
10175:19
'asked - 10173:22
'auburn - 10174:3
'blanket - 10173:17
'blood - 10173:14
'body - 10176:1
'called - 10184:15
'checked - 10172:9
'cold - 10174:11
'could - 10276:2
'em - 10225:12
'fairly' - 10229:18
'gails - 10225:24
'guarded' - 10346:13
'he - 10271:13
'informants' - 10230:5
'initialed - 10226:9
'interview - 10186:19
'les - 10180:11
'located - 10181:22
'look - 10320:10
'look' - 10320:9
'looked - 10184:22
'lookit - 10169:9,
10200:11, 10300:2,
10337:24, 10339:14,
10361:2, 10374:12
'maybe - 10276:3
'moccasin - 10283:21
'my - 10257:7
'no - 10228:5, 10271:3,
10273:5
'not - 10290:17
'obliterated' -
10281:23
'okay - 10193:24,
10198:8
'other - 10181:18

'please - 10376:25
'protectiveness' -
10346:9
'question - 10225:25
'right - 10173:23
'she - 10225:23
'shoe' - 10184:19
'some - 10348:19
'someone' - 10348:19
'sometime' - 10293:7
'started - 10179:5
'stuck' - 10274:8
'sweater - 10185:12
't' - 10273:19,
10274:12
'they - 10323:15,
10325:5
'this - 10288:17
'took - 10225:19
'very' - 10229:18
'victim' - 10184:22
'we - 10374:25
'well - 10198:1,
10277:16, 10277:18,
10286:7, 10345:16
'went - 10226:4,
10226:5
'what - 10200:14,
10294:6, 10295:8,
10302:2
'worked - 10179:8
'you - 10277:5

0

001874 - 10225:15
006255 - 10187:12
006327 - 10110:17
009330 - 10369:21
01 - 10188:8
011848 - 10269:13,
10326:14
011849 - 10326:15
034555 - 10125:21
034569 - 10127:14
041222 - 10280:2,
10312:16
041226 - 10312:17
041237 - 10280:1,
10280:4
041241 - 10251:25
041242 - 10258:25
050943 - 10131:16
050946 - 10131:18
051284 - 10132:15,
10137:1
070618 - 10232:19
070622 - 10232:20
070631 - 10233:13
070634 - 10235:19
071116 - 10120:7
071132 - 10120:7
077846 - 10174:20
081016 - 10218:23
081031 - 10218:24
091189 - 10171:9
091225 - 10171:18
091227 - 10175:14
091231 - 10202:9

1 - 10132:25, 10143:2,
10181:25, 10192:21
10 - 10148:9,
10148:18, 10156:23
10/69 - 10106:17
100 - 10203:14
10106 - 10105:4
10145 - 10105:5

10152 - 10105:7
10305 - 10105:8
10312 - 10105:9
10314 - 10105:10
10326 - 10105:11
10327 - 10105:13
105048 - 10218:10
105323 - 10244:12,
10307:8
105324 - 10246:9
105573 - 10201:11
106 - 10126:7
106104 - 10367:19
106108 - 10205:18
106189 - 10212:6
106212 - 10220:3
106216 - 10372:18
106225 - 10373:10
106234 - 10314:21
106514 - 10377:4
106522 - 10378:2
106534 - 10379:2
106554 - 10380:1
106626 - 10106:12
106659 - 10108:2
106763 - 10375:5
106829 - 10242:25
10:30 - 10218:2
10:33 - 10187:9
10:51 - 10187:10
10th - 10106:13,
10153:22, 10377:5,
10377:7
11 - 10172:1, 10181:24
11:59 - 10242:11
11th - 10378:3
12 - 10181:12
12:13 - 10373:16
12th - 10379:6
130 - 10181:13
13th - 10111:20,
10379:3
1414 - 10218:4,
10218:11
14th - 10102:21,
10269:14, 10280:6
15 - 10126:18,
10320:2, 10320:11
15/69 - 10108:6
1501 - 10207:23
15th - 10108:1,
10115:20
16 - 10230:1, 10322:10
16-year-old - 10325:11
16th - 10328:20,
10329:5
17 - 10221:17,
10322:10
176846 - 10238:25
176860 - 10239:1
177274 - 10121:19
177279 - 10121:20
17th - 10153:25,
10159:7, 10171:10,
10380:1
180089 - 10204:18
1948 - 10328:8
1952 - 10106:20
1953 - 10153:6
1960s - 10145:25
1961 - 10153:21
1962 - 10151:24
1963 - 10221:13,
10375:12
1964 - 10375:12
1965 - 10153:22
1968 - 10149:25,
10150:13, 10153:22,
10240:18, 10369:22
1969 - 10106:10,

10106:13, 10112:7,
10112:14, 10115:20,
10119:19, 10129:7,
10131:1, 10142:15,
10147:4, 10147:10,
10148:9, 10148:18,
10148:25, 10150:1,
10153:24, 10154:14,
10154:18, 10155:15,
10157:25, 10158:3,
10159:7, 10159:15,
10160:16, 10163:4,
10179:8, 10189:6,
10192:9, 10202:6,
10205:20, 10208:17,
10221:12, 10228:8,
10231:12, 10231:25,
10238:23, 10255:20,
10256:4, 10257:6,
10261:25, 10262:2,
10262:14, 10264:12,
10265:14, 10271:2,
10273:13, 10277:8,
10329:4, 10329:5,
10331:12, 10332:14,
10332:18, 10333:22,
10334:1, 10343:20,
10345:9, 10351:17,
10355:12, 10363:6,
10365:5
1970 - 10125:6,
10130:19, 10147:10,
10241:1, 10241:6,
10242:16, 10276:23,
10278:11, 10329:12,
10331:12
1971 - 10242:2,
10328:20
1978 - 10328:8,
10329:17, 10365:10
1980 - 10154:4,
10154:5, 10154:10,
10242:17, 10242:22,
10243:3, 10243:13,
10243:21, 10243:22,
10244:5, 10244:13,
10244:19, 10246:16,
10249:23, 10250:9,
10293:14, 10293:25,
10307:9
1988 - 10153:7,
10170:13
1990 - 10116:1
1990s - 10251:16
1991 - 10252:1,
10257:21, 10258:6,
10262:12, 10263:1,
10264:15, 10269:14,
10276:9, 10276:20,
10277:3, 10277:13,
10278:3, 10278:25,
10279:12, 10282:5,
10286:5
1993 - 10115:2,
10124:21, 10124:24,
10125:6, 10125:11,
10125:24, 10127:6,
10128:1, 10128:5,
10128:22, 10129:15,
10130:10, 10131:15,
10131:21, 10131:22,
10132:22, 10135:24,
10137:7, 10258:6,
10260:21, 10279:11,
10279:17, 10280:4,
10286:5, 10326:22,
10330:19
1996 - 10330:24
1997 - 10297:2
1999 - 10331:1

1:30 - 10242:12

2
2 - 10133:5, 10192:21
20 - 10206:7,
10235:10, 10253:17,
10282:8
20/20 - 10310:22
200 - 10172:18,
10181:18, 10181:24,
10193:5, 10203:14,
10214:14, 10370:3
2005 - 10102:21,
10111:20
20th - 10203:17,
10203:18, 10203:23,
10204:6, 10204:19,
10204:21, 10204:23,
10205:2, 10205:16,
10206:4, 10206:5,
10206:10, 10206:20,
10207:23, 10207:25,
10210:12, 10214:19,
10215:12, 10216:18,
10216:23, 10217:14,
10218:4, 10218:11,
10221:16, 10221:19,
10221:25, 10222:16,
10315:8, 10315:12,
10370:25, 10378:6
21 - 10241:1
216 - 10206:21
217 - 10214:17,
10221:3
219 - 10236:5,
10236:13
219408 - 10242:24
22 - 10300:14
220 - 10236:24
2200 - 10378:6
227 - 10234:19,
10234:22
22nd - 10211:22
24 - 10232:24
246777 - 10297:4
246787 - 10297:15
246800 - 10297:25
246804 - 10298:13
246821 - 10300:13
246833 - 10300:24
28 - 10225:5,
10226:15, 10307:9
28th - 10225:18,
10226:10, 10244:13,
10244:19, 10246:11
2:25 - 10181:17
2:40 - 10305:20
2nd - 10107:19,
10125:24

3
3 - 10133:6, 10174:18,
10202:20
3/69 - 10214:10,
10370:1
30 - 10176:20, 10179:8
300 - 10203:14,
10222:10
30th - 10221:12,
10243:12
31 - 10141:7,
10142:14, 10147:22,
10150:1, 10153:24,
10192:21, 10194:25,
10202:7, 10203:21,
10205:20, 10207:9,
10208:6, 10208:14,
10242:16, 10243:3,



10271:1, 10367:20
311 - 10252:6
312 - 10253:10
313 - 10259:1,
10265:2, 10267:9,
10267:11
313366 - 10302:8
313397 - 10302:8
313475 - 10140:17
313485 - 10140:17
313488 - 10142:22
315134 - 10139:2
315145 - 10139:3
31st - 10195:21,
10208:2, 10221:7,
10221:24, 10233:17,
10315:8
325555 - 10153:9
325558 - 10328:12
325569 - 10154:13
325570 - 10332:11
325863 - 10365:21
329 - 10245:23
33 - 10174:12,
10175:19, 10176:3
334 - 10208:12,
10222:11
35 - 10126:9
36 - 10280:9
3:00 - 10305:21
3rd - 10127:16,
10171:10, 10202:6,
10202:8, 10205:5,
10208:17, 10215:14,
10219:17, 10220:8,
10301:2, 10369:22,
10370:4, 10373:11,
10373:12

4

4 - 10133:6, 10225:3
4/68 - 10179:6
43 - 10236:17
47 - 10175:1, 10237:3
48 - 10175:1
4:00 - 10179:9,
10190:25
4:30 - 10380:20
4:50 - 10186:7
4th - 10218:25,
10220:8, 10221:10

5

5 - 10220:4, 10252:1,
10372:19
5-6 - 10126:10
50 - 10176:1
51 - 10237:12
53 - 10102:22
560 - 10153:10
5:40 - 10202:21,
10250:5

6

641 - 10225:20
69 - 10126:18
6:00 - 10175:19,
10175:20, 10176:3,
10190:17
6:15 - 10203:15,
10206:10, 10219:5
6:16 - 10205:15
6:17 - 10206:19,
10211:8, 10220:24
6:30 - 10208:14,
10209:16, 10214:13,
10221:12, 10222:15,

10370:2
6:49 - 10207:23,
10208:11, 10214:2,
10222:10
6:50 - 10214:15
6:52 - 10211:5,
10222:25
6th - 10375:9

7

702 - 10203:18
71 - 10154:22,
10332:20
73 - 10153:2
7676 - 10111:21
7693 - 10115:14
78 - 10328:5
781 - 10297:5
7:00 - 10252:11
7:05 - 10221:23,
10222:5
7:15 - 10186:19,
10211:15
7:30 - 10179:9,
10190:24
7:45 - 10171:23
7:47 - 10211:21

8

829 - 10243:2
85 - 10174:25
8:00 - 10172:3
8:10 - 10215:25
8:50 - 10172:18
8th - 10280:4

9

9 - 10226:7
9:00 - 10106:2,
10174:1, 10380:19
9th - 10131:21

A

ability - 10327:3,
10381:7
able - 10163:3,
10207:4, 10208:19,
10226:2, 10232:16,
10235:22, 10331:11,
10348:3, 10366:11,
10366:20, 10372:5,
10372:7
about' - 10294:6
above' - 10178:4
absent - 10266:12
absorb - 10196:7
absorbed - 10353:21
absorbing - 10198:15
accept - 10118:8,
10148:12, 10314:11,
10366:18
access - 10157:2,
10157:5
accompanied -
10162:2, 10178:11
accord - 10225:9,
10235:16
accordance -
10367:11
according - 10214:24,
10260:12, 10274:20,
10275:22, 10275:23
According - 10218:11
account - 10127:4,
10130:8, 10233:10,
10235:15, 10274:5

accounting - 10295:25
accuracy - 10110:6,
10314:8
accurate - 10118:11,
10121:12, 10123:3,
10124:16, 10127:4,
10127:17, 10130:8,
10140:10, 10142:18,
10143:17, 10232:17,
10233:10, 10235:15,
10238:19, 10239:12,
10240:8, 10253:7,
10254:8, 10274:5,
10292:7, 10293:22,
10296:20, 10321:20,
10366:6, 10366:13,
10366:19, 10367:3,
10367:4
accurately - 10153:15,
10265:2, 10265:8,
10271:7, 10282:15,
10283:7, 10284:6,
10287:15, 10288:3,
10328:14
accused - 10311:4,
10311:20
acknowledge -
10366:6
Act - 10160:23
acting - 10222:2
active - 10340:2,
10342:18
actively - 10375:24
activities - 10202:18
actual - 10183:21,
10273:25
adamant - 10117:3,
10117:12
add - 10261:6,
10264:3, 10269:15,
10318:1
added - 10154:23,
10287:7, 10332:21
adding - 10360:10
addition - 10282:10
additional - 10107:1
address - 10245:22,
10259:17, 10373:2,
10375:20
addresses - 10192:22
Adilmans - 10221:20
Adjournd - 10187:9,
10242:11, 10305:20,
10380:20
administrative -
10335:22, 10342:8
administrative-type -
10342:8
admissible - 10261:5
admit - 10296:16
admits - 10296:6
admitted - 10282:1,
10295:24
advance - 10366:2
adversarial - 10305:24
advice - 10311:1,
10311:7
advise - 10159:1,
10224:7, 10309:15
advised - 10109:14,
10126:8, 10126:13,
10126:18, 10126:25,
10127:8, 10127:23,
10128:15, 10129:12,
10130:1, 10130:5,
10147:1, 10159:4,
10221:11, 10221:22,
10245:14, 10245:20
advices - 10108:13,
10108:24, 10109:11

advising - 10145:18
affect - 10329:2
afraid - 10110:22,
10117:20, 10149:12
afternoon - 10186:7,
10312:5, 10327:23
age - 10328:5
agent - 10373:21
ago - 10126:10,
10126:13, 10226:6,
10248:4, 10248:12
agree - 10192:12,
10215:19, 10238:2,
10251:1, 10261:3,
10271:12, 10271:13,
10277:2, 10299:14,
10318:7, 10318:14,
10320:18, 10320:22,
10348:12, 10348:16,
10349:8, 10354:10
agreed - 10220:10,
10305:23, 10312:18
ahead - 10182:13,
10217:5, 10217:7
aid - 10299:9
Albert - 10119:4,
10147:3, 10147:6,
10148:3, 10227:10,
10227:11, 10230:16,
10230:20
aleck - 10262:22,
10322:3, 10322:23
alecky - 10325:15
alert - 10220:19,
10376:11
Alexandra - 10151:25
all-knowing -
10198:15, 10349:7,
10353:20, 10358:5
alleged - 10283:4
alley - 10122:3,
10124:4, 10124:8,
10181:24, 10183:9,
10183:13, 10216:24,
10234:2, 10234:5,
10236:20, 10253:15,
10273:20, 10273:24,
10274:7, 10274:22,
10278:14, 10278:16,
10278:20, 10279:8,
10282:7, 10285:3,
10302:13, 10302:15,
10302:23, 10303:1,
10303:12, 10371:12
alleys - 10217:11,
10217:12, 10233:23
allow - 10133:3
allows - 10308:22
allude - 10282:23
alluded - 10287:3
alone - 10221:14,
10253:4
Ambulance - 10178:9
amount - 10141:13
analysis - 10176:21
Andrew - 10333:13
angry - 10291:23
Ankle - 10174:6
ankle - 10174:7
annual - 10154:15,
10332:14, 10333:21,
10333:25
answer - 10119:17,
10141:25, 10148:19,
10150:2, 10225:25,
10226:1, 10226:2,
10226:5, 10226:6,
10246:21, 10300:7,
10300:20, 10309:6,
10311:12, 10311:13,

10311:14, 10363:14
answering - 10307:23,
10336:6
answers - 10319:12
anticipate - 10249:10
anyone' - 10290:17
anyway - 10150:10,
10299:11
Apart - 10226:20
apart - 10157:5,
10162:10, 10225:6,
10242:18, 10337:12
apologize - 10120:23
apparent - 10296:1,
10369:10
appeal - 10308:7,
10308:8
appeals - 10308:18
appear - 10113:25,
10135:9, 10155:9,
10181:1, 10212:14,
10219:11, 10225:7,
10234:20, 10235:6,
10281:25, 10282:2,
10307:17, 10321:10,
10351:20, 10372:21
Appearances -
10104:1
appeared - 10121:7,
10122:14, 10123:12,
10135:14, 10140:7,
10189:21, 10206:5,
10233:1, 10233:4,
10234:10, 10239:9,
10253:13, 10257:14,
10273:18, 10274:11,
10282:6, 10298:19,
10312:13, 10369:4
appearing - 10135:21,
10232:14, 10327:25
application -
10251:18, 10252:4,
10279:15
appointment -
10377:25
appreciate - 10115:17,
10209:3, 10213:15,
10249:9, 10276:25,
10296:14, 10301:23,
10310:22, 10311:13,
10318:14, 10318:18
approached - 10324:5
appropriate -
10150:10, 10157:23,
10187:6, 10242:9,
10250:18, 10260:8,
10309:16, 10380:16
approval - 10336:18,
10336:22, 10336:25,
10337:10, 10337:12,
10337:17
approx - 10221:12,
10221:23
Apr - 10126:18
April - 10108:1,
10108:6, 10111:20,
10112:7, 10112:14,
10113:2, 10115:20,
10280:4, 10280:6,
10329:5, 10359:23
area - 10120:10,
10122:8, 10123:9,
10139:10, 10139:20,
10140:6, 10141:21,
10155:16, 10157:1,
10164:6, 10173:6,
10175:2, 10175:11,
10181:17, 10203:13,
10203:24, 10208:8,
10216:14, 10216:16,



10216:21, 10217:1,
10217:3, 10218:11,
10233:3, 10233:25,
10236:19, 10236:20,
10254:1, 10255:5,
10271:19, 10272:1,
10285:8, 10285:15,
10297:19, 10332:22,
10339:18, 10349:17,
10378:11
areas - 10259:11,
10314:18, 10317:19,
10317:23
argue - 10261:17
argument - 10264:21,
10264:23
arising - 10125:16,
10307:2
arm - 10163:14,
10176:16
armpit' - 10176:2
arranged - 10377:21,
10378:1
arrangement -
10169:8, 10169:12
arrangements -
10215:25, 10216:8,
10227:23
arrest - 10227:4
arrival - 10139:9
arrived - 10163:12,
10163:18, 10163:19,
10164:12, 10164:24,
10166:2, 10172:18,
10175:18, 10175:25,
10177:14, 10252:21,
10253:4
arriving - 10173:11
arrow - 10183:15
Art - 10119:7, 10231:13
article - 10181:18
articles - 10191:11,
10216:3, 10298:5
aspects - 10126:15
assault - 10131:4,
10149:25, 10242:4,
10344:6, 10369:4
assaults - 10150:18,
10240:14, 10240:23,
10241:8, 10242:3
assess - 10268:25
assessment -
10238:22
assign - 10156:20,
10172:14, 10250:11,
10339:11, 10340:8
Assigned - 10347:10
assigned - 10155:12,
10155:16, 10155:18,
10155:25, 10165:21,
10166:12, 10166:18,
10166:25, 10167:4,
10167:7, 10167:8,
10171:23, 10193:20,
10194:2, 10195:19,
10199:3, 10199:25,
10200:17, 10202:21,
10203:8, 10225:13,
10248:18, 10250:18,
10250:20, 10333:11,
10333:18, 10338:24,
10339:1, 10340:18,
10340:21, 10341:8,
10343:8, 10344:10,
10346:20, 10346:25,
10347:5, 10347:12,
10351:2, 10378:12
assigning - 10197:17,
10338:22
assignment -

10156:14, 10247:17,
10343:6
assigns - 10187:25
assist - 10162:25,
10168:23, 10179:24,
10180:8, 10189:16,
10204:14, 10331:19,
10364:25
assistance - 10107:7,
10150:25, 10151:2,
10151:4, 10151:7,
10166:3, 10198:22
Assistant - 10103:3,
10103:6
assisted - 10165:1,
10332:7, 10356:24
assisting - 10252:3,
10361:16
associated - 10151:11,
10151:17
assume - 10196:7,
10200:21, 10309:7,
10309:8, 10309:10,
10355:1, 10355:20,
10358:21, 10358:23,
10364:1, 10372:16,
10378:10
assuming - 10215:7
assurance - 10324:18
asterisk - 10185:11
at' - 10184:16
attach - 10157:12,
10316:18
attached - 10174:4,
10247:12, 10344:19
attempted - 10243:11
attendance - 10119:7,
10130:17, 10146:11,
10154:4, 10217:25
Attended - 10126:6
attended - 10243:22
attending - 10107:9,
10231:14
attention - 10107:3,
10107:25, 10111:16,
10161:21, 10233:16,
10311:19, 10313:23,
10339:17, 10376:19,
10377:17
attitude - 10259:21,
10259:23, 10264:7,
10267:25, 10321:8,
10321:16
Attorney - 10311:8
attributed - 10317:23
auburn - 10252:17
Audio - 10103:14
August - 10153:2,
10232:20, 10243:21,
10244:13, 10244:19,
10246:11, 10307:9
author - 10214:7
authored - 10133:24,
10220:7, 10365:25,
10366:16
authorities - 10247:12,
10259:8
authority - 10124:25,
10157:22, 10335:16,
10336:18
auto - 10221:23,
10371:10
automobile - 10238:1,
10238:17, 10371:11
available - 10157:2,
10261:15, 10335:10,
10364:11, 10364:13
Ave - 10221:3,
10221:25, 10222:11,
10222:16, 10245:23,

10370:3
Avenue - 10180:4,
10193:6, 10203:14,
10203:18, 10203:23,
10204:5, 10204:20,
10204:23, 10204:24,
10205:1, 10206:3,
10206:5, 10207:24,
10211:23, 10214:14,
10215:12, 10217:6,
10234:23, 10236:14,
10236:25, 10281:24,
10370:25
avoid - 10258:15,
10266:17, 10269:25
aware - 10111:14,
10113:3, 10135:15,
10149:24, 10199:3,
10217:13, 10227:10,
10231:8, 10240:13,
10240:21, 10241:6,
10241:11, 10242:1,
10242:6, 10243:18,
10245:1, 10246:6,
10258:19, 10278:12,
10278:15, 10288:19,
10308:13, 10308:20,
10311:14, 10311:22,
10322:10, 10346:15,
10352:14, 10362:22,
10365:14, 10369:3

B

background -
10151:10
backwards -
10133:17, 10280:3
bad - 10230:14
badly - 10375:15
ban - 10267:12
bank - 10339:19
bare - 10173:24
barring - 10340:13
Based - 10182:15,
10182:17, 10366:4
based - 10134:20,
10144:11, 10164:15,
10173:12, 10189:25,
10209:4, 10223:12,
10224:15, 10303:22,
10305:9, 10352:22
basement - 10245:22
basic - 10352:1
basis - 10268:3
bear - 10108:5,
10188:4, 10250:3,
10367:9
bearing - 10264:7
beaten - 10164:20
became - 10147:13,
10147:23, 10154:1,
10159:7, 10273:23,
10278:4, 10289:22,
10307:16
become - 10148:2,
10242:6, 10266:5,
10266:13, 10267:4,
10267:10, 10268:18,
10269:1, 10326:6
becomes - 10266:12,
10266:23, 10268:20,
10268:21
becoming - 10117:22
began - 10132:3,
10153:6
behalf - 10279:13,
10312:6
behind - 10152:21,
10287:1, 10330:3

Beitel - 10103:10
belief - 10119:13,
10287:6
believes - 10109:12,
10221:6, 10296:2,
10307:14
belonged - 10216:4
belt - 10174:4
Bench - 10381:1,
10381:3, 10381:14,
10381:20
Bennet - 10315:14
Bennett - 10211:25,
10314:25, 10315:1,
10315:2, 10316:8
Beresh - 10104:11,
10139:5, 10140:23,
10152:21, 10300:14,
10300:25, 10301:5,
10302:1
Beresh's - 10142:23
Bertha - 10106:19
Beside - 10283:23
beside - 10284:4,
10284:10
best - 10121:15,
10124:17, 10128:11,
10138:11, 10140:13,
10142:19, 10143:18,
10147:9, 10297:12,
10312:23, 10313:9,
10381:6
better - 10141:1,
10335:12, 10348:15,
10356:2, 10374:25
between - 10139:21,
10140:6, 10150:16,
10159:15, 10159:17,
10169:12, 10220:10,
10240:22, 10295:2,
10295:25
beyond - 10268:5
Bidwold - 10371:8
big - 10192:10
Biggar - 10328:2
biggest - 10218:3
bit - 10122:5,
10131:21, 10156:11,
10183:20, 10190:20,
10200:12, 10200:13,
10211:15, 10228:14,
10245:8, 10276:2,
10276:7, 10313:11,
10357:6, 10359:24
bitchin' - 10292:11
black - 10181:23,
10201:15
blanket - 10163:13,
10164:3, 10173:19
blanket' - 10173:15
Bldg - 10222:13
blew - 10128:4
blk - 10370:3
Blk - 10222:11
block - 10193:6,
10212:25, 10214:14,
10296:12, 10378:6
blocked - 10378:12
blocks - 10203:14,
10378:13
blood - 10123:12,
10123:18, 10123:25,
10124:4, 10124:10,
10164:17, 10189:23,
10233:3
bloody - 10174:7
blown - 10111:23
blue - 10163:12,
10173:15
Bobs - 10104:6

body - 10123:9,
10123:13, 10123:14,
10123:16, 10123:20,
10123:22, 10139:18,
10139:22, 10140:6,
10143:8, 10143:10,
10147:21, 10162:5,
10163:12, 10163:13,
10163:17, 10164:3,
10165:7, 10165:11,
10173:6, 10173:14,
10173:20, 10174:15,
10175:2, 10175:12,
10177:7, 10177:9,
10177:16, 10177:18,
10177:23, 10178:8,
10179:21, 10181:11,
10183:16, 10216:22,
10233:8, 10235:24,
10236:2, 10236:19,
10237:10, 10252:24,
10253:5, 10255:13,
10270:10, 10271:20,
10273:20, 10284:23,
10285:12, 10297:19,
10298:25
body' - 10271:13,
10273:6
bolster - 10320:22
book - 10170:2,
10170:8, 10170:25,
10195:20
books - 10170:22
boot - 10182:10,
10184:15, 10184:25,
10185:1, 10185:2,
10186:8, 10201:19,
10225:19, 10226:7,
10272:13, 10281:3,
10283:25, 10284:10,
10284:20, 10285:18,
10298:1, 10299:16,
10300:3, 10300:11,
10304:8, 10304:13
bootlegging -
10228:21
boots - 10281:8,
10284:3, 10304:14
born - 10106:20
Boswell - 10103:5
bother - 10150:23
bottom - 10110:24,
10131:19, 10136:9,
10140:18, 10172:6,
10176:12, 10179:16,
10190:22, 10191:16,
10201:13, 10203:12,
10215:25, 10225:19,
10226:3, 10235:9,
10237:3, 10247:22,
10280:16, 10289:21,
10291:22, 10315:2,
10372:19, 10372:20,
10375:13, 10380:2
Bottos - 10104:14
Boucher - 10106:20
bounce - 10158:8,
10337:18
boundaries - 10356:21
box - 10170:22
boy - 10325:11
Boychuk - 10104:9
boyfriend - 10108:18,
10180:15, 10180:17,
10181:1, 10195:9,
10373:18
boyfriends - 10374:14,
10374:24
boys - 10323:11,
10323:15, 10323:17,



10325:7
boys' - 10325:5
brainstorm - 10356:2
break - 10160:5, 10184:13, 10187:7, 10194:18, 10194:20, 10227:18, 10228:21, 10229:13, 10242:9, 10254:3, 10305:15, 10305:18, 10380:16
Brian - 10104:11
brief - 10368:25
briefly - 10125:20
bring - 10132:12, 10132:15, 10284:25, 10319:16, 10337:3, 10375:19
brought - 10109:7, 10237:25, 10273:16, 10290:9, 10313:23, 10373:23, 10374:4, 10377:16
brown - 10218:3
Bruce - 10104:10
building - 10208:13, 10343:24
bunch - 10195:11, 10337:3
buried - 10181:24, 10182:5, 10185:5, 10281:3
Buried - 10182:14
burned' - 10290:25
burnt - 10228:4
burried - 10191:8
bus - 10162:20, 10202:15, 10203:19, 10204:1, 10204:2, 10204:4, 10204:7, 10204:10, 10204:22, 10205:1, 10205:25, 10206:1, 10206:2, 10206:11, 10206:20, 10206:21, 10206:23, 10207:10, 10207:12, 10207:14, 10207:20, 10207:24, 10208:3, 10208:14, 10209:2, 10209:9, 10209:13, 10209:16, 10209:18, 10210:10, 10210:12, 10211:6, 10211:11, 10213:1, 10214:3, 10214:4, 10214:16, 10214:18, 10214:21, 10214:25, 10215:5, 10215:7, 10215:8, 10215:12, 10215:14, 10219:12, 10221:7, 10221:18, 10221:25, 10222:6, 10222:15, 10223:1, 10223:12, 10301:1, 10314:21, 10315:7, 10315:9, 10315:11, 10315:22, 10370:23, 10370:25, 10371:2, 10371:3
business - 10359:19
busses - 10192:23, 10350:3
busy - 10290:15
button - 10185:23, 10201:16, 10201:17
button-up - 10185:23
buttoned - 10186:1
bylaws - 10160:23

C
C' - 10198:9

C/supt - 10252:9
cabinet - 10339:21
cabs - 10192:23
Cadrain - 10119:5, 10147:3, 10147:6, 10148:3, 10227:10, 10227:11, 10227:14, 10228:11, 10229:2, 10230:7, 10230:10, 10230:16, 10230:20, 10231:5, 10231:8, 10247:24, 10289:24, 10290:1, 10290:9, 10290:15, 10290:21, 10291:12, 10291:17, 10367:7
Cadrain's - 10229:7
Cadrain's - 10245:4, 10245:25
Cafe - 10108:10
calculation - 10176:21
Caldwell - 10104:6, 10232:23
Calgary - 10119:8, 10231:13
Calvin - 10104:14
Canada - 10104:13, 10311:16
Candace - 10103:4
cannot - 10281:2, 10292:2
cans - 10224:23
cap - 10206:24, 10214:23, 10219:8, 10221:8, 10223:3
car - 10143:3, 10143:7, 10143:10, 10171:24, 10172:1, 10202:23, 10221:15, 10221:18, 10222:1, 10253:2, 10253:14, 10253:18, 10254:14, 10275:19, 10282:6, 10282:9, 10288:20, 10289:3, 10303:6, 10317:22, 10375:17, 10376:6
cards - 10368:15, 10368:21, 10368:24
care - 10321:7, 10321:13
career - 10308:3, 10308:15, 10330:2, 10363:8
carefully - 10132:4, 10133:22, 10234:5
caretaker - 10371:8
carriage - 10156:2
carried - 10293:3
Carrier's - 10367:24
carries - 10141:1
Carry - 10309:23
carrying - 10283:18
case - 10116:25, 10117:11, 10117:23, 10117:24, 10146:9, 10149:1, 10151:12, 10151:18, 10151:24, 10151:25, 10152:3, 10157:6, 10167:2, 10182:17, 10187:2, 10188:21, 10195:8, 10195:24, 10197:19, 10198:24, 10246:25, 10266:21, 10286:14, 10287:5, 10291:14, 10303:8, 10310:9, 10311:9, 10344:5, 10359:11, 10361:22, 10368:8, 10369:2, 10370:12, 10377:23

case' - 10200:13
cases - 10344:11, 10344:21, 10346:7, 10346:10, 10371:23, 10371:24
Casevaut - 10266:1
cast - 10130:25
catch - 10208:3
catches - 10203:19, 10206:23
catching - 10221:24
Catherine - 10104:5
caught - 10204:6, 10204:10, 10205:1, 10209:16, 10209:18, 10213:1, 10221:6, 10221:17, 10222:15
Caught - 10208:14
caused - 10172:24, 10173:6, 10175:3, 10210:20, 10223:25, 10224:17, 10230:25, 10279:3, 10286:5, 10289:11
ceded - 10313:19
cell - 10296:12
cells - 10258:8
central - 10156:17, 10157:10, 10195:25, 10199:8, 10199:21, 10357:14, 10380:6, 10380:8
certain - 10118:21, 10126:15, 10134:23, 10138:9, 10151:9, 10159:1, 10197:23, 10261:1, 10267:20, 10319:8, 10336:20, 10337:9, 10342:25, 10344:13, 10348:10, 10363:16, 10377:22, 10378:12
Certainly - 10264:5
certainly - 10135:13, 10260:16, 10261:5, 10269:4
Certificates - 10381:1
certify - 10381:4
chain - 10155:10, 10336:19
chance - 10110:19, 10125:20, 10153:12, 10154:15, 10155:1, 10202:11, 10232:12, 10244:22, 10297:6, 10328:13
change - 10340:13
changed - 10179:6, 10189:4, 10313:11, 10313:13
chap - 10194:1, 10195:16, 10200:16, 10200:25, 10224:4, 10300:7
characteristic - 10120:16
characterize - 10342:6
charge - 10119:10, 10166:13, 10178:1, 10192:25, 10193:20, 10196:3, 10196:20, 10198:21, 10200:11, 10220:20, 10231:21, 10241:21, 10248:20, 10250:4, 10309:18, 10322:25, 10338:18, 10339:20, 10339:25, 10341:6, 10346:25, 10347:15, 10347:25, 10348:14, 10350:14, 10358:24, 10380:6

charged - 10231:25
Charles - 10334:15, 10354:19
chart - 10154:13, 10154:14, 10232:2, 10332:12, 10332:13
charts - 10344:3
chat - 10150:11
check - 10109:15, 10165:11, 10172:13, 10172:15, 10180:21, 10180:23, 10193:5, 10200:16, 10210:22, 10216:10, 10333:19, 10342:3, 10374:25, 10375:2, 10378:12
Checked - 10211:17, 10222:10, 10222:25
checked - 10123:15, 10123:22, 10162:19, 10163:13, 10173:20, 10177:21, 10203:13, 10203:16, 10205:15, 10206:20, 10207:23, 10208:12, 10211:4, 10211:12, 10211:15, 10211:16, 10211:17, 10211:21, 10214:25, 10219:7, 10224:2, 10240:7, 10349:16, 10355:23, 10368:14, 10373:3, 10374:9, 10378:7, 10378:9
checking - 10114:10, 10114:11, 10165:24, 10177:7, 10192:22, 10192:23, 10204:12, 10205:5, 10207:13, 10208:16, 10208:20, 10208:21, 10208:23, 10209:2, 10209:5, 10210:25, 10223:11, 10378:5
checks - 10202:15, 10211:19, 10212:8, 10350:3
cheesed - 10292:13, 10292:14
Chiclets - 10186:15, 10201:20, 10281:5
chief - 10243:3, 10243:4, 10355:9
Chief - 10306:7, 10335:19, 10335:25
choice - 10290:20, 10324:6
Chris - 10104:9, 10144:24, 10145:2, 10243:5, 10243:14
chronological - 10363:12
church - 10371:9, 10373:14
circled - 10187:15
circular - 10189:8
circulated - 10375:25
circumstance - 10364:10
circumstances - 10151:3, 10203:9
city - 10109:10, 10128:16, 10153:4, 10153:11, 10160:24, 10244:7, 10249:24, 10290:4, 10332:12, 10356:20, 10356:21, 10356:23
City - 10151:19, 10153:16, 10178:22, 10179:5, 10179:14, 10243:22, 10270:25, 10306:17, 10308:4, 10328:7, 10328:15, 10330:3
civil - 10330:23
claimed - 10258:14
clarify - 10261:22, 10325:21, 10363:19
classified - 10228:16
cleaners - 10192:23, 10350:4
clear - 10122:24, 10174:8, 10174:20, 10175:2, 10267:8, 10271:3, 10296:11, 10300:17, 10300:18
cleared - 10321:6
clearly - 10121:8, 10122:8, 10297:9
Clerk - 10103:10
client - 10261:15, 10268:1
Cliff - 10211:22
clip - 10182:22, 10182:23
clock - 10206:10
close - 10123:12, 10249:6, 10320:6
Close - 10123:14
closed - 10308:5, 10308:6, 10311:3
closer - 10136:20
clothing - 10164:19, 10165:6, 10189:24
co - 10312:23, 10344:15, 10374:14, 10374:24
co-operate - 10312:23
co-operation - 10344:15
co-workers - 10374:14, 10374:24
code - 10174:3
Code - 10308:21
coffee - 10150:11
coincidence - 10149:10
cold - 10140:25, 10164:11, 10184:20, 10211:24, 10252:12, 10289:19, 10299:2, 10299:6
collapsing - 10140:2
collecting - 10360:1
collection - 10217:14, 10361:17
coloured - 10252:18
column - 10366:15
coming - 10138:9, 10152:9, 10153:2, 10315:11
comment - 10111:17, 10127:19, 10128:18, 10129:4, 10135:20, 10136:4, 10138:25, 10191:6, 10213:11, 10261:24, 10267:18, 10267:19, 10271:2
commentary - 10271:11
comments - 10258:11, 10267:6, 10270:8, 10295:15, 10295:18, 10296:15
Commission - 10102:2, 10102:14, 10103:1, 10103:2, 10103:3, 10103:10, 10111:15, 10112:2,



10112:3, 10143:22,
10144:17, 10145:13,
10148:1, 10304:17,
10307:13, 10319:13
Commission's-
10326:17
Commissioner -
10106:3, 10145:6,
10152:8, 10152:11,
10152:19, 10184:13,
10187:8, 10210:4,
10229:17, 10229:20,
10242:8, 10242:10,
10258:2, 10259:2,
10259:18, 10259:19,
10260:1, 10260:3,
10260:18, 10260:19,
10262:3, 10262:6,
10263:6, 10263:13,
10263:16, 10263:19,
10263:22, 10263:25,
10264:4, 10264:18,
10265:4, 10265:5,
10265:19, 10265:23,
10266:6, 10266:13,
10266:20, 10267:2,
10267:14, 10267:17,
10268:2, 10268:10,
10268:13, 10269:3,
10269:6, 10269:11,
10269:15, 10272:24,
10273:9, 10295:11,
10305:15, 10305:18,
10305:23, 10311:1,
10314:15, 10316:23,
10323:20, 10323:25,
10324:8, 10324:12,
10324:17, 10324:22,
10325:1, 10325:17,
10325:21, 10325:25,
10326:4, 10326:12,
10326:18, 10327:12,
10327:13, 10327:16,
10356:15, 10365:16,
10380:17, 10380:18
commit - 10245:16
committed - 10241:8,
10245:6, 10245:20,
10309:12, 10369:1
common - 10176:25,
10200:8, 10322:20,
10344:11
communicate -
10224:3, 10357:22,
10358:5, 10358:14,
10376:24
communicated -
10262:1, 10262:7,
10262:12, 10263:2,
10264:5, 10376:10
communicating -
10358:18
communication -
10148:25, 10150:15,
10200:24, 10201:8,
10264:9
compare - 10304:13
compared - 10185:1,
10194:18, 10230:10
Compared - 10185:2,
10230:4
comparing - 10135:7
complaining - 10142:4
complaint - 10280:15,
10293:14, 10293:21,
10294:25
complete - 10360:10,
10360:15
completed - 10191:18
completely - 10116:22
complicate - 10269:1
comprehensive -
10138:14
conceivable -
10147:12
concern - 10230:25,
10261:20, 10345:19
concerned - 10124:8,
10149:16, 10159:13,
10160:3, 10234:14,
10264:22, 10289:2
concerning - 10270:8
concerns - 10230:19,
10345:7
conclude - 10172:24,
10182:19, 10303:21,
10317:19
concluded - 10164:14,
10247:8, 10340:15,
10343:10
conclusion - 10156:9,
10164:20, 10261:7,
10268:23
conclusions -
10175:7, 10260:23,
10261:2
condition - 10327:1
conditions - 10319:2
conduct - 10143:23,
10304:18
conducted - 10121:21,
10139:4
conducting - 10124:22
confirm - 10117:21,
10130:24, 10153:15,
10167:3, 10171:19,
10232:16, 10263:9,
10265:1, 10328:14,
10331:16
confirmed - 10118:11,
10185:5, 10280:24
Congram - 10103:4
connect - 10237:10,
10256:14, 10285:7,
10285:24
connected - 10254:5,
10282:12, 10286:1,
10286:13, 10286:20
connecting - 10198:17
connection -
10170:18, 10231:5,
10240:22, 10244:2,
10257:5, 10257:22,
10278:9, 10279:14
conscious - 10329:22
consider - 10124:22,
10224:17, 10374:9
consideration -
10339:6
considered - 10131:5,
10235:11
considering - 10131:7
consistent - 10190:19,
10222:20, 10237:24,
10238:6, 10238:7,
10293:9, 10297:22,
10298:2, 10306:1,
10321:10
Constable - 10205:20,
10279:23
constable - 10153:20
Construction -
10208:13, 10211:25
construction -
10206:6, 10207:11,
10209:10, 10214:19,
10315:11, 10370:24
consult - 10337:18
contact - 10125:7,
10149:8, 10149:15,
10219:16, 10219:17,
10243:11, 10243:15,
10343:23, 10374:17
contacted - 10109:9,
10251:15, 10280:5,
10373:16, 10377:25
contain - 10381:5
contained - 10126:20
container - 10218:9
containers - 10216:13
contains - 10127:2
contemporaneous -
10260:7
content - 10307:10
contents - 10245:1,
10246:1
context - 10131:6,
10264:20, 10265:25,
10267:23, 10306:4,
10311:3
continuation -
10125:15, 10131:13,
10133:10
continue - 10115:16,
10123:6, 10133:9,
10136:8
continued - 10106:4,
10236:15
Continued - 10105:3
Continuing - 10120:25
continuing - 10142:23
continuity - 10202:4
Contractors -
10222:12
contrary - 10238:4,
10264:10
control - 10168:1
conversation -
10314:4
conversations -
10312:8
conveyed - 10147:15,
10317:18, 10321:9
convicted - 10143:25,
10242:3, 10242:16,
10245:18, 10247:9,
10256:22, 10304:20,
10311:4, 10311:20,
10311:22
conviction - 10115:21,
10124:24, 10240:25,
10242:14, 10242:21,
10243:7, 10251:18,
10279:15, 10279:19,
10308:7, 10308:17,
10308:23
Conviction - 10102:4
convicted - 10296:9
coordinate - 10190:9,
10349:8, 10373:6
coordinated -
10350:12, 10353:21
coordinating -
10351:2, 10378:8
coordination -
10138:15, 10194:1,
10344:15
copies - 10157:7,
10157:9, 10169:22,
10170:11, 10170:20,
10171:6, 10171:7,
10199:12
copy - 10156:16,
10160:22, 10199:9,
10199:11, 10199:16,
10246:17, 10248:21,
10339:16, 10360:25
Corey - 10243:4,
10243:9
corner - 10110:25,
10132:19, 10135:11,
10204:23, 10204:24,
10208:4, 10315:3,
10360:3
coroner - 10164:8,
10165:3, 10165:24,
10252:20, 10252:25,
10253:1
Coroner - 10175:25
Coroner' - 10173:22
Correct - 10125:14,
10127:10, 10198:12,
10198:19, 10313:4
correct - 10116:12,
10118:15, 10122:4,
10122:21, 10124:14,
10128:13, 10135:25,
10138:19, 10139:19,
10145:14, 10147:24,
10148:6, 10150:4,
10152:17, 10152:18,
10152:24, 10153:7,
10153:8, 10153:13,
10153:14, 10154:1,
10154:2, 10154:7,
10154:21, 10155:7,
10155:17, 10156:3,
10156:13, 10156:24,
10157:4, 10157:15,
10162:19, 10163:1,
10166:4, 10166:9,
10168:3, 10169:7,
10171:8, 10171:14,
10172:5, 10172:8,
10172:20, 10172:21,
10173:13, 10173:16,
10174:1, 10174:10,
10174:13, 10174:14,
10175:5, 10179:15,
10181:15, 10181:21,
10182:2, 10183:10,
10183:14, 10183:22,
10183:23, 10186:11,
10186:17, 10187:18,
10187:19, 10187:24,
10188:2, 10188:6,
10189:18, 10190:2,
10190:21, 10191:2,
10191:3, 10191:15,
10192:3, 10193:9,
10193:10, 10194:8,
10194:16, 10196:11,
10196:17, 10196:23,
10197:2, 10197:7,
10197:22, 10199:23,
10200:5, 10201:14,
10201:25, 10202:5,
10203:3, 10204:8,
10205:3, 10205:7,
10208:10, 10208:22,
10210:11, 10211:20,
10212:1, 10218:17,
10219:2, 10220:13,
10220:23, 10222:23,
10225:10, 10225:17,
10226:13, 10232:7,
10232:11, 10232:14,
10233:9, 10233:12,
10233:24, 10234:8,
10235:14, 10235:18,
10236:22, 10237:2,
10238:21, 10238:24,
10239:7, 10239:11,
10239:22, 10239:23,
10239:24, 10240:6,
10240:10, 10243:2,
10246:7, 10246:14,
10253:9, 10257:9,
10257:24, 10262:13,
10263:4, 10279:20,
10279:21, 10283:10,
10285:10, 10290:6,
10290:7, 10290:23,
10296:24, 10296:25,
10297:14, 10297:23,
10297:24, 10298:11,
10298:12, 10299:17,
10299:18, 10300:23,
10302:5, 10306:10,
10306:11, 10307:21,
10307:22, 10308:2,
10313:1, 10313:2,
10313:8, 10314:7,
10320:21, 10324:5,
10328:9, 10329:15,
10330:20, 10331:20,
10332:2, 10333:4,
10335:1, 10335:5,
10337:8, 10339:7,
10346:22, 10348:21,
10349:4, 10349:22,
10360:21, 10369:5,
10372:23, 10375:7,
10376:22, 10381:5
corrected - 10307:13
correctly - 10142:3,
10236:24, 10308:1,
10344:20
correlate - 10333:19
Correlated - 10351:10
Cotler - 10104:13
could've - 10301:10
Could've - 10301:21
Counsel - 10103:2,
10103:3, 10112:3,
10307:13, 10319:13
counsel - 10120:25,
10121:22, 10139:5,
10140:23, 10152:20,
10258:17, 10258:23,
10259:1, 10259:13,
10259:17, 10263:11,
10264:1, 10266:2,
10267:15, 10306:23,
10310:17, 10326:5,
10327:24
couple - 10125:15,
10143:20, 10145:1,
10145:2, 10153:19,
10174:19, 10184:12,
10189:19, 10197:15,
10229:6, 10240:7,
10248:11, 10254:4,
10258:4, 10265:18,
10314:22, 10326:10,
10326:21, 10367:15
course - 10136:22,
10161:7, 10161:15,
10161:20, 10220:21,
10233:20, 10240:11,
10251:17, 10261:3,
10268:5, 10268:14,
10348:24
courses - 10161:13
court - 10300:5,
10300:10, 10362:16,
10362:24
Court - 10103:11,
10269:24, 10301:24,
10381:1, 10381:3,
10381:14, 10381:20
cover - 10171:15
covered - 10144:15,
10163:12, 10173:15,
10226:22, 10274:17,
10275:4, 10277:22,
10335:5
Cpl - 10106:17,
10126:12
created - 10287:6,



10288:5, 10289:7
credibility - 10229:8
credible - 10230:11, 10309:10
credit - 10345:15, 10345:18, 10345:20, 10346:11
Crescent - 10126:7
Cressman - 10186:23
crime - 10144:3, 10146:1, 10270:11, 10285:7, 10285:24, 10304:24, 10309:12, 10344:13, 10344:14, 10378:25
criminal - 10117:23, 10158:21, 10362:21
Criminal - 10308:21
critical - 10379:21
cross - 10120:24, 10121:21, 10139:4, 10140:22, 10142:23, 10144:23, 10233:14, 10239:1, 10267:21, 10268:21, 10268:24, 10305:16, 10305:25, 10371:12
cross-examination - 10120:24, 10121:21, 10139:4, 10140:22, 10142:23, 10239:1, 10305:25
cross-examinations - 10268:24
cross-examine - 10144:23, 10267:21
cross-examiners - 10305:16
cross-examining - 10233:14
crossed - 10188:8
Crown - 10297:16, 10298:13, 10302:18
crystally - 10139:25
crystals - 10298:21, 10303:3
Csr - 10103:11, 10103:12, 10381:2, 10381:12, 10381:13, 10381:18, 10381:19
Cst - 10295:20
Cunningham - 10279:23, 10295:20
curious - 10309:4
Current - 10108:20, 10108:22, 10108:25
cut - 10305:5

D

dad - 10373:22
damage - 10376:7
Damaged - 10375:16
dark - 10252:11
darn - 10164:11, 10289:19
date - 10111:20, 10113:7, 10125:24, 10127:15, 10147:21, 10148:17, 10153:23, 10154:3, 10154:18, 10162:16, 10168:11, 10168:15, 10169:25, 10179:9, 10190:18, 10191:22, 10206:8, 10215:1, 10227:15, 10242:21, 10333:24, 10361:3, 10365:25, 10367:2, 10379:25
dated - 10106:12,

10108:1, 10192:20, 10220:4, 10244:13, 10246:11, 10307:8
dates - 10153:19, 10154:9, 10201:21, 10264:16, 10330:22
dating - 10112:18
daughter - 10108:8, 10109:16
Dave - 10108:20, 10115:8
David - 10102:4, 10104:2, 10104:12, 10107:20, 10112:15, 10112:18, 10113:13, 10115:24, 10119:1, 10119:11, 10119:13, 10119:23, 10144:2, 10147:13, 10148:4, 10148:14, 10148:16, 10227:4, 10231:17, 10231:22, 10232:5, 10242:21, 10243:7, 10245:15, 10251:17, 10255:2, 10256:9, 10256:18, 10257:17, 10258:8, 10258:17, 10264:8, 10270:12, 10276:14, 10278:11, 10278:13, 10278:19, 10279:18, 10284:17, 10297:23, 10304:22, 10310:10, 10310:14, 10314:17, 10318:6, 10318:15, 10319:15, 10319:23, 10320:23, 10321:6, 10321:23, 10330:13, 10331:7
David's - 10317:11, 10317:20
day-to-day - 10158:22, 10336:2
days - 10141:12, 10226:15, 10335:4, 10340:9, 10349:15
daytime - 10338:25
deal - 10224:2, 10232:8, 10242:17, 10259:15, 10269:22, 10290:15, 10311:17, 10327:3, 10332:5, 10361:25, 10365:20
dealing - 10158:16, 10225:6, 10229:1, 10325:6, 10367:6, 10371:3, 10373:17, 10373:25
dealings - 10124:25, 10222:3, 10227:3, 10227:6, 10228:11, 10230:19, 10231:5
dealt - 10177:7, 10263:7, 10265:21, 10269:20, 10347:24
death - 10143:24, 10144:5, 10161:24, 10176:7, 10176:8, 10301:18, 10304:19, 10304:25
decades - 10125:6
deceased - 10106:25, 10108:12, 10177:2, 10237:17, 10237:25, 10253:3, 10374:8
December - 10242:1, 10243:3, 10243:12
decide - 10196:8, 10198:9, 10300:5, 10340:22, 10341:1, 10341:18, 10349:2,

10349:24, 10350:1, 10351:22, 10352:17, 10358:9, 10364:14, 10370:8
decided - 10337:3, 10347:3
deciding - 10192:15, 10192:25, 10198:17, 10361:15
decision - 10118:25, 10119:10, 10231:17, 10231:21, 10300:9, 10329:22, 10340:8, 10340:11
decisions - 10348:23, 10350:7, 10350:24
declared - 10144:2, 10304:23
dedicated - 10149:2, 10149:3
deep - 10236:8, 10237:1, 10275:2, 10279:5
definable - 10121:8
definite - 10233:5
definitely - 10157:24, 10182:20, 10191:8, 10223:3
Definitely - 10121:11, 10158:17, 10160:15, 10172:12, 10251:4, 10273:7
degree - 10355:2
degrees - 10175:19, 10176:2, 10176:3, 10176:20
delegate - 10196:9, 10341:5, 10348:2
delegating - 10155:23, 10347:21, 10350:24
Delisle - 10106:18, 10106:22, 10106:24, 10107:9, 10108:9, 10108:10, 10108:15, 10113:23
demonstrate - 10268:4
denies - 10116:11
Dennis - 10376:2
deny - 10137:17
department - 10120:21, 10311:7, 10311:8, 10311:19, 10344:4, 10357:16, 10376:1, 10376:11
Department - 10151:20, 10252:4, 10264:14, 10270:25, 10310:5
departments - 10311:17, 10311:23
depended - 10379:24
depicting - 10218:7
Dept - 10108:7, 10109:19, 10191:21
deputy - 10243:4
Deputy - 10335:19
describe - 10232:23, 10342:11
described - 10118:16, 10150:19, 10249:2, 10272:16, 10302:12
Description - 10105:2
description - 10206:12, 10209:23, 10249:4
designate - 10339:13
desk - 10334:2
despite - 10296:4
destroyed - 10365:17
Det - 10108:7,

10109:19, 10191:19, 10221:10, 10375:19
Det/sgt - 10370:1
detail - 10116:23, 10202:14, 10282:19, 10367:8, 10380:11
details - 10189:15, 10244:22, 10253:16, 10366:11
detective - 10146:3, 10146:18, 10149:3, 10150:16, 10151:4, 10151:6, 10151:19, 10153:21, 10154:6, 10154:19, 10155:5, 10155:8, 10155:9, 10155:12, 10155:13, 10155:15, 10155:16, 10155:25, 10156:5, 10156:16, 10156:20, 10157:3, 10157:7, 10157:13, 10157:21, 10158:1, 10158:4, 10158:13, 10158:16, 10158:22, 10159:13, 10159:16, 10159:19, 10159:23, 10160:8, 10160:10, 10166:19, 10167:4, 10172:1, 10172:14, 10193:19, 10194:10, 10195:17, 10196:2, 10199:13, 10200:7, 10227:19, 10241:18, 10244:5, 10244:10, 10248:17, 10250:12, 10250:13, 10250:20, 10250:21, 10309:19, 10316:10, 10316:15, 10328:17, 10328:21, 10329:1, 10329:6, 10329:10, 10332:22, 10332:23, 10332:24, 10333:3, 10335:13, 10335:15, 10335:20, 10336:17, 10338:21, 10339:2, 10339:8, 10339:25, 10340:7, 10340:14, 10340:21, 10341:24, 10342:7, 10342:19, 10342:21, 10342:23, 10343:9, 10343:11, 10343:14, 10343:16, 10344:9, 10344:23, 10346:18, 10346:20, 10346:21, 10346:24, 10347:6, 10347:11, 10347:25, 10348:1, 10348:8, 10350:14, 10370:7, 10378:4, 10378:15, 10378:16, 10378:19
Detective - 10146:22, 10147:18, 10148:2, 10162:2, 10163:6, 10172:3, 10184:5, 10187:17, 10188:15, 10190:3, 10193:23, 10198:25, 10199:1, 10199:2, 10203:4, 10212:8, 10214:12, 10214:25, 10219:1, 10220:5, 10223:13, 10224:16, 10227:25, 10228:5, 10241:9, 10241:13, 10247:14, 10302:10, 10315:2, 10333:6, 10333:13, 10333:17, 10339:11, 10339:23, 10339:25,

10341:13, 10341:15, 10350:22, 10351:5, 10359:25, 10367:22, 10368:14, 10368:18, 10369:23, 10373:4, 10377:6, 10378:4, 10378:5, 10378:15
detective's - 10157:1
detectives - 10146:3, 10153:23, 10155:5, 10156:4, 10158:18, 10159:17, 10159:21, 10160:3, 10166:16, 10171:23, 10196:20, 10199:2, 10199:19, 10202:22, 10220:20, 10244:9, 10248:20, 10334:6, 10334:7, 10336:1, 10338:4, 10338:12, 10338:17, 10339:18, 10340:17, 10342:1, 10342:12, 10343:22, 10344:7, 10344:13, 10345:8, 10347:6, 10347:10, 10376:22
determine - 10266:25, 10268:20, 10338:23
determined - 10343:3, 10371:20
detrimental - 10317:20
developments - 10226:25
Deviate - 10368:15
deviate - 10368:20
deviates - 10369:9, 10369:15
devoted - 10349:3
dictate - 10189:2, 10195:25, 10365:4, 10365:5
dictated - 10357:14
dictation - 10189:7
died - 10177:2, 10190:17, 10333:22, 10333:24
Diewold - 10371:7, 10371:8, 10371:16
different - 10228:22, 10268:22, 10275:24, 10276:9, 10276:13, 10276:22, 10277:12, 10279:17, 10311:9, 10312:9, 10312:24, 10314:5, 10314:11, 10314:12, 10316:20, 10342:24, 10343:24, 10344:13, 10344:20, 10376:21
differently - 10144:7, 10144:13, 10277:6, 10305:2, 10305:11, 10338:10, 10346:17
difficult - 10223:21
digging - 10183:2, 10183:3
Dino - 10104:14
direct - 10119:4, 10161:23, 10196:9, 10348:14, 10348:20
directed - 10194:7
directing - 10155:21, 10233:16, 10352:8
direction - 10168:1, 10196:16, 10236:15, 10284:2, 10284:11, 10284:21, 10337:19, 10342:13, 10348:24, 10352:3, 10353:22
directly - 10159:4,



10379:11
director - 10358:6
Director - 10103:4
directs - 10167:13, 10167:15
disarranged - 10189:24
disarrayed - 10164:19
disclosed - 10260:13, 10310:8
disclosing - 10270:1
discovered - 10147:21
discovery - 10330:23
discrepancy - 10254:10
discrete - 10138:11
discuss - 10114:14, 10132:3, 10146:11, 10158:6, 10201:6, 10338:5, 10356:3
discussed - 10124:20, 10132:14, 10220:15, 10248:9, 10252:7
discussion - 10113:21, 10128:19, 10179:14, 10179:17, 10210:9, 10243:14, 10273:10, 10293:19, 10294:2, 10294:5, 10294:23, 10295:2, 10295:5, 10295:19, 10312:22, 10313:3, 10345:11
discussions - 10111:10, 10231:4, 10249:15, 10249:18, 10249:19, 10312:21, 10312:24, 10314:1
disparities - 10282:20, 10295:24
dispatched - 10165:15
Dispatched - 10165:16
dispute - 10110:5, 10133:11, 10135:23, 10137:14, 10273:25
disputing - 10314:8
distance - 10319:9
distinct - 10236:9, 10317:18
distinctly - 10270:18
distinguishable - 10120:15
disturbed - 10182:6
Div - 10375:19
division - 10154:7, 10154:20, 10155:5, 10155:13, 10160:12, 10196:2, 10199:13, 10199:20, 10248:17, 10250:12, 10309:19, 10332:22, 10332:24, 10335:13, 10335:20, 10338:18, 10343:21, 10344:9, 10375:24, 10376:15, 10376:22
divisional - 10247:17
divulge - 10213:19
Dna - 10297:1
do' - 10196:14
doc - 10238:25, 10243:2, 10280:2, 10297:4
Document - 10103:5, 10103:6
document - 10106:11, 10106:15, 10108:2, 10110:17, 10120:6, 10121:19, 10125:21, 10126:4, 10127:14, 10131:16, 10132:8, 10132:13, 10132:15, 10132:21, 10133:1, 10133:6, 10133:12, 10133:19, 10135:6, 10136:9, 10137:2, 10137:11, 10139:2, 10140:17, 10153:9, 10187:12, 10201:11, 10220:3, 10225:3, 10226:20, 10232:19, 10243:1, 10251:25, 10260:4, 10260:7, 10260:8, 10260:13, 10264:16, 10266:4, 10266:7, 10266:11, 10266:13, 10266:22, 10267:10, 10269:18, 10269:19, 10307:7, 10307:24, 10310:25, 10312:16, 10314:21, 10325:19, 10326:14, 10329:18, 10332:20, 10334:14, 10366:1, 10375:22, 10380:7
documented - 10280:25, 10320:19
documents - 10130:14, 10131:5, 10132:9, 10133:23, 10162:6, 10258:12, 10265:19, 10266:18, 10280:11, 10280:13, 10325:22, 10326:16, 10331:19, 10332:2, 10332:4, 10332:6, 10361:17, 10366:2
dollars - 10229:6
domain - 10266:9
Don - 10103:12
Donald - 10381:2, 10381:19
Donavon - 10106:21
done - 10120:17, 10144:7, 10144:13, 10155:22, 10157:19, 10158:6, 10159:1, 10168:11, 10168:16, 10169:15, 10193:24, 10193:25, 10195:3, 10196:22, 10211:19, 10226:14, 10226:20, 10247:3, 10305:2, 10305:11, 10308:10, 10309:13, 10310:6, 10327:17, 10329:17, 10338:3, 10338:15, 10339:4, 10340:23, 10341:20, 10350:5, 10351:4, 10351:21, 10352:11, 10352:12, 10352:18, 10355:22, 10356:4, 10357:10, 10357:23, 10358:15, 10358:16, 10359:9, 10370:9, 10377:2, 10377:3
done' - 10193:25
door - 10141:20, 10141:21, 10142:9, 10375:16, 10378:16, 10378:17
doors - 10142:6, 10193:6
doubt - 10213:4, 10213:10
Douglas - 10103:2
Down - 10372:20
down - 10122:5, 10124:5, 10136:9, 10168:10, 10172:6, 10172:25, 10173:22, 10174:2, 10174:8, 10176:9, 10176:12, 10179:3, 10179:16, 10181:6, 10181:16, 10181:22, 10183:12, 10185:11, 10186:6, 10186:18, 10190:22, 10191:16, 10194:14, 10202:3, 10203:12, 10205:12, 10205:13, 10206:17, 10207:22, 10208:11, 10211:5, 10211:15, 10211:21, 10215:23, 10219:3, 10221:15, 10222:1, 10225:18, 10235:9, 10236:17, 10236:20, 10237:3, 10237:12, 10237:22, 10239:4, 10239:25, 10240:2, 10245:8, 10271:6, 10273:8, 10273:14, 10280:16, 10281:17, 10285:3, 10287:12, 10289:5, 10289:20, 10291:22, 10292:24, 10295:10, 10295:17, 10302:11, 10313:5, 10318:22, 10342:1, 10363:21, 10363:22, 10368:12, 10371:6, 10372:18, 10373:15, 10375:18
downhill - 10289:3
downtown - 10373:2
Dr - 10175:17, 10175:25, 10176:5, 10176:10, 10176:22, 10176:23, 10177:14, 10190:16, 10377:23, 10377:24
drag - 10235:23
dragged - 10237:17, 10238:8, 10238:13
draw - 10111:16, 10149:11, 10329:7
drawer - 10339:21
drawing - 10137:3, 10137:6
Dressler - 10132:1
drive - 10143:7, 10143:10, 10143:11, 10143:13, 10143:16
driver - 10205:25, 10206:2, 10207:10, 10207:14, 10214:16, 10214:25, 10221:2, 10287:12, 10370:23, 10371:2, 10372:25
driving - 10214:18, 10215:7, 10222:5
drop - 10176:20
dropped - 10175:10, 10175:19, 10176:3, 10182:18, 10376:5
dropping - 10373:1, 10373:2
drove - 10122:19, 10214:13, 10370:2
drunken - 10252:17
dry - 10192:23, 10350:4
due - 10126:23, 10334:4
Duffus - 10207:23, 10214:3
dug - 10182:9
dumping - 10216:13
duplicate - 10135:17
during - 10120:23, 10121:20, 10124:21, 10125:6, 10125:19, 10131:8, 10137:23, 10139:4, 10140:22, 10151:18, 10203:20, 10233:20, 10240:11, 10243:12, 10270:13, 10273:22, 10308:15, 10343:5
During - 10270:20
duties - 10268:6, 10334:2, 10336:2
duty - 10171:23, 10172:3, 10202:21, 10248:19, 10250:5, 10250:17, 10334:21, 10336:12, 10342:23, 10355:11
E
early - 10147:3, 10169:20, 10233:17, 10251:16, 10254:2, 10335:8, 10367:16
east - 10122:3, 10122:8, 10124:3, 10124:6, 10124:7, 10181:24, 10183:9, 10216:18, 10221:18, 10233:22, 10234:1, 10234:2, 10239:4, 10252:14, 10271:16, 10272:17, 10272:20, 10273:19, 10274:9, 10274:12, 10274:22, 10281:4, 10282:7, 10283:23
east-west - 10122:3, 10122:8, 10124:3, 10124:6, 10183:9, 10233:22, 10234:2, 10239:4, 10271:16, 10272:17, 10274:22, 10281:4, 10282:7, 10283:23
east/west - 10253:15, 10253:21, 10253:25, 10303:1, 10304:4
easterly - 10122:2
Ed - 10130:2, 10130:5, 10289:22
Eddie - 10104:9, 10130:10
edge - 10283:22
Edmondson - 10356:11
Education - 10222:13
education - 10208:13
Edward - 10102:7
effect - 10165:12, 10259:5, 10265:11, 10265:12, 10323:10
effort - 10270:23
efforts - 10349:8
eight - 10232:21
Either - 10316:25
either - 10149:20, 10168:21, 10248:19, 10308:8, 10335:8, 10341:4, 10361:15
elapsed - 10126:24
Elder - 10203:15
elevator - 10373:21, 10373:22, 10373:23, 10374:4
eliminate - 10374:10, 10375:1
eliminated - 10374:16
Elliott - 10376:2
elsewhere - 10175:9, 10272:17
Elson - 10104:8, 10105:5, 10105:8, 10145:1, 10145:3, 10145:5, 10145:6, 10145:7, 10145:8, 10152:5, 10260:2, 10260:3, 10261:14, 10305:22, 10306:7, 10307:6, 10312:1
embarking - 10218:19
Emma - 10329:25
employed - 10106:21, 10118:18, 10153:3
encounter - 10224:14, 10152:10, 10273:12
end - 10108:23, 10134:2, 10134:17, 10135:1, 10192:13, 10196:1, 10341:14, 10341:15, 10353:11
ends - 10115:6
enemies - 10374:14, 10374:23
enlarge - 10154:24, 10332:22, 10365:22
enlighten - 10262:8
ensuing - 10238:1
ensure - 10250:9, 10258:21, 10353:15
enter - 10160:5, 10194:19, 10194:20
entered - 10195:21
enters - 10227:19, 10228:21, 10229:13, 10254:3
entire - 10138:14, 10305:8
entirely - 10269:7, 10307:17
entirety - 10144:10, 10305:7
entitled - 10324:4
entry - 10225:5
Esq - 10103:3, 10104:8, 10104:9, 10104:11, 10104:14
etcetera - 10172:19, 10192:23, 10258:16, 10280:13, 10280:15, 10281:1, 10348:2, 10350:4, 10350:24, 10379:10
evening - 10186:19, 10335:8, 10376:4
evenings - 10335:4
event - 10192:10, 10234:4, 10260:8, 10280:20, 10306:23
events - 10163:3, 10220:8, 10280:25, 10296:12, 10312:20, 10331:12, 10362:9
eventually - 10361:8
evidence - 10107:17, 10131:1, 10148:1, 10148:10, 10148:20, 10162:25, 10165:25, 10167:2, 10180:16, 10186:10, 10188:14, 10188:15, 10192:8, 10198:24, 10198:25, 10201:20, 10201:24, 10204:22, 10219:15, 10232:9, 10235:16, 10236:12, 10236:23, 10239:13, 10255:2, 10258:12, 10259:5,



10259:10, 10261:4,
10261:6, 10262:1,
10264:8, 10266:14,
10266:19, 10266:23,
10270:22, 10275:16,
10276:14, 10278:19,
10278:21, 10281:6,
10281:20, 10283:12,
10284:24, 10286:8,
10286:16, 10286:17,
10286:19, 10288:19,
10299:20, 10307:11,
10307:19, 10311:10,
10317:10, 10318:3,
10318:17, 10324:1,
10326:11, 10351:14,
10351:15, 10356:11
ex - 10243:18,
10243:25, 10377:11
ex-husband -
10243:25
ex-mental - 10377:11
ex-wife - 10243:18
exact - 10205:9
exactly - 10114:19,
10127:14, 10199:10,
10216:17, 10228:3,
10265:10, 10272:14,
10277:20, 10292:9,
10311:10, 10313:19,
10317:3, 10320:16
exam - 10326:8,
10326:20, 10327:11
examination -
10120:24, 10121:21,
10136:20, 10139:4,
10140:22, 10142:23,
10235:5, 10239:1,
10267:4, 10297:16,
10305:25
examinations -
10268:24
examine - 10120:10,
10144:23, 10267:21
examined - 10330:23
examiners - 10305:16
examining - 10112:3,
10233:14, 10256:8
example - 10110:11,
10147:7, 10147:19,
10151:8, 10151:14,
10151:24, 10161:6,
10168:17, 10193:5,
10195:7, 10196:25,
10197:9, 10198:6,
10199:25, 10223:23,
10229:14, 10259:23,
10338:16, 10339:23,
10341:11, 10343:8,
10349:14, 10351:14,
10355:15, 10362:23
except - 10268:3,
10281:22, 10285:12,
10315:17
Except - 10185:8,
10328:17
excerpts - 10255:1
Executive - 10103:4
exercise - 10135:15,
10135:24
exhausted - 10308:8
exhibit - 10186:9,
10201:12, 10233:19,
10266:5, 10266:12,
10267:10, 10268:17
exhibited - 10259:23
exhibits - 10201:10,
10325:24
exist - 10282:20,
10311:15

existed - 10115:23,
10115:25, 10308:20
existing - 10200:2,
10368:19
exists - 10308:21
exonerate - 10260:24
exonerated - 10144:2,
10304:22, 10321:6
expect - 10248:13,
10316:6
expectation - 10310:3,
10310:7, 10310:12
expected - 10308:10,
10316:10
expenditures -
10336:21
experience -
10140:24, 10141:2,
10151:16, 10325:6,
10362:19
experienced -
10290:18
expired - 10308:9
explain - 10257:4,
10319:8, 10323:21,
10368:23
explanation -
10112:20, 10378:21
expressions - 10283:9
extent - 10138:6,
10259:4, 10268:25
extremely - 10252:12

F

facility - 10329:25
facing - 10322:24
fact - 10117:20,
10129:17, 10137:14,
10141:20, 10142:2,
10165:8, 10166:23,
10223:12, 10241:17,
10249:14, 10259:5,
10260:12, 10264:9,
10270:16, 10293:3,
10306:12, 10321:22,
10331:17, 10333:10,
10358:20
facts - 10200:13
factually - 10144:3,
10304:23
faded - 10117:7
Fahrenheit - 10176:1
failed - 10282:23
faintly - 10135:22
Fair - 10142:9
fair - 10123:11,
10128:9, 10136:3,
10141:13, 10157:3,
10160:14, 10164:13,
10166:8, 10166:25,
10167:1, 10168:2,
10170:15, 10187:23,
10192:11, 10193:2,
10193:3, 10193:12,
10193:13, 10194:4,
10194:17, 10196:10,
10196:22, 10197:6,
10197:13, 10198:11,
10207:12, 10208:9,
10215:8, 10218:18,
10219:21, 10228:24,
10232:10, 10239:3,
10239:8, 10240:3,
10262:25, 10297:10,
10331:4, 10331:8,
10335:10, 10337:9,
10340:5, 10340:15,
10341:7, 10341:22,
10342:6, 10342:17,

10346:6, 10348:25,
10349:12, 10357:12,
10357:19, 10358:3,
10358:10, 10358:14,
10359:3, 10360:14,
10362:25, 10366:13,
10366:18, 10367:12,
10368:10, 10369:11,
10370:12, 10373:8,
10374:23, 10377:1,
10377:13, 10379:13
Fairly - 10229:10,
10229:12, 10229:19,
10229:20
fairly - 10141:11,
10197:4, 10229:19,
10230:22, 10237:7,
10252:11, 10275:2,
10279:5, 10285:13,
10323:21
fairness - 10267:5,
10268:16
fall - 10130:19,
10333:23
falling - 10233:1
familiar - 10114:2,
10133:7, 10137:3,
10188:19, 10244:14,
10246:1, 10356:13
familiarity - 10136:1
families - 10149:21
family - 10149:1,
10149:4, 10149:9,
10149:15, 10225:6,
10379:9
far - 10120:14,
10141:3, 10149:10,
10157:25, 10158:22,
10159:12, 10160:3,
10169:14, 10194:9,
10199:6, 10212:13,
10223:18, 10226:22,
10234:13, 10249:15,
10264:22, 10289:2,
10329:4, 10332:19,
10335:3, 10335:23,
10348:12, 10353:17,
10363:5, 10364:21,
10367:4, 10367:8
fare - 10373:3
fast - 10363:22
father - 10373:21,
10374:1, 10374:2
favourable - 10318:5
fear - 10117:21
Feb- 10221:10,
10370:1, 10379:6
February - 10171:10,
10192:21, 10202:6,
10202:8, 10202:20,
10205:5, 10208:16,
10214:10, 10215:14,
10218:24, 10219:17,
10220:4, 10220:8,
10225:3, 10225:5,
10225:18, 10226:10,
10241:1, 10301:2,
10351:16, 10355:12,
10369:22, 10370:4,
10372:19, 10373:11,
10373:12, 10375:9,
10377:5, 10377:7,
10378:3, 10379:3,
10380:1
Federal - 10252:3,
10279:14, 10308:22
feet - 10174:18,
10253:17, 10254:6,
10255:8, 10255:11,
10256:15, 10256:19,

10256:23, 10257:2,
10257:18, 10257:22,
10270:13, 10270:16,
10277:15, 10282:9,
10282:13, 10282:25,
10284:5, 10320:3,
10320:5, 10320:7,
10320:12
fellow - 10209:9,
10209:12, 10210:22,
10219:5, 10219:7,
10230:22, 10231:12,
10241:7, 10351:16,
10352:16
felt - 10129:13,
10130:3, 10133:23,
10157:22, 10160:11,
10348:3
fence - 10139:21,
10140:7, 10272:25,
10273:2
fender - 10375:15
few - 10141:12,
10145:5, 10145:24,
10213:22, 10240:15,
10255:12, 10277:25,
10304:10, 10314:18,
10326:16, 10368:16
fifth - 10132:16
fighting - 10173:1
figured - 10339:4
file - 10116:15,
10134:1, 10134:16,
10135:1, 10135:10,
10137:23, 10138:15,
10155:18, 10155:22,
10155:25, 10156:2,
10156:5, 10156:7,
10156:14, 10156:23,
10156:25, 10157:2,
10157:5, 10157:8,
10157:12, 10157:14,
10158:10, 10159:2,
10159:3, 10159:24,
10160:5, 10160:25,
10166:11, 10166:21,
10166:25, 10167:3,
10167:8, 10188:1,
10188:4, 10188:10,
10188:17, 10193:14,
10193:20, 10193:24,
10194:2, 10194:7,
10196:3, 10196:20,
10198:13, 10198:21,
10199:3, 10199:12,
10199:21, 10200:2,
10200:17, 10224:5,
10225:12, 10247:5,
10247:7, 10248:18,
10250:17, 10291:17,
10308:5, 10308:6,
10308:11, 10308:16,
10309:19, 10311:3,
10333:11, 10333:19,
10336:7, 10336:13,
10337:22, 10338:8,
10338:10, 10338:15,
10338:16, 10338:23,
10339:5, 10339:7,
10339:10, 10339:16,
10339:17, 10340:5,
10340:8, 10340:15,
10340:20, 10340:22,
10341:1, 10341:8,
10341:12, 10341:15,
10342:1, 10342:2,
10343:7, 10343:10,
10344:12, 10344:23,
10346:16, 10346:18,
10346:19, 10346:25,

10347:15, 10347:17,
10348:1, 10348:14,
10349:3, 10349:6,
10350:12, 10350:13,
10350:18, 10350:21,
10350:23, 10351:2,
10351:7, 10351:12,
10352:1, 10352:25,
10353:2, 10353:9,
10354:4, 10355:15,
10357:11, 10357:23,
10358:1, 10358:2,
10358:11, 10358:24,
10358:25, 10359:2,
10359:15, 10359:18,
10360:1, 10360:10,
10360:15, 10361:3,
10361:7, 10368:6,
10371:21, 10378:22
file' - 10339:14
filed - 10293:15,
10339:6
files - 10155:12,
10155:15, 10158:6,
10158:9, 10159:18,
10172:12, 10172:14,
10172:15, 10188:10,
10194:11, 10195:23,
10200:7, 10225:12,
10316:17, 10336:7,
10337:13, 10338:7,
10338:12, 10338:19,
10339:4, 10339:19,
10339:22, 10339:24,
10340:2, 10340:10,
10342:3, 10342:13,
10342:25, 10343:4,
10343:15, 10344:4,
10344:20, 10347:5,
10347:24, 10352:10,
10360:4, 10360:14,
10368:7
files' - 10172:9
filing - 10339:21
fill - 10201:22,
10202:25
finally - 10165:5
fine - 10114:4,
10265:4, 10324:25,
10325:1
Fine - 10145:2
fingerprints - 10160:6
finished - 10143:21
fink - 10291:8
firm - 10145:18,
10306:13
first - 10120:5,
10125:21, 10132:17,
10132:25, 10139:2,
10148:11, 10148:13,
10157:14, 10161:15,
10162:7, 10163:7,
10163:9, 10164:16,
10165:8, 10165:19,
10166:7, 10166:23,
10168:9, 10187:22,
10195:20, 10206:1,
10207:12, 10233:8,
10234:1, 10251:25,
10252:16, 10257:11,
10260:4, 10263:2,
10305:23, 10309:14,
10346:18, 10362:8,
10367:21, 10369:24
First - 10367:19
Fisher - 10104:11,
10130:18, 10138:21,
10143:25, 10170:3,
10170:7, 10170:18,
10208:12, 10208:16,



10208:24, 10209:11,
10209:15, 10210:16,
10211:19, 10214:4,
10215:13, 10215:17,
10220:1, 10222:11,
10223:11, 10223:14,
10224:14, 10224:18,
10241:7, 10242:2,
10242:18, 10243:17,
10243:18, 10243:19,
10243:22, 10243:25,
10245:4, 10245:6,
10246:20, 10249:3,
10249:24, 10250:6,
10251:6, 10259:22,
10280:15, 10291:24,
10293:1, 10293:7,
10293:14, 10296:23,
10301:24, 10304:20,
10307:16, 10309:9,
10309:12, 10315:13,
10315:20, 10321:11
Fisher's- 10139:5,
10140:23, 10154:4,
10246:10, 10293:5,
10299:20, 10331:1
fit - 10158:2, 10158:21,
10160:2, 10255:19,
10286:18, 10319:6,
10319:15, 10319:17,
10337:14
fits - 10319:5
fitting - 10206:11
flat - 10283:25,
10284:20
flow - 10194:25,
10357:4
fly - 10336:23
focus - 10108:3,
10120:8
focusing - 10363:6
fog - 10174:11
Fogel- 10175:17,
10175:25, 10176:5,
10176:10, 10176:23,
10177:14, 10252:25
Fogel's- 10176:22,
10190:16
folder - 10339:17
follow - 10110:10,
10196:25, 10210:16,
10216:10, 10219:24,
10250:21, 10250:24,
10251:5, 10270:5,
10307:18, 10330:13,
10352:17, 10352:23,
10359:8
follow-up - 10110:10,
10270:5, 10307:18,
10352:17, 10359:8
followed - 10122:24,
10195:13, 10221:18,
10251:2, 10307:25,
10309:7, 10309:8,
10310:24, 10351:23,
10353:1, 10353:5,
10353:13, 10353:23
Following- 10125:5
following - 10126:2,
10127:20, 10216:25,
10217:20, 10259:22,
10280:21, 10329:12,
10349:15, 10371:4
following' - 10377:1
follows - 10112:4,
10139:7, 10250:10,
10353:15
Fontaine- 10179:17,
10180:7, 10181:4,
10181:11

foot - 10120:11,
10120:15, 10121:2,
10140:2, 10173:1,
10174:8, 10181:25,
10185:8, 10185:9,
10201:19, 10235:20,
10235:22, 10236:1,
10236:13, 10236:14,
10236:21, 10237:1,
10254:20
footage - 10183:21
footprint - 10300:16,
10300:17, 10302:24
Footprints- 10255:24
footprints - 10233:4,
10253:19, 10255:5,
10256:11, 10257:17,
10281:20, 10282:11,
10284:19, 10285:5,
10285:10, 10285:22,
10295:25, 10296:3,
10298:16, 10298:23,
10299:1, 10299:9,
10300:19, 10302:24,
10303:4, 10303:25,
10304:4
footprints' - 10271:3
for - 10200:14
Forbes- 10335:19
force - 10111:12,
10126:9, 10129:8,
10311:16, 10330:11,
10358:17, 10365:10
foreclose - 10326:5
foregoing - 10381:4
forget - 10180:4,
10340:6
forgive - 10138:4
forgot - 10117:16
forgotten - 10116:23,
10307:3
form - 10149:19,
10274:2
formal - 10150:15,
10160:19, 10311:15,
10371:16, 10371:23
formally - 10257:12,
10339:12
formed - 10284:2,
10295:20
former - 10145:16,
10145:21, 10245:19,
10306:16
forming - 10233:22
Forsyth- 10186:23
forth - 10246:11
forthcoming -
10345:24
forthright - 10126:12
forum - 10312:24
forward - 10115:14,
10123:6, 10124:19,
10128:14, 10129:25,
10135:6, 10140:15,
10224:23, 10319:17
forwarded - 10247:6,
10248:16
four - 10155:8,
10192:9, 10195:12,
10215:11, 10215:15,
10241:5, 10332:24
fourth - 10241:1,
10245:9
frame - 10228:24
Frayer- 10104:12
freeze - 10320:3
frequent - 10146:2
fresh - 10182:8,
10234:20, 10235:7,
10235:8, 10235:13,

10252:22, 10254:24,
10275:8, 10275:12,
10282:1, 10298:19,
10299:23, 10303:5,
10303:20
Friday- 10202:7,
10203:25, 10204:13,
10205:22, 10209:17,
10212:25, 10213:12,
10222:15
Friend- 10148:8
friend - 10180:18,
10233:18, 10374:8
Friends- 10144:20
friends - 10230:16
front - 10171:15,
10201:16, 10201:17,
10234:22, 10234:24,
10371:12
frost - 10252:23,
10312:15
froze - 10173:20
frozen - 10163:15
frozen' - 10173:24
full - 10108:3,
10190:11, 10267:10
fully - 10186:1
function - 10335:22
funds - 10336:21
funeral - 10163:25,
10173:5, 10183:8,
10184:8, 10216:22
Funeral- 10164:1,
10173:18, 10255:16,
10287:1
funny - 10320:4
future - 10326:5

G

Gabruch - 10205:21,
10205:23
Gagne - 10126:12
Gail- 10108:13,
10108:17, 10108:24,
10109:2, 10109:7,
10109:10, 10109:13,
10112:17, 10113:14,
10114:6, 10114:9,
10114:20, 10115:8,
10115:24, 10119:2,
10119:11, 10119:14,
10131:2, 10134:12,
10143:24, 10144:1,
10144:5, 10146:1,
10146:9, 10146:12,
10149:8, 10150:1,
10151:16, 10151:18,
10153:23, 10154:18,
10161:21, 10161:24,
10162:11, 10179:18,
10179:19, 10179:22,
10179:24, 10179:25,
10180:7, 10188:9,
10190:24, 10192:4,
10194:3, 10195:9,
10196:3, 10204:6,
10204:20, 10204:24,
10210:1, 10212:22,
10216:4, 10216:21,
10224:18, 10225:8,
10225:20, 10226:6,
10226:21, 10228:2,
10228:23, 10231:3,
10231:18, 10231:22,
10240:12, 10240:23,
10242:20, 10243:24,
10247:23, 10248:6,
10270:10, 10273:20,
10304:14, 10304:19,

10304:21, 10304:25,
10315:10, 10329:9,
10330:13, 10331:12,
10333:10, 10333:14,
10334:12, 10336:7,
10336:13, 10338:8,
10338:9, 10338:15,
10346:16, 10347:17,
10350:18, 10350:21,
10353:2, 10354:4,
10354:19, 10355:15,
10356:8, 10358:25,
10359:11, 10361:3,
10361:22, 10365:20,
10368:9, 10369:2,
10371:3, 10372:22,
10373:2, 10373:18,
10375:11, 10376:4,
10376:6, 10380:12
Gail's - 10226:11
Gails- 10226:1
gained - 10190:24,
10311:10
Gallucci - 10315:7
garbage - 10216:1,
10216:9, 10216:11,
10216:25, 10217:12,
10217:14, 10217:18,
10217:20, 10218:3,
10218:9, 10218:14,
10224:23, 10225:1
Garrett - 10104:7
garter - 10174:4
gather - 10233:20,
10237:13
gathered - 10125:18,
10353:10
gear - 10287:13
gee - 10303:8
gees - 10255:10
Gees - 10212:23
geez - 10228:9
general - 10132:3,
10157:6, 10187:13,
10209:23, 10217:2,
10226:23, 10331:10,
10342:16, 10367:18
General's - 10311:8
generally - 10155:14,
10157:16, 10158:3,
10159:15, 10160:17,
10161:19, 10161:22,
10163:8, 10167:24,
10188:10, 10194:9,
10200:6, 10204:11,
10245:1, 10250:1,
10301:8, 10332:5,
10334:8, 10336:16,
10337:7, 10337:11,
10338:14, 10338:15,
10339:2, 10339:8,
10342:6, 10344:21,
10345:4, 10347:24,
10363:15, 10374:11
gentleman - 10206:9
gentlemen - 10163:25,
10173:4
George - 10105:3,
10106:4, 10126:6,
10137:22, 10333:6
Gerry - 10217:22
get-go - 10147:20
Gibbon - 10243:4
Gibson - 10104:10,
10260:19, 10261:20
girl - 10315:8, 10315:9
girls - 10107:4,
10107:5, 10107:13,
10107:20
given - 10112:1,

10160:22, 10250:24,
10299:19, 10335:3,
10375:23, 10378:18
God - 10257:7
goldarn - 10286:7
goddip - 10107:7,
10150:11
Government - 10104:4,
10144:1, 10304:21
granted - 10186:20
grapevine - 10292:10,
10292:19, 10292:20
gratuitous - 10266:17
great - 10282:19
ground - 10252:23,
10254:24
grounds - 10308:23,
10309:1
group - 10167:25
Gryba - 10367:23,
10368:2
guarded - 10346:10
guess - 10117:10,
10118:2, 10159:19,
10180:24, 10209:23,
10209:24, 10292:13,
10305:3, 10319:11,
10351:13, 10369:3,
10370:6, 10372:3,
10374:7, 10378:23
guidance - 10311:23
guilt - 10317:11,
10320:23, 10320:24
guilty - 10129:13,
10242:2, 10311:21
Gus - 10291:23,
10292:12, 10292:19,
10292:21
guy - 10109:4,
10292:10, 10320:4,
10320:11

H

had' - 10225:24
hair - 10164:18,
10174:3, 10252:18
half - 10171:19,
10172:7, 10203:12
hallway - 10227:20
Halyk - 10104:5
hand - 10110:24,
10132:18, 10133:4,
10135:11, 10163:14,
10173:24, 10189:2,
10204:17, 10204:18,
10205:17, 10205:18,
10212:6, 10214:5,
10315:3, 10360:3,
10365:23
handbag - 10218:3
handed - 10130:6,
10321:11, 10343:1
handle - 10311:2
handled - 10338:7,
10338:10, 10338:12,
10338:17, 10344:7
handling - 10340:5
hands - 10263:7,
10315:14, 10336:8
hands-on - 10336:8
handwriting -
10110:20, 10125:25,
10126:19, 10127:2,
10135:21, 10136:18,
10136:22, 10137:9,
10137:10, 10137:15,
10137:16, 10203:2,
10225:16, 10247:22,
10293:17, 10294:9,



10367:9
handwritten - 10135:19
handy - 10158:24
hang - 10343:9
hanging - 10229:16
hard - 10119:16, 10206:6, 10206:23, 10208:12, 10209:10, 10209:22, 10210:2, 10211:24, 10212:3, 10221:5, 10221:9, 10222:14, 10223:6, 10319:8
Hardy - 10103:3, 10105:4, 10106:5, 10148:8, 10149:23, 10150:2
harm - 10258:13
harming - 10245:7
hat - 10206:6, 10206:23, 10207:11, 10208:12, 10209:10, 10209:22, 10210:2, 10211:24, 10212:3, 10214:20, 10214:24, 10221:6, 10221:9, 10222:14, 10223:6, 10370:25
have' - 10276:2
head - 10240:18
headed - 10284:1, 10284:11, 10284:20
heading - 10283:21
health - 10230:20, 10326:25
hear - 10141:3, 10188:14, 10188:15, 10196:24, 10198:24, 10254:16, 10256:18, 10259:9, 10266:24, 10292:10, 10307:25, 10351:15
heard - 10107:5, 10107:6, 10107:17, 10130:14, 10131:1, 10141:17, 10142:13, 10167:2, 10180:16, 10189:6, 10192:8, 10198:25, 10203:20, 10204:15, 10208:5, 10208:15, 10209:1, 10211:1, 10213:6, 10219:15, 10255:8, 10256:14, 10256:23, 10256:25, 10262:4, 10262:6, 10279:6, 10281:6, 10286:8, 10288:24, 10289:1, 10292:17, 10293:1, 10301:15, 10313:24, 10318:4, 10319:12, 10319:15, 10323:23, 10324:23, 10351:14, 10356:11
hearing - 10119:23, 10120:6, 10132:15, 10138:23, 10139:1, 10170:24, 10232:5, 10232:13, 10240:21, 10241:3, 10244:24, 10255:2, 10256:9, 10266:1, 10296:23, 10299:20, 10366:3
heated - 10293:19, 10294:1, 10294:23, 10295:1, 10295:5, 10295:6
heavy - 10130:6, 10303:3
heck - 10294:6, 10295:8, 10321:12
heel - 10300:22, 10304:8, 10304:14
heeled - 10284:14, 10299:16
heels - 10284:1, 10284:11, 10284:20, 10285:18
Hein - 10367:23, 10368:14, 10368:18
help - 10140:21, 10140:24, 10158:10, 10160:11, 10200:3, 10215:10, 10216:7, 10271:25, 10274:19, 10313:6, 10356:25, 10357:2
helping - 10356:9
hence - 10269:10
Henderson - 10152:20, 10306:24
Henry - 10371:7, 10371:8
her' - 10173:19
here' - 10295:9
hereby - 10381:4
herein - 10381:6
Herman - 10105:6, 10152:14
herself - 10245:3
Hersh - 10104:2, 10314:17
hesitation - 10295:23
high - 10185:4
higher - 10155:10, 10167:25, 10245:11, 10336:19, 10337:1, 10337:11, 10337:17, 10347:3
higher-ups - 10337:11, 10337:17
highest - 10167:21
highly - 10117:22
Hill - 10206:1
himself - 10353:4
hindsight - 10310:21, 10310:22, 10310:24
Hinz - 10103:11, 10381:2, 10381:13
hit - 10248:23
Hmm - 10199:10, 10230:24, 10281:13
hoarfrost - 10254:23, 10274:18, 10275:5, 10277:22, 10289:18
Hodson - 10103:2, 10105:7, 10105:11, 10105:13, 10112:2, 10144:23, 10145:2, 10152:12, 10152:15, 10187:11, 10210:7, 10229:21, 10242:13, 10258:2, 10259:3, 10261:11, 10261:22, 10262:5, 10262:9, 10263:5, 10264:17, 10264:24, 10265:6, 10266:4, 10266:11, 10266:21, 10267:8, 10267:16, 10268:15, 10269:5, 10269:11, 10269:12, 10273:1, 10305:13, 10307:5, 10312:19, 10325:18, 10326:3, 10326:7, 10326:9, 10326:14, 10326:19, 10327:14, 10327:17, 10327:19, 10327:22, 10356:18
Hodson's - 10307:23
hold - 10211:22
holding - 10328:18
Holdmen - 10211:22
hollered - 10208:4
home - 10106:20, 10108:24, 10109:7, 10115:8, 10125:13, 10163:25, 10173:5, 10181:14, 10183:8, 10184:8, 10203:19, 10216:22, 10339:7
Home - 10164:1, 10173:19, 10255:16, 10287:2
homes - 10349:16
homicide - 10149:1, 10149:5, 10151:8, 10151:11
homicides - 10151:17
Hon - 10104:13
honestly - 10309:5
Honourable - 10102:6
Hope - 10329:2
hopper - 10358:8
Hospital - 10165:7, 10178:9, 10178:19, 10178:22, 10179:5, 10179:14, 10179:21, 10184:16, 10377:10
hospital - 10281:13, 10326:23
Hotel - 10102:16, 10108:9
Hounjet - 10272:25, 10273:2
hours - 10348:4
house - 10204:21, 10204:25, 10208:2, 10218:8, 10245:4, 10245:25, 10251:24, 10376:4
houses - 10349:22, 10378:5, 10378:7, 10378:9
Humen - 10206:20, 10207:19, 10208:21, 10211:8, 10211:12, 10219:5, 10219:15, 10219:24, 10221:2, 10221:7, 10221:11, 10221:16, 10222:4, 10223:3
Humen's - 10221:22
husband - 10243:25, 10245:19, 10251:9, 10321:12
Husulak - 10206:3, 10206:21, 10207:10, 10209:12, 10211:6, 10211:11, 10214:17, 10214:20, 10215:3, 10215:4, 10215:16, 10221:3, 10223:2, 10370:23

ice - 10298:20
Id - 10106:11, 10108:2, 10120:6, 10121:19, 10125:21, 10139:2, 10140:17, 10238:25, 10243:2, 10280:2, 10297:4, 10312:16, 10366:1
idea - 10248:2, 10309:6, 10310:16, 10359:14
ideas - 10337:18, 10377:20
ident - 10160:11, 10160:12, 10163:17, 10164:7, 10164:22, 10165:6, 10165:23, 10177:5, 10177:6, 10177:12, 10177:19, 10178:1, 10178:12, 10184:9, 10206:22, 10207:6, 10207:7, 10289:17, 10368:24
Ident - 10124:13, 10252:21, 10253:4, 10299:12
Identification - 10368:16
identification - 10120:18, 10121:9, 10160:2, 10164:24, 10223:11, 10290:12
identified - 10179:21, 10195:12, 10219:19, 10221:2, 10223:17, 10293:16, 10294:9, 10326:16, 10346:4, 10367:17, 10374:15
identifies - 10245:3
identify - 10178:24, 10220:18, 10226:11, 10255:5, 10258:13, 10275:17, 10285:21, 10295:11, 10372:5, 10372:8, 10374:12
identifying - 10179:19, 10181:11, 10223:14, 10223:19, 10274:21
identity - 10293:5
ill - 10334:1
illness - 10334:4
imagine - 10146:25, 10151:9, 10169:25, 10260:20, 10309:24
immediate - 10123:9, 10233:3, 10237:19, 10268:6, 10334:16
immediately - 10175:11
impact - 10341:19
impacted - 10327:2
implicating - 10148:4
implications - 10318:15
important - 10197:4, 10267:25
impression - 10128:25, 10129:21, 10229:7, 10249:7, 10252:16
impressions - 10260:9, 10270:16
imprints - 10232:25, 10277:23, 10283:1
in-person - 10270:6
inaccurate - 10313:18
inadvertently - 10269:25
Inaudible - 10140:9
incident - 10126:21, 10166:8
incline - 10286:25, 10287:13, 10289:5
including - 10139:10, 10148:22, 10280:12
indecent - 10131:4, 10149:25, 10150:18, 10242:4
indeed - 10145:15, 10147:25
independent - 10202:17, 10111:8
Index - 10105:1
index - 10340:3
Indian - 10252:17
indicate - 10148:22, 10237:16, 10238:3, 10238:12, 10261:13, 10274:23, 10275:19, 10297:18, 10298:15, 10303:16, 10353:19
indicated - 10244:8, 10258:12, 10309:4, 10312:9
indicates - 10201:15, 10243:21, 10260:4, 10373:12
indicating - 10258:18, 10306:14
indication - 10122:17, 10234:17
individual - 10223:19, 10368:25, 10377:22
individuals - 10269:23, 10345:12
inflammatory - 10266:18
info - 10203:15
inform - 10129:4, 10196:19
informal - 10169:8
informally - 10257:12
informant - 10228:16, 10229:9, 10290:2, 10291:10
information - 10107:1, 10107:6, 10109:21, 10110:7, 10110:8, 10110:11, 10111:11, 10111:18, 10116:11, 10117:4, 10118:4, 10118:7, 10118:22, 10121:13, 10123:3, 10124:16, 10125:18, 10125:23, 10127:5, 10129:14, 10129:18, 10129:19, 10130:9, 10134:3, 10134:15, 10138:9, 10138:10, 10140:10, 10142:18, 10143:17, 10146:5, 10147:2, 10147:14, 10149:22, 10149:24, 10150:5, 10150:7, 10151:10, 10159:20, 10168:9, 10179:23, 10180:7, 10181:3, 10190:23, 10195:12, 10196:8, 10197:12, 10198:16, 10204:5, 10206:14, 10206:15, 10207:14, 10208:25, 10211:1, 10211:17, 10213:2, 10213:17, 10213:19, 10220:21, 10222:18, 10223:25, 10224:8, 10224:23, 10228:14, 10228:19, 10229:4, 10229:9, 10229:15, 10229:23, 10230:6, 10230:17, 10231:9, 10243:7, 10243:23, 10244:2, 10246:6, 10246:12, 10249:23, 10251:1, 10252:8, 10258:5, 10261:15, 10263:2, 10276:21, 10276:22, 10280:21, 10288:13, 10290:2, 10291:5,



- 10310:8, 10310:13,
10310:20, 10311:2,
10311:18, 10313:7,
10313:15, 10316:1,
10317:10, 10345:13,
10345:15, 10345:16,
10345:19, 10345:24,
10346:6, 10346:10,
10346:14, 10351:3,
10351:18, 10352:23,
10353:9, 10353:21,
10355:18, 10355:21,
10357:9, 10358:7,
10358:9, 10367:25,
10371:13, 10375:23,
10376:18, 10377:16
informed - 10148:15,
10191:21, 10283:20,
10301:8
informing - 10195:3
initial - 10219:16,
10314:19, 10380:5
initials - 10372:6,
10372:9
Inland - 10103:14
innocent - 10317:11
innocent - 10144:3,
10304:23
input - 10337:23,
10370:17
inquire - 10143:23,
10304:18
inquired - 10209:17
inquiries - 10217:17,
10270:24
inquiring - 10319:20
inquiry - 10170:3,
10312:11, 10312:25
Inquiry - 10102:2,
10102:23, 10111:15,
10112:2, 10143:22,
10144:17, 10145:10,
10145:13, 10145:19,
10145:21, 10148:20,
10162:22, 10219:16,
10304:17, 10305:4,
10306:14, 10331:16
inside - 10185:12,
10185:19, 10185:22,
10283:24
inside-out - 10185:22
insofar - 10124:7,
10149:16
Insp - 10293:15
inspector - 10241:21,
10248:13, 10248:19,
10250:3, 10250:4,
10250:5, 10316:16,
10329:14, 10376:16,
10376:25
Inspector - 10130:17,
10231:13, 10241:9,
10241:20, 10244:19,
10248:10, 10250:2,
10293:25, 10295:2,
10307:5, 10307:7,
10307:14, 10307:20
instead - 10245:19
instructed - 10226:8
instruction - 10161:20
instructions -
10205:23
intend - 10317:20
intent - 10206:22,
10207:1, 10207:7
interacted - 10148:23
interaction - 10156:4,
10159:17, 10343:19
interest - 10148:16
interest - 10147:14
interested - 10249:6,
10306:3, 10317:10,
10324:14
interim - 10124:23
internal - 10176:3,
10176:16
Internet - 10266:3,
10269:19
interpret - 10314:5,
10314:6
interpretation -
10314:4
intersection - 10122:1,
10271:21, 10273:19,
10274:13
interview - 10138:18,
10148:17, 10156:6,
10158:5, 10161:8,
10195:9, 10200:4,
10200:12, 10200:22,
10215:8, 10241:10,
10270:5, 10270:6,
10270:7, 10291:24,
10336:24, 10344:22,
10354:8, 10379:17,
10379:21
interviewed -
10106:19, 10108:14,
10162:20, 10169:21,
10195:10, 10205:25,
10211:5, 10211:11,
10212:15, 10212:18,
10212:19, 10214:16,
10223:24, 10270:8,
10293:6, 10315:7,
10316:21, 10327:9,
10330:18, 10330:19,
10330:21, 10352:21,
10364:7, 10373:24,
10379:8
interviewing -
10161:6, 10197:10,
10197:11, 10200:1,
10205:12, 10212:10,
10212:20, 10262:24,
10292:15, 10342:18,
10370:23, 10374:1
interviews - 10248:15,
10301:1, 10316:22,
10327:3
introduce - 10152:19
investigate -
10155:20, 10160:25,
10165:22, 10166:22,
10343:25
investigated -
10138:10, 10150:21,
10151:17, 10160:4,
10374:15
investigating -
10168:14, 10169:1,
10195:6, 10213:18,
10234:14, 10237:14,
10279:13, 10279:18,
10291:4, 10301:4,
10301:9, 10301:18,
10373:18, 10380:11
investigation -
10106:10, 10108:1,
10113:9, 10124:21,
10127:25, 10128:7,
10128:12, 10129:9,
10131:1, 10131:6,
10131:8, 10134:2,
10134:8, 10134:11,
10134:17, 10135:2,
10137:24, 10141:5,
10142:10, 10143:23,
10144:4, 10144:10,
10144:12, 10144:15,
10146:6, 10146:12,
10148:9, 10148:12,
10148:21, 10149:5,
10150:4, 10150:17,
10151:8, 10152:2,
10156:11, 10156:15,
10156:23, 10157:23,
10160:10, 10161:23,
10162:12, 10165:18,
10166:1, 10166:7,
10166:13, 10168:22,
10169:3, 10179:24,
10180:8, 10181:8,
10187:22, 10191:22,
10192:5, 10193:15,
10194:4, 10194:18,
10195:1, 10195:18,
10196:18, 10197:4,
10197:5, 10199:6,
10199:15, 10204:14,
10210:1, 10210:21,
10216:7, 10220:7,
10220:22, 10225:8,
10226:22, 10226:24,
10227:1, 10227:12,
10227:18, 10228:2,
10231:4, 10231:6,
10233:21, 10240:12,
10244:16, 10246:12,
10246:15, 10246:17,
10246:23, 10247:11,
10250:19, 10254:2,
10260:11, 10268:5,
10278:2, 10279:19,
10289:23, 10289:25,
10291:21, 10293:2,
10299:10, 10299:25,
10304:19, 10304:25,
10305:7, 10305:8,
10305:10, 10307:8,
10308:5, 10308:11,
10308:16, 10314:20,
10331:13, 10332:8,
10333:8, 10333:10,
10341:19, 10342:19,
10344:18, 10348:15,
10348:24, 10350:15,
10350:19, 10351:6,
10352:17, 10353:6,
10353:8, 10354:20,
10355:10, 10356:8,
10357:2, 10357:5,
10357:7, 10358:6,
10358:13, 10359:1,
10360:7, 10364:24,
10365:1, 10365:21,
10365:24, 10366:5,
10367:15, 10367:16,
10368:10, 10372:23,
10378:17
investigational -
10292:1
investigations -
10158:21, 10251:16,
10356:10
investigative -
10176:25, 10197:9,
10291:20, 10341:4,
10354:9, 10379:12
investigator - 10149:3,
10261:6, 10334:11,
10338:22, 10339:4,
10347:19, 10353:4,
10354:5, 10362:5
investigators -
10130:4, 10130:12,
10149:9, 10339:3,
10339:22, 10342:9,
10348:20, 10353:22,
10357:22, 10362:9
invite - 10259:16
involve - 10374:10
involved - 10117:22,
10141:7, 10141:24,
10146:19, 10146:23,
10147:19, 10148:2,
10152:2, 10162:17,
10167:11, 10188:22,
10194:19, 10218:15,
10260:21, 10289:23,
10308:4, 10308:11,
10329:19, 10331:6,
10333:7, 10336:8,
10336:12, 10344:24,
10347:12, 10355:1,
10355:2, 10355:10,
10356:7, 10356:9,
10356:20, 10367:25,
10368:1, 10368:3,
10368:4, 10368:9,
10372:21, 10372:22,
10373:6, 10375:4,
10375:24, 10376:16,
10379:11, 10379:16
involvement -
10119:4, 10128:6,
10134:8, 10138:20,
10147:23, 10161:23,
10162:1, 10162:10,
10162:11, 10199:4,
10225:8, 10226:21,
10296:22, 10332:8,
10333:14, 10354:23,
10355:5, 10366:17
involving - 10151:24
Irene - 10103:10
irrelevant - 10264:11
Irwin - 10104:13
Isabelle - 10103:6
issue - 10129:17,
10260:22, 10261:21,
10273:5, 10325:20,
10345:18, 10367:2
issues - 10261:8,
10321:10, 10345:7
it' - 10286:8, 10288:17
items - 10181:20,
10197:1, 10218:20,
10235:2, 10253:16,
10258:19, 10317:17,
10318:19, 10337:10
items' - 10282:8
Ives - 10203:18
- J**
- Jack** - 10152:12,
10152:17, 10158:20,
10188:16, 10199:3,
10252:7, 10333:17,
10333:22, 10334:22,
10334:23, 10351:25,
10352:3, 10353:18,
10355:4, 10355:9,
10355:24
jail - 10241:7, 10258:8,
10323:9, 10323:13,
10325:11
Jan - 10221:7,
10221:12, 10221:24,
10271:2
January - 10141:7,
10142:14, 10147:21,
10150:1, 10153:24,
10171:10, 10179:8,
10192:21, 10194:25,
10202:7, 10203:21,
10205:20, 10207:9,
10208:1, 10208:6,
10208:14, 10233:17,
10242:16, 10315:8,
10329:4, 10367:20
Jean - 10225:21
Jerry - 10103:13
Jh - 10171:12
Jhp' - 10226:9
jibe - 10316:9
Joanne - 10104:3
job - 10161:4, 10334:2,
10342:7, 10342:11,
10352:10
Joe - 10136:22
John - 10105:6,
10119:5, 10152:14,
10206:21, 10214:16,
10221:3, 10223:1,
10227:8, 10243:4,
10367:7, 10370:23
jointly - 10370:9
Jordan - 10103:3
journeyed - 10106:18
Joyce - 10104:3,
10258:17
judging - 10143:3
July - 10328:20
June - 10102:21,
10131:21, 10153:22
justice - 10308:24,
10309:2
Justice - 10102:6,
10104:12, 10104:14,
10252:3, 10264:15,
10279:14, 10308:22,
10310:5
justifies - 10324:21
- K**
- Kara** - 10103:6
Karen - 10103:11,
10381:2, 10381:13
Karst - 10104:9,
10130:2, 10130:3,
10130:5, 10130:10,
10147:19, 10148:2,
10227:25, 10228:5,
10241:9, 10241:13,
10289:22, 10290:16,
10290:19, 10291:17,
10291:24, 10292:15,
10293:6
Karst's - 10130:13,
10130:17
Karst-nordstrom -
10293:6
Keelan's - 10380:5
keep - 10169:16,
10188:18, 10336:4,
10362:3, 10363:11
keeping - 10168:7,
10170:10, 10196:13
Ken - 10244:19,
10244:20
kept - 10156:25,
10169:18, 10199:9,
10339:2, 10360:10,
10360:16, 10360:23,
10368:24, 10373:13
Kettles - 10335:25
key - 10270:24,
10352:22
killed - 10175:8,
10175:9
kind - 10310:20,
10320:12, 10335:5,
10372:13
Kleiv - 10178:12,
10178:13, 10178:14,
10186:16, 10252:20
knife - 10249:2,



10249:14, 10368:17,
10369:14, 10369:15
knock - 10193:6
knowing - 10198:15,
10345:8, 10349:7,
10353:20, 10358:5
knowing' - 10198:5
knowledge - 10109:8,
10117:21, 10119:3,
10121:4, 10142:12,
10142:16, 10144:8,
10144:12, 10149:6,
10188:9, 10188:24,
10194:6, 10194:10,
10198:2, 10269:9,
10292:14, 10293:13,
10293:21, 10294:24,
10305:9, 10344:17,
10345:6, 10347:8,
10360:6, 10381:6
known - 10218:19,
10270:12, 10273:21
Knox - 10104:5,
10261:11
Krogan - 10104:4
Kujawa - 10104:7

L

Labreque - 10378:5
lack - 10335:12,
10348:15, 10356:2
Lake - 10329:25
Lana - 10104:4
lane - 10122:2,
10122:15, 10122:18,
10124:6, 10162:5,
10165:11, 10172:18,
10173:12, 10181:17,
10216:17, 10216:18,
10233:22, 10234:18,
10235:24, 10236:5,
10239:4, 10240:3,
10252:15, 10253:14,
10253:21, 10253:25,
10271:16, 10272:18,
10276:15, 10281:4,
10283:23, 10298:17,
10319:3
laneway - 10235:1,
10281:25, 10287:1
large - 10201:19
largely - 10111:2
larger - 10334:14
Larry - 10103:14,
10104:11, 10130:18,
10138:21, 10143:24,
10170:18, 10208:12,
10208:16, 10211:19,
10214:4, 10215:13,
10220:1, 10222:11,
10224:17, 10241:7,
10243:19, 10243:25,
10245:6, 10293:1,
10296:23, 10304:20,
10309:12, 10315:13,
10315:20, 10331:1
last - 10115:5,
10131:18, 10137:2,
10146:6, 10211:23,
10212:25, 10220:25,
10222:14, 10280:3,
10372:16
late - 10231:25,
10335:8
latter - 10147:24,
10282:5, 10293:18,
10294:10
Laura - 10379:7
law - 10145:17,

10306:13
lawyer - 10233:14,
10314:17
lay - 10121:2
lead - 10255:13,
10261:6, 10304:10,
10324:3, 10324:6
leads - 10369:19
learn - 10115:25,
10161:1
learned - 10195:4,
10195:11, 10196:21,
10197:21, 10220:21,
10233:18, 10243:10,
10243:20, 10277:14,
10283:4, 10287:8,
10292:3, 10293:4,
10357:23, 10358:15
learning - 10297:1
least - 10129:18,
10219:20, 10266:16,
10275:22, 10278:20,
10291:12, 10291:25,
10333:3, 10360:12,
10360:15, 10360:17,
10360:18
leave - 10156:7,
10168:10, 10169:2,
10169:5, 10169:17,
10195:14, 10210:20,
10251:13, 10259:24,
10265:21, 10299:4,
10345:16, 10352:12,
10352:14
leaves - 10206:10
led - 10320:25,
10324:15
left - 10106:8,
10110:24, 10114:21,
10128:24, 10129:21,
10132:18, 10133:4,
10138:8, 10156:10,
10169:18, 10170:13,
10174:5, 10174:7,
10177:4, 10177:5,
10186:14, 10204:18,
10253:4, 10265:24,
10300:16, 10315:18,
10325:18, 10330:2,
10351:19, 10365:23,
10374:5, 10375:15
left-hand - 10110:24,
10132:18, 10133:4,
10365:23
length - 10126:23
lengthy - 10220:5,
10238:18, 10261:3,
10269:22
Les - 10195:9, 10197:1,
10197:10, 10197:12,
10198:6, 10200:1,
10200:12, 10350:2,
10373:17, 10373:23,
10373:25, 10374:4
Leslie - 10203:18
less - 10230:11,
10337:15, 10342:15,
10342:18, 10345:23,
10364:24
letter - 10114:20,
10145:17, 10145:22,
10243:3, 10306:12,
10306:18, 10306:19
letters - 10108:15,
10109:13, 10109:15,
10109:17, 10110:12,
10114:5, 10114:9,
10114:11, 10115:7,
10115:12
level - 10341:25

liaison - 10149:4
licence - 10171:24
license - 10202:22
Lieutenant - 10137:16,
10158:2, 10158:9,
10334:15, 10337:23,
10354:19, 10379:11
lieutenant - 10158:23,
10167:22, 10316:11,
10316:16, 10328:17,
10328:21, 10329:1,
10376:16
light - 10260:11,
10375:14
lights - 10371:11
likely - 10130:2,
10135:16, 10144:20,
10248:18, 10370:18
Linda - 10154:4,
10242:17, 10243:17,
10243:18, 10243:22,
10245:3, 10246:10,
10246:20, 10249:2,
10249:24, 10259:22,
10280:14, 10293:13,
10307:16, 10309:9,
10321:11
line - 10194:15,
10337:4, 10345:11
line-up - 10337:4
lines - 10380:13
link - 10320:15
Liquor - 10160:23
liquor - 10344:1
list - 10196:13,
10340:4, 10343:15,
10365:23, 10374:13,
10374:21, 10377:11
listed - 10366:22,
10367:6, 10368:16
listing - 10369:13
lived - 10245:21
living - 10180:1
locate - 10179:10,
10179:11, 10376:20
located - 10218:3,
10218:14, 10235:25,
10236:2
locating - 10281:5
location - 10175:9,
10182:24, 10203:20,
10253:22, 10273:25
locker - 10186:8,
10186:10, 10191:11,
10202:1, 10225:20
longhand - 10363:11
Look - 10142:24
look - 10106:14,
10110:15, 10110:18,
10110:19, 10110:23,
10121:6, 10125:20,
10125:21, 10132:19,
10132:21, 10133:3,
10133:6, 10136:17,
10137:3, 10137:9,
10139:1, 10143:3,
10143:12, 10144:3,
10144:9, 10153:19,
10154:15, 10155:1,
10156:20, 10158:10,
10166:2, 10181:10,
10181:20, 10183:20,
10190:8, 10190:10,
10202:20, 10214:4,
10218:13, 10226:18,
10228:5, 10233:18,
10250:17, 10275:12,
10280:17, 10303:5,
10303:20, 10304:13,
10304:24, 10305:6,

10310:20, 10312:14,
10316:7, 10340:9,
10352:24, 10361:2,
10370:4, 10376:11
looked - 10123:8,
10133:20, 10133:22,
10136:21, 10139:17,
10169:25, 10184:16,
10234:4, 10236:7,
10237:20, 10238:14,
10253:20, 10256:2,
10285:8, 10332:15,
10351:7, 10368:19,
10374:18
Looked - 10186:3
looking - 10113:5,
10132:20, 10138:7,
10182:8, 10200:14,
10216:3, 10223:9,
10285:4, 10285:15,
10298:16, 10302:13,
10316:2, 10316:13,
10316:17, 10331:6,
10354:17, 10367:24,
10369:8, 10369:13,
10369:16, 10374:21,
10377:12
lookout - 10376:25
Looks - 10131:20
looks - 10172:2,
10181:16, 10184:14,
10186:22, 10201:17,
10211:7, 10215:10,
10218:25, 10219:4,
10225:24, 10226:1,
10329:5, 10372:13,
10378:3
Lt - 10379:6
luck - 10149:11
lunch - 10255:1
lying - 10252:13

M

M/o - 10368:15,
10368:17, 10368:20,
10369:14
Maccallum - 10102:7,
10106:3, 10145:6,
10152:8, 10152:11,
10187:8, 10210:4,
10229:17, 10229:20,
10242:10, 10259:2,
10259:18, 10260:1,
10260:18, 10262:3,
10262:6, 10263:13,
10263:16, 10263:19,
10263:22, 10263:25,
10264:4, 10264:18,
10265:5, 10265:23,
10266:6, 10266:20,
10267:2, 10267:14,
10267:17, 10268:2,
10268:10, 10268:13,
10269:3, 10269:6,
10272:24, 10305:18,
10314:15, 10316:23,
10323:20, 10323:25,
10324:8, 10324:12,
10324:17, 10324:22,
10325:1, 10325:17,
10325:25, 10326:4,
10326:12, 10326:18,
10327:13, 10327:16,
10356:15, 10380:18
Mackie - 10105:12,
10133:24, 10133:25,
10134:10, 10134:16,
10134:25, 10135:9,
10135:11, 10146:23,
10167:5, 10198:25,
10199:1, 10214:6,
10214:12, 10215:2,
10215:21, 10327:20,
10327:21, 10327:23,
10327:25, 10328:1,
10331:11, 10332:13,
10351:14, 10353:25,
10361:11, 10363:6,
10365:18, 10365:22,
10366:11, 10367:14,
10368:3, 10370:1,
10370:5, 10371:1,
10371:14, 10375:22,
10378:14
Mackie's - 10134:8,
10135:21, 10136:5,
10136:6, 10327:24
main - 10358:4,
10358:12
maintain - 10263:13,
10263:17
maintained -
10293:20, 10294:24
major - 10145:25
male - 10180:17,
10206:4
man - 10167:15,
10223:2, 10315:10,
10322:24
managed - 10194:7
Manager - 10103:5
mandates - 10143:22,
10304:17
manner - 10208:20,
10222:2, 10324:5
manpower - 10350:25,
10378:23, 10379:18
map - 10183:20,
10204:17, 10204:19,
10205:18, 10216:19,
10218:10, 10218:11,
10236:4, 10271:17,
10271:18
maps - 10271:18
March - 10106:12,
10106:17, 10107:19,
10125:24, 10127:16,
10147:3, 10148:9,
10148:18, 10153:25,
10159:7
marks - 10120:11,
10120:15, 10121:2,
10235:6, 10235:12,
10235:20, 10235:23,
10236:2, 10236:4,
10236:13, 10236:14,
10236:21, 10237:1,
10237:7, 10239:5,
10239:20, 10240:4,
10257:23, 10283:13,
10298:16, 10300:22
Marv - 10152:20
Mary - 10315:6
Mary's - 10371:9,
10373:14
Masonry - 10208:13,
10222:12
master - 10199:9
matched - 10185:6,
10249:3
matter - 10125:1,
10125:8, 10128:10,
10130:21, 10138:20,
10141:12, 10169:2,
10188:1, 10191:25,
10212:22, 10213:18,
10242:20, 10249:14,
10258:3, 10264:5,
10264:11, 10264:19,



10265:15, 10265:16,
10265:21, 10265:25,
10269:8, 10273:11,
10277:4, 10295:12,
10297:2, 10310:4,
10331:7, 10331:19,
10333:15, 10334:13
matters - 10124:20,
10242:15, 10269:2,
10269:17, 10269:19,
10269:22, 10269:24,
10279:18, 10326:25,
10329:19
mature - 10323:2
Mayer - 10327:24
Mccorriston -
10203:4, 10207:13,
10212:8, 10215:1,
10217:22, 10219:1,
10220:5, 10220:9,
10220:11, 10222:9,
10223:14, 10224:17,
10224:22, 10315:18
Mccorriston's -
10221:1, 10314:24
Mckercher - 10145:18,
10306:13
Mclean - 10104:3,
10258:18, 10264:2,
10264:3
mean - 10112:14,
10147:6, 10147:9,
10147:20, 10155:18,
10156:1, 10166:24,
10202:23, 10203:5,
10255:21, 10259:9,
10266:11, 10268:12,
10308:6, 10321:3,
10324:15, 10325:5,
10365:2
Meaning - 10230:14
meaning - 10323:15
means - 10207:2
meant - 10107:4,
10261:11, 10325:4
measured - 10174:15
media - 10330:14
meet - 10107:10,
10280:5
meeting - 10125:10,
10126:2, 10127:11,
10127:20, 10128:10,
10131:20, 10131:22,
10131:23, 10132:9,
10146:6, 10148:11,
10148:13, 10191:23,
10207:19, 10209:4,
10251:20, 10355:13
meetings - 10125:16,
10125:19, 10131:15,
10146:2, 10146:8,
10146:11, 10146:20,
10146:23, 10147:2,
10147:16, 10158:5,
10336:10, 10355:6,
10355:7, 10355:20,
10355:24, 10356:1,
10356:5, 10356:6
member - 10290:18,
10308:3
members - 10111:11,
10146:16, 10146:18,
10191:20
memo - 10170:2,
10170:8, 10170:22,
10170:25, 10195:20,
10201:3
memory - 10110:1,
10110:2, 10117:7,
10129:7, 10134:24,
10163:1, 10202:12,
10263:20, 10280:12,
10331:11, 10331:20,
10332:7, 10362:7,
10362:14, 10362:20,
10363:3
men - 10139:10,
10163:11, 10163:19
mental - 10230:20,
10327:2, 10377:11
mention - 10319:18
mentioned - 10107:3,
10109:1, 10110:12,
10121:9, 10163:6,
10302:5, 10305:3,
10318:8, 10326:20
mentioning - 10108:18
merely - 10287:12
messed - 10164:18
met - 10191:19,
10245:16, 10331:24
method - 10189:7,
10363:16
Meyer - 10103:12,
10381:2, 10381:19
Mhmm - 10140:3
Michalyliuk -
10163:23, 10163:24,
10173:18
Michayliuk - 10184:6
microphone - 10320:6
mid - 10113:2,
10351:16
middle - 10366:15,
10373:15
might - 10140:1,
10157:19, 10159:23,
10166:2, 10172:12,
10194:19, 10196:21,
10200:3, 10206:22,
10207:13, 10216:3,
10224:1, 10234:6,
10263:8, 10264:6,
10264:12, 10269:15,
10277:16, 10286:7,
10291:2, 10294:19,
10300:4, 10301:2,
10311:1, 10311:15,
10313:15, 10317:19,
10318:22, 10322:13,
10325:9, 10339:11,
10343:12, 10344:9,
10344:11, 10344:12,
10344:22, 10344:23,
10344:24, 10356:3,
10356:4, 10358:16,
10360:15, 10364:11,
10367:25, 10368:1,
10372:9, 10372:13,
10374:18, 10374:19,
10375:2, 10375:3,
10377:20, 10377:21
Might - 10268:15
might've - 10299:12
Milgaard - 10102:4,
10104:2, 10104:3,
10108:20, 10109:3,
10112:15, 10112:18,
10112:22, 10113:4,
10113:13, 10115:9,
10115:21, 10115:24,
10119:1, 10119:11,
10119:24, 10125:1,
10125:8, 10129:13,
10144:2, 10147:13,
10148:4, 10148:15,
10148:16, 10227:4,
10231:18, 10231:22,
10231:24, 10240:25,
10242:15, 10242:21,
10245:15, 10247:8,
10255:8, 10256:9,
10256:18, 10256:22,
10258:8, 10258:17,
10260:25, 10264:8,
10265:14, 10270:12,
10270:17, 10273:13,
10273:22, 10278:14,
10279:19, 10283:4,
10284:18, 10290:14,
10291:13, 10292:4,
10293:2, 10297:23,
10304:22, 10310:10,
10310:14, 10312:11,
10317:4, 10318:15,
10330:13, 10331:7,
10367:17
Milgaard's - 10107:18,
10107:20, 10111:7,
10119:14, 10120:24,
10121:22, 10124:23,
10232:5, 10233:14,
10243:7, 10251:17,
10252:4, 10254:6,
10255:2, 10256:16,
10257:17, 10276:10,
10276:14, 10278:12,
10278:19, 10279:14,
10290:11, 10314:17,
10318:12
Millar - 10375:11
Miller - 10106:24,
10107:10, 10108:6,
10108:9, 10108:12,
10109:5, 10109:11,
10109:14, 10109:22,
10109:24, 10110:3,
10110:16, 10111:5,
10111:14, 10111:18,
10112:1, 10112:3,
10116:10, 10116:16,
10116:22, 10118:4,
10118:9, 10118:23,
10119:2, 10119:11,
10119:15, 10125:23,
10292:16, 10126:22,
10127:1, 10127:9,
10127:24, 10131:2,
10131:6, 10134:12,
10143:24, 10144:1,
10144:5, 10146:1,
10146:9, 10146:12,
10148:14, 10148:17,
10148:23, 10151:16,
10151:18, 10153:24,
10159:3, 10161:22,
10161:24, 10162:12,
10175:8, 10178:16,
10179:7, 10179:18,
10179:19, 10179:22,
10179:24, 10188:10,
10190:24, 10192:4,
10194:3, 10195:10,
10196:3, 10204:6,
10210:1, 10212:22,
10216:4, 10224:19,
10225:6, 10225:8,
10225:20, 10225:21,
10226:21, 10227:11,
10228:2, 10231:3,
10231:19, 10231:22,
10240:12, 10240:23,
10242:20, 10247:24,
10249:1, 10270:10,
10273:20, 10289:23,
10304:15, 10304:19,
10304:21, 10304:25,
10315:10, 10330:13,
10331:13, 10333:10,
10333:15, 10334:13,
10336:7, 10336:13,
10338:8, 10338:10,
10338:15, 10346:16,
10347:17, 10350:18,
10350:21, 10353:2,
10354:4, 10354:19,
10355:15, 10356:8,
10358:25, 10359:11,
10361:3, 10361:22,
10365:20, 10368:9,
10369:2, 10372:22,
10373:18, 10379:9,
10380:12
Miller's - 10118:9,
10149:8, 10150:1,
10154:18, 10204:20,
10204:25, 10216:22,
10228:23, 10243:24,
10248:7, 10273:23,
10329:9, 10371:3,
10373:2, 10376:4,
10376:6
mind - 10113:10,
10113:19, 10130:25,
10168:6, 10175:7,
10185:18, 10211:13,
10223:4, 10278:4
mindful - 10258:10
mine - 10212:16,
10315:16, 10372:9
Minister - 10104:12,
10308:22
minus - 10174:12
minute - 10175:22
minutes - 10184:12,
10193:8, 10215:4,
10320:3, 10320:11
miscarriage -
10308:23, 10309:1
mislaid - 10170:10,
10171:4, 10171:5
miss - 10185:17
missed - 10193:12
mistake - 10214:22
mistaken - 10223:5,
10296:7, 10296:17,
10312:20
moccasin - 10253:20,
10254:5, 10255:11,
10255:21, 10255:22,
10256:2, 10257:6,
10257:13, 10257:14,
10270:9, 10270:15,
10270:20, 10270:23,
10271:5, 10271:22,
10277:4, 10277:23,
10282:12, 10283:12,
10284:9, 10284:21,
10285:18, 10299:15,
10300:2, 10300:10,
10300:21, 10302:24,
10304:4, 10312:12,
10317:21
moccasin-like -
10254:5, 10255:22,
10304:4
moccasins - 10282:24,
10284:4, 10318:12,
10318:21
modus - 10368:20
mom - 10114:21,
10114:23
moment - 10124:23,
10125:4, 10130:16,
10130:25, 10132:6,
10159:6, 10162:7,
10166:5, 10173:9,
10225:5, 10241:6,
10246:4, 10250:3,
10264:19, 10334:12,
10338:8, 10358:25
Monday - 10202:8,
10204:11, 10205:5,
10209:18, 10370:22,
10371:4
money - 10337:12
months - 10141:15,
10232:21
morality - 10150:16,
10150:21, 10151:3,
10151:7, 10153:20,
10153:21, 10154:1,
10159:6, 10159:7,
10159:12, 10159:16,
10159:17, 10159:24,
10199:13, 10232:1,
10241:21, 10343:21,
10343:22, 10343:25,
10344:7, 10344:12,
10344:21, 10344:23,
10345:8, 10368:3,
10368:8, 10372:22,
10379:16
Morality - 10367:23,
10368:2, 10372:20,
10379:6, 10379:15
morning - 10106:3,
10106:6, 10106:7,
10139:25, 10140:25,
10142:14, 10152:16,
10168:17, 10172:11,
10180:25, 10202:18,
10204:12, 10205:5,
10206:2, 10206:8,
10206:23, 10207:20,
10208:8, 10208:16,
10208:21, 10208:24,
10209:13, 10212:21,
10215:14, 10218:21,
10219:4, 10219:25,
10222:5, 10233:17,
10250:6, 10252:12,
10270:11, 10273:22,
10274:25, 10275:1,
10275:14, 10281:7,
10290:6, 10290:24,
10293:10, 10298:3,
10301:5, 10302:3,
10303:12, 10303:22,
10315:8, 10315:23,
10319:24, 10333:7,
10335:8, 10336:10,
10338:20, 10343:6,
10351:19, 10355:6,
10355:7, 10355:12,
10356:5, 10371:10
Morrow - 10112:21
most - 10326:21,
10338:20, 10350:19,
10364:3
Most - 10335:11,
10340:19
mother - 10109:24,
10112:12, 10114:11,
10114:15, 10225:21,
10226:11
motor - 10234:6,
10234:9
move - 10115:14,
10121:17, 10122:5,
10124:19, 10132:16,
10140:15, 10140:16,
10163:17, 10259:16,
10329:22
moved - 10165:2,
10165:7, 10177:16,
10177:24, 10179:25,
10180:4, 10299:2,
10299:6
movers - 10133:17



moving - 10236:25
multiple - 10150:12
municipal - 10311:16
murder - 10119:1, 10119:11, 10119:14, 10126:16, 10128:17, 10131:2, 10131:6, 10134:11, 10143:25, 10146:1, 10150:1, 10153:24, 10154:19, 10161:22, 10162:16, 10163:10, 10168:17, 10171:22, 10180:25, 10187:1, 10191:1, 10192:4, 10192:10, 10194:4, 10194:18, 10194:20, 10202:7, 10205:22, 10206:2, 10206:8, 10208:9, 10209:8, 10212:25, 10213:9, 10213:12, 10218:21, 10222:6, 10224:18, 10225:20, 10226:16, 10226:21, 10227:11, 10228:2, 10228:24, 10231:3, 10231:18, 10231:22, 10232:9, 10240:12, 10240:23, 10243:25, 10245:13, 10245:17, 10245:20, 10249:1, 10270:21, 10273:23, 10302:5, 10303:12, 10303:22, 10304:21, 10329:9, 10331:13, 10347:5, 10349:15, 10351:19, 10356:8, 10368:1, 10368:13, 10369:3, 10369:5, 10369:10, 10370:22, 10371:4, 10371:10
Murder - 10375:11
murdered - 10164:14, 10175:13
murders - 10192:9
Murdoch - 10163:22
Murdock - 10173:18, 10184:1, 10186:19
Murdock's - 10186:23
Murray - 10207:23
must - 10127:1, 10183:4, 10185:19, 10258:13

N

N' - 10281:24
name - 10107:18, 10107:20, 10108:20, 10111:8, 10114:1, 10145:8, 10147:6, 10148:22, 10163:21, 10165:5, 10178:16, 10179:3, 10181:1, 10187:17, 10219:6, 10225:4, 10231:13, 10241:2, 10241:7, 10247:14, 10248:2, 10248:21, 10250:13, 10253:6, 10279:23, 10306:6, 10312:5, 10313:25, 10375:20
named - 10351:16
namely - 10243:25, 10306:2, 10310:14
names - 10107:2, 10107:12, 10107:14, 10154:23, 10154:25, 10212:13, 10219:19, 10240:16, 10318:8,

10332:21, 10356:11, 10356:14, 10356:17
Natalie - 10221:17, 10221:22, 10222:4
naturally - 10121:5
nature - 10110:11, 10110:13, 10111:11, 10124:1, 10133:13, 10134:4, 10137:6, 10146:7, 10167:13, 10188:12, 10200:15, 10243:15, 10344:1, 10369:9, 10369:10, 10374:24
near - 10222:6, 10233:8, 10234:19, 10252:13, 10255:15, 10270:10, 10271:13, 10272:12, 10272:24, 10273:2, 10273:6, 10281:3, 10281:24, 10285:12
necessarily - 10133:1, 10158:12, 10166:24, 10193:22, 10267:23, 10368:7
necessary - 10334:20
need - 10135:17, 10175:16, 10181:10, 10196:21, 10284:25, 10341:2, 10356:3, 10356:4, 10358:1, 10358:16, 10380:10
Needed - 10378:23
needed - 10150:25, 10155:22, 10160:11, 10165:23, 10335:15, 10337:10, 10341:20, 10352:18, 10353:22, 10356:21, 10356:24, 10359:8, 10364:14, 10371:21, 10376:19
needs - 10261:17, 10340:22, 10353:13, 10355:22
negative - 10318:15
neglected - 10318:4
neighbourhood - 10141:16, 10202:16
Never - 10208:14
never - 10107:5, 10109:6, 10109:7, 10109:9, 10117:3, 10120:20, 10141:15, 10142:12, 10170:11, 10171:5, 10179:10, 10184:5, 10187:3, 10209:20, 10221:9, 10245:15, 10249:14, 10254:5, 10287:9, 10288:25, 10298:18, 10306:18, 10313:24, 10315:4, 10315:16, 10316:5, 10318:19, 10319:1, 10320:19, 10330:3, 10332:10, 10358:18
new - 10107:2, 10107:12, 10107:14, 10166:7, 10172:12, 10172:15, 10172:16, 10179:6, 10276:21, 10343:4
news - 10213:13, 10243:6
Next - 10173:17, 10190:15, 10191:4, 10218:23, 10236:10, 10282:3, 10295:13, 10369:21

next - 10107:25, 10115:4, 10127:12, 10129:11, 10133:16, 10138:19, 10146:17, 10152:12, 10175:14, 10179:1, 10181:9, 10184:14, 10206:18, 10210:14, 10215:24, 10218:1, 10218:24, 10219:4, 10219:20, 10222:8, 10224:21, 10225:3, 10237:9, 10258:25, 10270:3, 10280:9, 10280:22, 10290:8, 10293:12, 10295:14, 10296:22, 10300:14, 10327:19, 10345:24, 10358:9, 10372:5
niche - 10109:4
Nichol - 10119:5, 10227:8, 10367:7
nickname - 10227:14
night - 10205:22
nights - 10340:10
nighttime - 10343:5
nobody - 10307:17, 10315:23
None - 10111:6, 10131:12
none - 10345:21
Nordstrom - 10130:18, 10241:9, 10241:20
nordstrom - 10293:6
normal - 10172:10, 10230:22, 10334:9, 10350:13, 10367:11
normally - 10156:16, 10185:21, 10193:14, 10201:5, 10201:7, 10204:6, 10338:12, 10363:9
North - 10221:3
north - 10123:15, 10123:19, 10181:25, 10183:15, 10216:17, 10216:18, 10235:24, 10253:24, 10254:12, 10255:15, 10272:5, 10272:6, 10272:17, 10283:22
north' - 10175:18
north-south - 10235:24
north/south - 10252:15
northerly - 10236:16
not - 10257:8, 10286:13
notation - 10132:18
note - 10106:12, 10132:18, 10158:11, 10172:17, 10173:10, 10179:13, 10185:16, 10206:24, 10211:7, 10215:25, 10218:2, 10302:14, 10302:19, 10339:13, 10371:7
notebook - 10168:7, 10168:13, 10168:19, 10169:14, 10170:24, 10171:2, 10171:7, 10171:16, 10175:14, 10189:16, 10202:8, 10204:17, 10205:8, 10206:18, 10213:25, 10214:2, 10217:24, 10255:17, 10256:5, 10262:17, 10274:4, 10362:23, 10363:2,

10364:21
notebooks - 10126:14, 10162:23, 10168:4, 10169:15, 10169:18, 10169:22, 10170:14, 10170:19, 10364:20, 10364:25, 10365:8, 10365:9, 10365:18
noted - 10118:5, 10118:22, 10118:23, 10129:19, 10221:13
notes - 10125:17, 10126:1, 10126:5, 10127:11, 10127:19, 10132:2, 10136:11, 10137:21, 10138:18, 10162:14, 10162:23, 10168:14, 10168:18, 10168:23, 10179:2, 10181:2, 10184:11, 10184:12, 10189:15, 10190:1, 10190:16, 10202:11, 10202:14, 10202:18, 10208:19, 10209:4, 10209:22, 10210:8, 10210:20, 10212:5, 10212:13, 10212:15, 10212:16, 10214:11, 10215:22, 10215:24, 10222:21, 10223:13, 10223:19, 10224:15, 10271:1, 10271:2, 10271:4, 10275:23, 10352:2, 10352:12, 10352:14, 10362:3, 10364:21, 10365:4, 10365:25, 10381:6
Nothing - 10243:16, 10372:7, 10372:11
nothing - 10107:4, 10110:14, 10163:14, 10180:3, 10203:20, 10236:8, 10237:15, 10238:12, 10271:3, 10308:9, 10318:5
notice - 10164:16, 10168:25, 10239:5, 10239:9, 10239:20
noticed - 10163:14, 10164:17, 10182:6, 10208:3, 10236:12, 10304:9, 10371:11
notified - 10164:7, 10178:3, 10247:11, 10247:13
November - 10252:1, 10269:14, 10282:5
november - 10240:18
number - 10143:2, 10155:4, 10167:11, 10168:25, 10171:24, 10171:25, 10172:1, 10179:6, 10188:1, 10188:4, 10188:8, 10192:20, 10202:23, 10212:14, 10235:9, 10236:13, 10242:25, 10247:5, 10261:7, 10280:11, 10312:16, 10326:13, 10331:18, 10331:24, 10349:16, 10354:8, 10354:9, 10360:2, 10361:25, 10366:1, 10380:2
numbered - 10188:17, 10351:8, 10360:20, 10361:5
numbering - 10135:12, 10135:13,

10188:9, 10188:11, 10360:4, 10360:10, 10361:12
numbers - 10135:8, 10135:9, 10135:19, 10135:20, 10188:7, 10360:5
numerous - 10233:4, 10236:1
nurse - 10208:3
nylon - 10174:4
nylons - 10174:7

O

O'brien - 10243:6, 10243:10, 10243:14
oath - 10297:11
obliged - 10259:14
obliterated - 10233:5, 10234:11, 10254:19, 10254:21, 10284:15, 10285:12, 10286:3, 10289:16, 10298:21, 10303:3
obnoxious - 10317:22, 10321:23, 10321:24, 10322:4, 10322:8
observation - 10172:22, 10173:24, 10174:9, 10257:6, 10258:7, 10262:14, 10273:17
observations - 10144:11, 10173:12, 10173:23, 10182:17, 10190:1, 10207:25, 10232:8, 10237:14, 10276:15, 10276:18, 10278:6, 10287:10, 10287:14, 10305:9, 10373:13
observe - 10124:10
observed - 10122:16, 10164:15, 10175:6, 10203:24, 10204:13, 10204:15, 10212:4, 10219:25, 10222:21, 10232:24, 10239:14, 10240:9, 10253:13, 10255:21, 10256:4, 10271:5, 10302:23, 10303:11, 10303:23, 10346:3, 10351:18, 10357:19, 10376:3
observing - 10224:15, 10226:24, 10240:21, 10265:13, 10304:3, 10346:3
obtainable - 10109:17
obtained - 10107:1, 10153:11, 10252:19
obvious - 10285:13, 10321:3
obviously - 10128:19, 10260:10, 10261:4, 10312:23, 10314:2
occasion - 10107:13, 10107:21, 10110:16, 10118:19, 10122:1, 10123:4, 10124:17, 10126:3, 10132:8, 10140:11, 10142:19, 10260:5, 10364:2
occasions - 10151:6, 10159:23, 10229:22, 10251:23, 10297:8, 10331:5, 10331:18, 10331:25, 10362:24
occupied - 10367:24



occur - 10142:10,
10209:11, 10225:20,
10343:5
occurred - 10149:25,
10150:13, 10293:20,
10294:5, 10294:23
occurrence -
10157:20, 10166:5,
10166:6, 10166:9,
10166:10, 10166:15,
10168:10, 10168:22,
10186:14, 10187:14,
10187:21, 10187:25,
10190:23, 10200:8,
10201:1, 10247:5,
10247:12, 10248:6,
10316:19
occurrences -
10203:13
October - 10240:18,
10241:6
October-november -
10240:18
odd - 10228:14
offence - 10150:20,
10310:9, 10311:21,
10369:1
offences - 10131:8,
10131:10, 10149:21,
10150:12, 10242:4,
10290:4, 10343:25,
10344:1
offer - 10222:19
offhand - 10151:21,
10151:22
office - 10189:13,
10201:6, 10205:24,
10227:19, 10289:25,
10306:15, 10316:15,
10360:9
Office - 10191:19,
10368:16
Officer - 10103:13,
10114:1, 10307:3,
10351:17, 10351:19,
10359:25, 10379:7,
10379:15
officer - 10113:16,
10126:5, 10129:23,
10134:15, 10136:11,
10137:21, 10142:8,
10153:4, 10160:19,
10161:1, 10166:18,
10167:24, 10169:2,
10169:9, 10184:9,
10188:22, 10200:11,
10217:23, 10234:14,
10237:15, 10247:4,
10247:7, 10247:10,
10248:20, 10260:14,
10262:15, 10291:4,
10308:14, 10323:2,
10339:20, 10344:22,
10345:20, 10345:23,
10347:14, 10349:20,
10352:20, 10353:15,
10353:20, 10361:1,
10372:22, 10379:16
officers - 10168:7,
10268:8, 10296:15,
10314:3, 10365:9
officers - 10114:16,
10115:1, 10121:10,
10125:10, 10125:17,
10126:1, 10127:13,
10127:20, 10128:21,
10132:7, 10134:13,
10135:16, 10136:25,
10145:16, 10145:21,
10162:8, 10163:9,
10165:9, 10167:11,
10167:25, 10169:1,
10169:4, 10169:13,
10178:12, 10189:7,
10192:17, 10192:22,
10193:20, 10197:11,
10197:20, 10197:24,
10198:7, 10198:16,
10215:11, 10215:12,
10219:18, 10231:9,
10264:6, 10279:17,
10306:16, 10311:2,
10316:2, 10329:20,
10330:10, 10347:14,
10349:16, 10349:20,
10356:1, 10356:20,
10359:1, 10366:16,
10368:4, 10368:9
Officers - 10313:5
officers - 10364:20
Official - 10103:11,
10381:1, 10381:3,
10381:14, 10381:20
often - 10108:18,
10189:5, 10364:3
old - 10153:1, 10206:7,
10230:3, 10322:11,
10322:12
Oleksyn - 10372:21
on-the-job - 10161:4
on-the-street -
10342:9
once - 10164:24,
10166:10, 10169:15,
10345:12
one - 10106:13,
10108:2, 10118:6,
10119:17, 10121:5,
10122:24, 10125:25,
10127:15, 10127:19,
10128:4, 10130:3,
10130:11, 10131:3,
10131:16, 10131:22,
10131:23, 10133:17,
10134:13, 10137:18,
10141:15, 10147:15,
10154:3, 10162:19,
10165:14, 10169:2,
10169:5, 10176:16,
10178:12, 10181:13,
10181:23, 10187:21,
10190:4, 10199:7,
10199:20, 10201:15,
10201:19, 10206:23,
10217:20, 10217:23,
10218:3, 10222:17,
10225:24, 10226:1,
10237:4, 10242:3,
10249:4, 10259:4,
10265:7, 10267:19,
10271:18, 10283:19,
10300:24, 10314:3,
10314:4, 10316:23,
10316:25, 10317:19,
10318:9, 10318:10,
10326:7, 10332:24,
10333:18, 10334:10,
10335:4, 10335:9,
10339:8, 10340:18,
10340:19, 10341:25,
10342:23, 10344:3,
10347:1, 10347:14,
10348:19, 10352:6,
10353:3, 10353:15,
10353:17, 10357:17,
10358:12, 10360:9,
10360:12, 10360:13,
10360:15, 10360:17,
10360:18, 10364:14,
10367:7, 10370:7,
10370:8, 10373:7,
10377:2, 10377:20,
10380:13
One - 10131:13,
10142:21, 10143:21,
10201:19, 10304:17,
10360:14
one's - 10163:21
ones - 10148:22,
10164:2, 10165:19,
10166:25, 10172:16,
10315:17, 10331:21,
10343:16, 10366:20,
10366:21, 10366:22,
10366:23, 10367:1
ongoing - 10156:22,
10360:7
open - 10122:8,
10126:11, 10142:6,
10312:22, 10313:3
opened - 10311:5
opening - 10306:1
operandi - 10368:20
operate - 10312:23
operating - 10205:25
operation - 10327:10,
10337:21, 10344:15
operations - 10335:20,
10336:1
operator - 10206:21,
10211:6, 10211:11,
10221:14, 10223:1,
10375:12
operators - 10375:20
opinion - 10109:2,
10130:11, 10134:19,
10137:13, 10144:14,
10190:16, 10238:10,
10238:22, 10284:2,
10295:20, 10310:19
opinions - 10175:7
opposed - 10169:5,
10219:24, 10226:15,
10288:15, 10342:8,
10347:6, 10370:9
option - 10267:1
orange - 10211:24,
10212:3, 10221:5
order - 10131:17,
10363:12
ordinarily - 10206:4
organizational -
10338:11, 10360:20
organizational -
10154:12, 10154:14,
10332:12, 10332:13,
10344:3
organized - 10188:11,
10361:4
organizing - 10188:16,
10188:23, 10360:1,
10360:5, 10361:11
original - 10144:9,
10171:2, 10171:7,
10270:21, 10271:1,
10271:4, 10305:6
otherwise - 10107:17,
10334:21
otherwords - 10296:8
Ottawa - 10161:13,
10161:16
ought - 10145:19,
10198:10, 10198:18,
10224:1, 10259:15,
10325:22, 10352:3,
10352:24, 10358:7
out - 10185:12
outpatients - 10377:11
outset - 10145:11
outside - 10151:16,
10151:18, 10257:2,
10270:12, 10320:2,
10320:11, 10356:21
outstanding - 10131:3
outwardly - 10282:21,
10283:11
overall - 10156:1,
10316:13, 10348:14
oversee - 10177:20,
10343:12, 10348:1,
10349:11
overseeing -
10342:12, 10343:15,
10343:17
overseer - 10342:8
overshoe - 10181:23
overstating - 10289:12
overview - 10316:7
own - 10151:15,
10157:21, 10196:13,
10199:16, 10200:20,
10203:11, 10226:21,
10238:10, 10271:11,
10303:10, 10306:23,
10336:20, 10337:5,
10343:15, 10353:5,
10359:18, 10362:3

P

P-1 - 10121:24
P.1 - 10233:19
pace - 10174:18
paced - 10174:17
paces - 10181:24,
10255:12
package - 10201:19
packed - 10122:11,
10172:25, 10270:19
page - 10108:4,
10110:23, 10111:20,
10115:4, 10115:5,
10115:14, 10115:15,
10120:7, 10120:8,
10121:20, 10131:18,
10131:20, 10132:17,
10132:20, 10132:25,
10133:4, 10133:5,
10133:6, 10133:16,
10136:10, 10136:24,
10137:2, 10139:3,
10140:17, 10140:18,
10142:22, 10142:24,
10153:9, 10154:22,
10171:15, 10171:18,
10173:17, 10175:14,
10175:16, 10175:24,
10179:1, 10181:9,
10184:14, 10190:11,
10190:15, 10190:22,
10191:4, 10202:9,
10206:18, 10214:1,
10215:24, 10218:2,
10218:24, 10222:8,
10224:21, 10232:20,
10235:19, 10236:10,
10237:9, 10239:1,
10243:8, 10258:25,
10270:3, 10280:3,
10280:9, 10280:22,
10282:3, 10290:8,
10293:12, 10295:13,
10295:14, 10297:15,
10297:25, 10300:13,
10300:14, 10300:24,
10302:8, 10312:16,
10326:15, 10360:5,
10361:5, 10372:5,
10373:15
Page - 10105:2,
10298:13, 10300:24
pages - 10131:17,
10132:19, 10133:2,
10135:18, 10188:11,
10188:17, 10360:11,
10360:20, 10361:12,
10381:4
pain - 10327:7
paired - 10203:5
pan - 10229:24
panties - 10174:4,
10174:7
paper - 10188:3,
10194:25, 10354:13,
10357:4, 10362:4,
10376:9
papers - 10280:17
overview - 10252:5
paragraph - 10106:15,
10107:15, 10110:13,
10127:21, 10128:14,
10129:11, 10137:20,
10220:25, 10245:5,
10245:10, 10253:10,
10259:1, 10262:11,
10267:9, 10267:11,
10267:24, 10270:4,
10273:8, 10273:14,
10315:5, 10325:24,
10326:2, 10326:13,
10326:15, 10367:22,
10369:24, 10372:16,
10372:17
parallel - 10321:15
pardon - 10150:9,
10174:25
Pardon - 10229:11,
10263:15, 10302:17,
10338:2, 10358:22,
10370:19
parked - 10376:3
Parker - 10105:6,
10120:12, 10152:13,
10152:14, 10152:16,
10152:17, 10152:22,
10152:23, 10153:10,
10154:16, 10154:24,
10158:15, 10162:10,
10164:13, 10167:11,
10171:7, 10171:12,
10171:13, 10171:20,
10174:22, 10180:16,
10183:1, 10183:24,
10187:13, 10187:18,
10189:1, 10192:3,
10192:24, 10194:5,
10202:10, 10203:22,
10204:19, 10205:19,
10207:4, 10215:10,
10217:13, 10219:23,
10220:7, 10221:10,
10223:10, 10224:13,
10225:4, 10233:11,
10235:17, 10238:18,
10242:14, 10243:12,
10247:15, 10249:9,
10252:7, 10252:9,
10252:12, 10252:21,
10253:3, 10253:8,
10253:12, 10253:18,
10253:23, 10254:3,
10258:5, 10258:7,
10258:23, 10260:5,
10262:10, 10263:9,
10264:25, 10270:2,
10270:15, 10270:17,
10270:21, 10273:12,
10273:16, 10274:1,
10280:5, 10280:20,
10282:4, 10282:19,



10283:19, 10284:2,
10286:23, 10287:3,
10290:1, 10290:14,
10292:2, 10293:1,
10293:5, 10293:20,
10294:5, 10294:8,
10294:17, 10294:24,
10295:19, 10295:21,
10296:2, 10296:10,
10299:14, 10301:23,
10302:22, 10304:18,
10305:14, 10306:5,
10306:6, 10307:1,
10307:11, 10311:13,
10312:1, 10312:5,
10316:7, 10326:10,
10326:20, 10327:14,
10327:16, 10339:11,
10339:23, 10339:25,
10341:13, 10343:8
Parker's - 10152:20,
10248:21, 10259:5,
10266:14, 10271:1,
10290:20, 10341:15
Part - 10352:10
part - 10197:4,
10206:19, 10214:8,
10222:9, 10233:23,
10238:18, 10261:18,
10269:10, 10274:2,
10275:14, 10288:3,
10289:6, 10312:17,
10337:21, 10343:24,
10361:8, 10378:17,
10379:1, 10379:4
participate - 10308:16
participating -
10361:17
particular - 10106:14,
10110:20, 10121:25,
10122:7, 10129:8,
10132:20, 10134:14,
10135:19, 10137:3,
10149:7, 10196:19,
10220:1, 10259:20,
10259:24, 10301:2,
10345:10, 10379:25
particularly - 10143:2,
10260:16, 10305:24,
10332:9, 10363:18
parties - 10258:13,
10258:20
partner - 10203:7,
10224:16
partnered - 10370:6
parts - 10252:5,
10269:16, 10292:9,
10295:16, 10314:10
party - 10380:12
pass - 10224:8
passed - 10252:8,
10296:8, 10310:13,
10310:17, 10345:19
passenger - 10206:5
Passet - 10205:24
passing - 10351:3
past - 10214:22,
10217:6
path - 10122:24
patients - 10377:11
patrol - 10329:13,
10329:14, 10341:11,
10375:23, 10376:15,
10376:21
patrolled - 10132:1
patrols - 10373:13
pattern - 10236:9
Paul's - 10165:7,
10178:8, 10178:18,
10179:21, 10184:16,
10185:1
pause - 10112:5,
10132:6, 10183:7,
10210:14, 10283:7
pay - 10229:4
Pd - 10126:9, 10128:16
Pearson - 10116:2,
10116:20, 10117:13,
10251:20, 10251:22,
10252:2, 10254:16,
10257:16, 10258:6,
10263:23, 10264:13,
10264:22, 10265:3,
10265:9, 10266:25,
10282:4
Pearson's - 10280:14
Peggy - 10106:24,
10107:10, 10108:8,
10108:11, 10109:5,
10109:11, 10109:22,
10109:24, 10110:3,
10110:16, 10116:10,
10116:16, 10116:21,
10117:3, 10118:3,
10118:8, 10118:9,
10118:10, 10118:23,
10125:22, 10126:22,
10127:9, 10148:14,
10148:17, 10148:23
pen - 10325:7
pending - 10268:9
Penkala - 10167:20,
10252:20
Penkala's - 10136:22,
10137:16
pension - 10329:2
People - 10229:16
people - 10124:11,
10124:13, 10139:9,
10139:17, 10141:16,
10142:6, 10161:3,
10162:20, 10192:24,
10194:19, 10197:11,
10203:23, 10204:3,
10204:12, 10205:5,
10208:7, 10210:25,
10211:4, 10211:18,
10212:10, 10212:17,
10212:20, 10213:8,
10230:6, 10230:7,
10230:10, 10230:11,
10260:22, 10301:3,
10301:8, 10325:6,
10346:10, 10354:8,
10355:10, 10355:17,
10358:20, 10374:12,
10374:25, 10376:11,
10376:21, 10378:12,
10380:12
per - 10174:18
perception - 10320:23,
10320:24
Perdue - 10109:8,
10180:12, 10373:20,
10373:22
Perhaps - 10111:21,
10127:21, 10258:24,
10262:10
perhaps - 10108:3,
10120:4, 10126:4,
10127:12, 10127:18,
10128:20, 10128:24,
10129:21, 10132:16,
10133:23, 10136:24,
10138:4, 10139:1,
10145:3, 10149:9,
10154:24, 10163:23,
10167:3, 10257:12,
10260:16, 10268:2,
10268:22, 10268:24,
10315:21, 10319:23,
10320:1
period - 10124:23,
10136:2, 10141:11,
10141:14, 10283:6,
10308:7, 10308:9,
10328:19, 10328:24
periodically - 10342:3
permanent - 10357:18
permission - 10186:20
permitted - 10342:5
person - 10108:21,
10109:1, 10109:3,
10109:6, 10109:9,
10115:10, 10121:3,
10122:18, 10143:4,
10143:14, 10147:14,
10148:16, 10151:10,
10155:21, 10156:1,
10172:17, 10185:21,
10193:14, 10198:13,
10198:14, 10198:21,
10201:7, 10205:15,
10206:22, 10211:12,
10212:19, 10214:21,
10214:23, 10214:24,
10221:4, 10222:4,
10223:4, 10224:1,
10248:17, 10250:7,
10268:9, 10270:6,
10282:13, 10282:24,
10284:4, 10298:4,
10310:8, 10310:13,
10311:20, 10311:21,
10314:5, 10314:6,
10320:7, 10341:5,
10341:8, 10341:11,
10352:21, 10352:22,
10358:6, 10371:19
personal - 10144:11,
10150:5, 10150:6,
10194:5, 10305:9,
10326:24
personally - 10108:7,
10109:1, 10169:16,
10178:3, 10227:3,
10248:25
persons - 10194:12,
10196:3, 10198:14,
10210:2, 10233:1,
10348:13, 10350:23
persons' - 10348:19
perspective -
10310:21, 10314:12,
10320:13, 10320:14,
10338:11
pertaining - 10317:11
phase - 10259:7
phone - 10179:6,
10201:2, 10252:6,
10351:15
phone' - 10179:10,
10179:11
phoned - 10114:21,
10252:19, 10351:16
phoning - 10180:3
photocopies -
10170:12
photograph - 10143:2,
10174:21, 10175:1,
10183:12
photographs -
10143:1, 10173:9,
10174:19, 10174:21,
10254:22
photos - 10174:23,
10289:17
phrase - 10147:13
physical - 10201:23,
10232:9, 10327:2
pick - 10106:8,
10234:15
picked - 10201:23,
10217:18
picking - 10133:18
picture - 10316:13
pictures - 10165:1,
10165:4, 10177:15,
10177:18
piece - 10134:14,
10201:23, 10376:9
pieces - 10188:3
place - 10113:22,
10127:12, 10128:20,
10131:20, 10135:24,
10149:12, 10166:14,
10168:12, 10173:2,
10175:4, 10175:13,
10189:22, 10197:5,
10200:24, 10200:25,
10202:7, 10210:9,
10237:21, 10240:14,
10285:14, 10289:19,
10297:21, 10320:20
place' - 10172:20
placed - 10191:11
plainclothes - 10142:5
plane - 10336:23
plate - 10165:5
platoon - 10250:4,
10335:3
play - 10118:25,
10119:10, 10167:12,
10182:25, 10231:17,
10231:21, 10261:9,
10268:17, 10335:19,
10353:25
played - 10351:6,
10354:18
Pleasant - 10206:1
pled - 10242:2
Pm - 10172:3,
10190:25, 10221:12,
10242:12, 10305:20,
10305:21, 10380:20
point - 10106:19,
10111:9, 10123:23,
10137:23, 10170:17,
10170:19, 10188:3,
10233:21, 10242:6,
10258:14, 10260:25,
10265:20, 10267:21,
10270:1, 10270:24,
10273:9, 10273:16,
10276:8, 10296:13,
10309:17, 10311:24,
10318:23, 10319:11,
10327:24
points - 10189:19,
10198:9, 10261:7
Police - 10104:8,
10145:9, 10145:16,
10151:19, 10153:17,
10191:19, 10231:14,
10243:23, 10270:25,
10306:8, 10306:17,
10308:4, 10308:14,
10328:7, 10328:16,
10330:3
police - 10108:14,
10109:25, 10112:7,
10112:9, 10112:17,
10113:4, 10113:8,
10114:16, 10116:12,
10117:15, 10144:9,
10149:16, 10150:11,
10153:4, 10153:11,
10154:5, 10154:14,
10160:18, 10162:8,
10162:24, 10165:10,
10169:17, 10169:19,
10170:14, 10170:23,
10188:10, 10191:24,
10192:5, 10192:11,
10192:16, 10205:19,
10206:17, 10207:15,
10209:7, 10215:11,
10215:23, 10219:17,
10223:20, 10224:10,
10231:9, 10243:4,
10244:7, 10249:24,
10251:3, 10260:9,
10262:15, 10280:24,
10305:6, 10305:8,
10306:16, 10311:2,
10311:16, 10311:17,
10311:19, 10311:23,
10320:9, 10323:2,
10329:19, 10329:20,
10330:10, 10332:12,
10332:17, 10337:4,
10355:13, 10356:20,
10358:17, 10360:2,
10360:19, 10361:4,
10361:12, 10361:21,
10365:10, 10365:12,
10375:25, 10376:10
police - 10130:7
policy - 10118:13,
10148:25, 10149:14,
10149:19, 10213:21,
10311:15, 10311:22
Pontiac - 10221:13,
10375:13
pooling - 10316:1
Porter - 10333:13
portion - 10108:4,
10120:8, 10122:2,
10122:6, 10122:20,
10124:5, 10124:7,
10137:18, 10140:19,
10142:21, 10142:24,
10143:4, 10191:5,
10234:2, 10272:9,
10274:16
portion' - 10182:1
portions - 10120:3,
10127:17, 10138:17,
10138:24, 10280:14
position - 10119:19,
10144:16, 10258:19,
10259:20, 10259:24,
10260:6, 10260:15,
10260:24, 10261:13,
10261:14, 10317:21,
10319:5, 10342:8
positive - 10129:13,
10135:13, 10142:11,
10180:19, 10288:10
Positive - 10118:24
positively - 10288:16
possession -
10109:13, 10109:18,
10191:12
possibility - 10107:22,
10116:21, 10288:13,
10288:14
possible - 10107:19,
10109:20, 10113:2,
10117:8, 10118:3,
10118:9, 10128:24,
10129:2, 10135:11,
10148:14, 10246:22,
10259:11, 10269:25,
10291:16, 10306:3,
10309:1, 10345:3
possibly - 10238:9,
10257:20, 10264:12
Possibly - 10267:14,
10270:14



post - 10242:14,
10330:17
post-conviction -
10242:14
post-retirement -
10330:17
potential - 10259:3,
10259:20
potentials - 10374:23
Powers - 10106:22
practice - 10118:17,
10118:18, 10168:13,
10189:1, 10195:2,
10205:11, 10212:24,
10213:16, 10223:18,
10363:7, 10363:8,
10363:25, 10364:6,
10364:8, 10364:16,
10364:20, 10365:5,
10367:12
preclude - 10263:12
Prehodchenko -
10103:14
prejudicial - 10260:17
prelim - 10171:1,
10232:19, 10280:13,
10287:3, 10297:5,
10297:7, 10298:14,
10300:15, 10312:11
preliminary -
10119:23, 10120:6,
10138:23, 10139:1,
10170:4, 10170:9,
10170:24, 10232:5,
10232:13, 10233:15,
10255:2, 10256:9,
10296:23, 10299:20,
10312:25
preparation -
10188:22, 10244:23
prepare - 10132:5,
10136:16, 10169:10,
10186:12, 10195:2,
10195:24, 10262:19,
10352:20, 10365:2
prepared - 10136:15,
10148:21, 10156:15,
10166:7, 10166:11,
10168:21, 10190:4,
10199:7, 10199:17,
10220:5, 10220:11,
10224:16, 10341:13,
10353:7, 10366:5,
10370:5, 10370:13
preparing - 10189:17,
10365:1
present - 10282:11,
10287:7, 10289:8
presented - 10309:7
presently - 10152:23
preserve - 10124:12,
10164:6
press - 10265:24
pressure - 10128:16
presumably - 10266:2
presume - 10154:8,
10164:4, 10218:22,
10220:9, 10247:20,
10267:11, 10301:11,
10340:3, 10349:19,
10359:11
presumed - 10204:10,
10205:1
pretty - 10184:20,
10246:25, 10247:2,
10319:8, 10321:3,
10337:13
preventing - 10199:24
previous - 10175:16,
10175:24, 10204:13,

10209:16, 10213:12,
10214:1, 10228:11,
10254:22, 10266:9,
10282:22, 10283:12,
10297:8, 10300:25,
10318:3
previously - 10110:19,
10111:15, 10118:16,
10132:14, 10138:1,
10153:3, 10211:16,
10248:15, 10250:11,
10303:2, 10318:23
primarily - 10232:8
primary - 10358:4
print - 10304:14
prints - 10173:1,
10296:10, 10296:18,
10304:8, 10320:14
prints' - 10174:8
prisoner - 10241:15,
10262:24, 10264:8
privacy - 10269:23
problem - 10267:20,
10269:20
problems - 10109:4,
10345:10, 10374:19
procedure - 10186:9
proceed - 10258:9
proceeded - 10172:18
proceeding - 10330:24
proceedings -
10120:4, 10170:18,
10233:19, 10266:10,
10297:11, 10362:17,
10362:21
Proceedings -
10102:12, 10102:23,
10105:1, 10106:1
process - 10165:20,
10218:15, 10250:2,
10250:8, 10364:18
progress - 10194:24
proper - 10342:11
propose - 10189:14,
10220:17
proprietor - 10106:22
prosecution -
10261:16
prosecutor -
10297:16, 10298:14,
10302:19, 10309:25,
10310:1, 10361:9,
10361:16, 10361:18,
10361:21
protect - 10269:23
protection - 10290:19
protectiveness -
10346:5
provide - 10116:16,
10118:4, 10213:17,
10228:19
provided - 10107:13,
10107:20, 10116:23,
10117:4, 10118:6,
10123:3, 10124:16,
10127:5, 10129:18,
10129:19, 10130:9,
10140:11, 10142:18,
10148:4, 10170:20,
10207:14, 10231:9,
10244:8, 10246:17,
10246:23, 10248:22,
10249:24, 10253:17,
10258:5, 10280:11,
10280:20, 10290:2,
10331:21, 10366:2,
10366:21
provides - 10357:9
providing - 10116:11,
10121:13, 10129:14,

10134:3, 10229:8,
10230:17
Province - 10381:3
provision - 10308:20
pry - 10326:24
public - 10258:15,
10266:7, 10266:9,
10266:13, 10267:11,
10268:18, 10268:20,
10268:21, 10269:1,
10269:19
publication -
10267:12, 10325:20
publicized - 10117:22
published - 10267:6
pull - 10312:16,
10314:21, 10315:5
Pulled - 10186:4,
10186:5
pulled - 10237:17
pulse - 10163:13,
10173:20, 10177:21
purpose - 10168:6,
10186:25, 10196:18,
10208:23, 10220:19,
10240:2, 10266:15,
10285:15, 10316:22,
10317:13, 10353:7,
10355:8, 10357:8,
10357:21, 10358:4,
10362:1, 10377:19
purposes - 10138:15,
10162:21, 10261:18,
10267:3, 10270:2,
10306:14, 10324:2,
10331:15, 10357:17,
10358:13
purse - 10216:4,
10218:13, 10218:16,
10218:20, 10224:24,
10224:25
pursue - 10119:1,
10231:17, 10269:7,
10270:23
pursued - 10356:4
pursuing - 10306:3,
10380:14
push - 10289:3
pushed - 10182:20,
10238:13, 10298:9,
10298:10
put - 10127:16,
10138:12, 10138:13,
10148:8, 10154:25,
10164:2, 10173:19,
10186:7, 10186:10,
10190:23, 10198:8,
10204:16, 10209:21,
10212:5, 10224:10,
10248:5, 10249:11,
10257:20, 10259:12,
10269:18, 10271:21,
10307:11, 10312:18,
10315:21, 10316:18,
10321:8, 10324:1,
10324:9, 10325:23,
10333:19, 10339:16,
10352:12, 10353:18,
10357:7, 10358:8,
10360:19, 10371:18,
10374:20, 10376:15,
10380:7, 10380:9
Put - 10353:9,
10366:25
puts - 10224:22,
10268:16
putting - 10134:1,
10134:16, 10134:25,
10258:14, 10362:4

Q

Qb - 10103:11
Qc - 10104:2, 10104:5,
10104:7, 10104:12
quandary - 10265:24
quarterbacking -
10350:23
Queen's - 10381:1,
10381:3, 10381:14,
10381:20
questioned -
10106:23, 10221:11,
10255:4, 10275:8,
10275:13, 10282:19,
10293:18, 10294:12,
10294:19, 10296:16
questioning - 10296:6,
10300:25, 10305:5,
10314:20, 10375:10
questions - 10143:20,
10144:19, 10144:21,
10145:24, 10152:6,
10152:7, 10170:1,
10226:23, 10263:11,
10265:7, 10277:18,
10301:5, 10305:13,
10306:5, 10307:1,
10307:9, 10307:24,
10312:2, 10313:9,
10314:14, 10314:22,
10317:25, 10325:16,
10327:11, 10336:6,
10367:18
quite - 10117:3,
10118:21, 10141:3,
10189:4, 10213:21,
10236:12, 10237:24,
10254:7, 10255:6,
10284:23, 10318:18,
10319:9, 10375:15
Quite - 10129:2,
10364:3

R

Radisson - 10102:16
Rae - 10173:18
raids - 10151:5
raise - 10258:10,
10258:20, 10259:14,
10264:20, 10327:4
raised - 10258:16,
10259:13, 10259:15,
10260:5, 10261:20,
10321:1
raising - 10321:9
rank - 10146:3,
10159:12, 10159:13,
10167:12, 10167:18,
10167:19, 10167:21,
10167:25, 10307:3,
10328:18, 10333:4
ranks - 10153:20
rape - 10143:25,
10151:12, 10151:17,
10241:1, 10241:17,
10304:21
raped - 10323:12
rapes - 10131:3,
10149:24, 10150:18,
10245:6, 10291:25,
10369:16
Rasmussen -
10106:17, 10356:12
rather - 10290:18,
10346:20
Ray - 10133:24,
10133:25, 10134:7,
10134:10, 10134:16,
10134:25
Ray's - 10178:9
Raymond - 10105:12,
10327:19, 10327:21
Rcmp - 10104:10,
10115:2, 10124:20,
10125:2, 10125:10,
10125:17, 10125:25,
10126:5, 10127:5,
10127:13, 10127:20,
10128:21, 10129:15,
10129:23, 10130:10,
10131:15, 10131:22,
10132:7, 10132:9,
10132:22, 10133:13,
10134:4, 10134:15,
10135:16, 10135:24,
10136:11, 10137:7,
10137:21, 10138:18,
10161:17, 10169:21,
10170:9, 10171:2,
10227:24, 10248:15,
10251:15, 10251:21,
10251:24, 10253:8,
10254:9, 10257:11,
10258:6, 10259:6,
10260:14, 10260:21,
10260:23, 10260:24,
10262:12, 10263:1,
10269:14, 10269:21,
10271:8, 10273:4,
10274:6, 10274:20,
10275:23, 10276:9,
10276:20, 10277:4,
10278:25, 10279:13,
10279:17, 10280:10,
10280:16, 10281:12,
10282:16, 10283:8,
10284:7, 10287:23,
10288:4, 10288:13,
10291:11, 10291:20,
10293:23, 10294:3,
10295:5, 10295:15,
10312:6, 10312:8,
10312:21, 10312:24,
10313:6, 10313:18,
10314:1, 10314:9,
10316:21, 10316:25,
10317:9, 10320:10,
10320:16, 10320:19,
10324:13, 10324:16,
10330:19, 10356:7,
10356:20, 10357:1
Rcmp's - 10312:19
re - 10186:20, 10326:8,
10326:20, 10327:11,
10375:11
re-exam - 10326:8,
10326:20, 10327:11
reach - 10175:7,
10261:7
reached - 10260:23,
10261:1
reaction - 10116:5
read - 10106:16,
10108:5, 10111:3,
10111:22, 10111:23,
10112:21, 10114:21,
10118:14, 10120:9,
10121:22, 10126:4,
10127:15, 10127:17,
10129:11, 10129:25,
10133:19, 10135:6,
10140:19, 10142:25,
10157:18, 10172:9,
10172:11, 10193:23,
10195:19, 10196:7,
10198:4, 10200:2,
10202:11, 10226:3,
10244:22, 10244:25,



10246:2, 10254:15,
10255:1, 10259:1,
10262:11, 10275:14,
10279:12, 10282:21,
10283:11, 10288:3,
10295:1, 10295:15,
10297:6, 10315:6,
10316:17, 10340:25,
10341:18, 10349:6,
10351:21, 10352:16,
10358:20, 10359:2,
10359:15, 10359:17,
10359:20, 10364:1,
10364:2, 10364:3,
10366:20, 10366:22,
10366:23, 10366:24,
10367:2, 10376:17
Read- 10128:14,
10131:25
reader - 10351:12
readily - 10295:24,
10296:6, 10296:16
reading - 10115:16,
10123:6, 10131:19,
10133:9, 10136:8,
10137:23, 10138:3,
10139:6, 10195:18,
10332:9, 10350:24,
10351:3, 10352:10,
10359:13, 10359:22
real - 10109:3,
10175:2, 10298:19,
10299:1
realize - 10115:22,
10128:18, 10317:12,
10325:2
realized - 10223:4
really - 10119:16,
10136:16, 10141:9,
10141:25, 10147:8,
10148:2, 10159:19,
10160:1, 10162:13,
10180:14, 10195:5,
10201:4, 10202:19,
10203:9, 10230:21,
10231:2, 10241:19,
10241:25, 10317:2,
10317:3, 10318:2,
10318:8, 10344:8
rear - 10236:13,
10236:24, 10375:15,
10375:16
reason - 10110:5,
10130:2, 10134:21,
10134:24, 10149:7,
10209:2, 10209:21,
10210:24, 10212:2,
10219:23, 10223:9,
10225:11, 10226:14,
10255:17, 10256:11,
10268:18, 10281:11,
10284:17, 10291:25,
10298:20, 10313:17,
10315:9, 10379:16,
10379:20
reasonable - 10361:4
recalled - 10108:13,
10133:25, 10253:19,
10281:7, 10282:10
receive - 10111:17,
10157:10, 10306:19
received - 10108:11,
10108:16, 10109:16,
10110:7, 10110:14,
10111:18, 10114:5,
10118:22, 10141:15,
10145:17, 10145:23,
10146:5, 10147:3,
10160:18, 10250:8,
10306:12, 10306:18,
10307:16, 10307:19,
10380:7
receiving - 10109:21,
10110:12, 10111:10,
10114:9, 10114:20,
10145:22, 10244:1,
10352:2
recently - 10112:2,
10202:11, 10244:23,
10270:9, 10301:19,
10326:21
recognize - 10137:10,
10247:24, 10261:19,
10356:14, 10356:17
recognized -
10136:19, 10330:8
recollection -
10109:24, 10111:4,
10111:10, 10114:6,
10114:8, 10115:11,
10121:15, 10124:17,
10128:5, 10128:11,
10129:3, 10130:16,
10130:21, 10131:7,
10131:10, 10134:6,
10135:18, 10138:2,
10138:6, 10138:11,
10139:15, 10140:13,
10142:19, 10143:18,
10146:24, 10147:1,
10147:10, 10148:5,
10150:3, 10190:8,
10194:6, 10202:17,
10207:17, 10207:19,
10225:9, 10226:24,
10233:11, 10235:17,
10238:20, 10244:1,
10274:1, 10276:9,
10296:7, 10296:11,
10296:17, 10297:13,
10302:22, 10303:9,
10303:11, 10304:3,
10312:20, 10313:10,
10344:17, 10354:22,
10371:2
recollections -
10313:11
recommendations -
10306:4
Reconvened- 10106:2,
10187:10, 10242:12,
10305:21
record - 10145:11,
10153:10, 10153:16,
10168:13, 10179:7,
10243:21, 10244:7,
10256:5, 10261:19,
10261:24, 10262:17,
10265:8, 10266:22,
10269:10, 10271:7,
10282:15, 10283:8,
10284:6, 10285:4,
10287:16, 10288:4,
10291:12, 10306:8,
10327:24, 10328:12,
10328:15, 10357:18,
10362:8, 10364:16,
10365:16, 10371:24
recorded - 10168:8,
10173:25, 10260:6,
10265:1, 10313:18,
10314:9, 10318:20,
10339:15, 10364:22,
10372:1
recording - 10238:19,
10239:12, 10240:8,
10253:7, 10254:8,
10276:10, 10292:7,
10293:22, 10312:19,
10314:2, 10364:21
records - 10156:17,
10157:10, 10159:10,
10196:1, 10199:8,
10220:6, 10331:18,
10361:21, 10368:24,
10380:6, 10380:8
recovering - 10218:16
rectal - 10253:1
rectum - 10176:18
red - 10181:23,
10201:15, 10206:6,
10206:23, 10206:24,
10207:11, 10209:10,
10214:20, 10214:23,
10214:24, 10219:8,
10221:5, 10221:8,
10223:2, 10370:24
redact - 10267:9,
10325:24
redacted - 10325:23,
10326:1
reddish - 10252:18,
10375:13
redirect - 10152:8
refer - 10106:11,
10358:1, 10372:15
Refer- 10324:12
reference - 10137:25,
10175:15, 10180:11,
10243:9, 10265:18,
10266:18, 10270:22,
10299:15, 10323:22,
10326:6, 10326:15,
10333:25, 10366:17
references - 10133:4,
10295:12
referred - 10107:15,
10115:8, 10117:5,
10209:12, 10216:23,
10221:4, 10245:13,
10290:17, 10291:3,
10307:15, 10310:4,
10310:23, 10321:22,
10326:10
referring - 10118:2,
10121:24, 10132:13,
10136:25, 10254:14,
10362:16
refers - 10175:21,
10190:12, 10258:4
reflect - 10265:2,
10366:7
reflected - 10255:18
reflects - 10239:13,
10285:4, 10332:17
refresh - 10148:5,
10202:12, 10280:11,
10362:6, 10362:13,
10362:20, 10363:3
refreshing - 10163:1,
10331:20, 10332:7
regard - 10261:10,
10376:18
regarding - 10214:19,
10223:5, 10243:7,
10251:16, 10289:25,
10314:20, 10321:9,
10348:23, 10377:10
Regarding- 10314:19
regards - 10156:6,
10156:8, 10157:20,
10170:1, 10209:1,
10227:18, 10247:13,
10249:20, 10251:13,
10261:8, 10278:1,
10318:9, 10319:21
Regina- 10108:21,
10114:17, 10115:2
registry - 10357:14
regrets - 10325:10
regular - 10146:2,
10146:19, 10146:20,
10147:15, 10147:16,
10203:7, 10346:18
regularly - 10359:17,
10359:20, 10359:22
Reid- 10105:3,
10106:4, 10106:6,
10107:9, 10108:5,
10109:22, 10110:18,
10111:4, 10111:14,
10111:24, 10113:25,
10114:1, 10115:16,
10118:3, 10118:25,
10119:22, 10120:9,
10120:23, 10121:13,
10121:20, 10123:4,
10124:15, 10124:19,
10126:3, 10126:7,
10126:11, 10126:25,
10127:13, 10127:18,
10127:23, 10128:2,
10128:15, 10129:12,
10130:1, 10130:5,
10130:10, 10130:24,
10131:14, 10131:19,
10132:2, 10132:6,
10132:17, 10133:3,
10133:7, 10133:11,
10133:19, 10134:4,
10134:18, 10135:17,
10136:6, 10137:4,
10137:19, 10137:22,
10138:1, 10138:19,
10139:6, 10139:13,
10140:11, 10140:19,
10142:17, 10143:18,
10143:21, 10144:4,
10144:17, 10144:19,
10145:8, 10152:5,
10152:9, 10162:2,
10162:7, 10163:7,
10165:8, 10166:23,
10167:4, 10172:3,
10184:5, 10190:3,
10190:7, 10199:2,
10214:6, 10214:7,
10214:11, 10215:2,
10215:21, 10333:6,
10333:11, 10346:21,
10350:22, 10370:12,
10370:22, 10373:4,
10373:5, 10373:11,
10373:13, 10377:6,
10378:4, 10378:16
Reid's- 10136:2,
10369:23
reiterated - 10287:5
related - 10216:1,
10242:20, 10269:16,
10279:18, 10290:13,
10291:13, 10331:7,
10337:11, 10371:3
relates - 10180:13,
10258:7, 10376:2
relating - 10125:17,
10127:11, 10130:18,
10131:14, 10154:4,
10188:4, 10197:12,
10297:2, 10331:12,
10331:19
relation - 10124:25,
10125:8, 10128:10,
10228:1, 10241:17,
10249:23, 10272:13,
10357:11
relative - 10110:10
relatively - 10260:7
relay - 10313:14
relayed - 10260:14,
10363:13
released - 10317:7,
10317:15, 10319:21
relevance - 10258:21,
10259:4, 10259:11,
10259:21, 10268:19,
10268:20
relevancy - 10258:14,
10325:19
relevant - 10260:16,
10260:17, 10261:9,
10264:12, 10266:19,
10266:23, 10267:1,
10267:3, 10269:9,
10278:1, 10278:4,
10284:22, 10286:6,
10299:21, 10299:24,
10300:6, 10309:11,
10313:15, 10319:17,
10326:6
reliable - 10229:10,
10229:12, 10229:18,
10229:19, 10229:23,
10229:25
relied - 10259:8
relief - 10328:18
reluctant - 10321:5
rely - 10157:8
remain - 10266:7
remark - 10268:8,
10317:22, 10321:23,
10322:4, 10323:4,
10323:18, 10323:22,
10324:21, 10325:4,
10325:5
remarks - 10267:22,
10267:24, 10322:21
remember - 10113:6,
10113:7, 10113:16,
10113:20, 10114:12,
10114:19, 10114:24,
10116:17, 10125:10,
10129:14, 10132:8,
10134:10, 10134:18,
10142:3, 10147:17,
10148:19, 10154:8,
10162:3, 10163:20,
10163:21, 10185:23,
10211:9, 10216:15,
10217:21, 10219:22,
10244:5, 10246:24,
10251:22, 10253:24,
10254:12, 10254:18,
10255:7, 10276:12,
10276:15, 10277:6,
10277:12, 10277:21,
10281:6, 10281:10,
10284:14, 10294:20,
10295:3, 10313:7,
10328:18, 10330:21,
10336:11, 10355:4,
10373:20, 10376:7,
10376:8, 10378:10,
10380:9
reminder - 10185:16
remove - 10269:18
reopening - 10259:7,
10261:20
repeat - 10175:22,
10310:11
replied - 10249:5
reply - 10324:23
report - 10106:11,
10106:12, 10108:1,
10110:6, 10110:8,
10111:3, 10125:22,
10128:14, 10130:15,
10131:13, 10133:9,
10133:10, 10135:25,
10137:18, 10137:20,



10138:8, 10142:13,
10148:9, 10154:11,
10154:15, 10156:15,
10166:5, 10166:6,
10166:7, 10166:10,
10166:15, 10168:11,
10168:22, 10169:3,
10169:5, 10169:10,
10184:24, 10186:12,
10186:14, 10187:14,
10187:21, 10187:23,
10189:17, 10189:20,
10189:25, 10190:5,
10190:23, 10191:7,
10191:16, 10192:14,
10195:3, 10195:14,
10195:18, 10195:24,
10196:12, 10196:16,
10196:18, 10197:21,
10199:16, 10201:12,
10201:22, 10205:19,
10206:17, 10207:9,
10209:8, 10210:21,
10212:3, 10214:5,
10214:9, 10215:23,
10220:4, 10220:6,
10220:7, 10220:12,
10220:19, 10221:1,
10223:9, 10223:10,
10223:20, 10224:10,
10224:15, 10244:13,
10244:14, 10244:16,
10244:23, 10246:13,
10246:15, 10246:17,
10246:24, 10247:11,
10248:3, 10248:9,
10248:16, 10248:21,
10248:23, 10249:3,
10249:16, 10251:13,
10252:1, 10252:2,
10256:5, 10258:3,
10261:2, 10261:3,
10262:19, 10262:25,
10269:14, 10269:16,
10273:4, 10280:14,
10291:11, 10293:15,
10293:17, 10293:18,
10294:1, 10294:10,
10294:12, 10307:3,
10307:8, 10307:10,
10307:15, 10307:20,
10310:22, 10314:23,
10315:13, 10316:5,
10316:19, 10319:6,
10319:16, 10321:11,
10332:14, 10333:21,
10334:1, 10334:7,
10334:18, 10341:12,
10341:14, 10351:20,
10351:22, 10352:17,
10352:20, 10352:25,
10353:7, 10353:8,
10353:12, 10354:12,
10354:14, 10357:13,
10358:13, 10359:2,
10367:20, 10368:5,
10369:22, 10369:23,
10370:5, 10370:8,
10370:13, 10370:21,
10371:18, 10371:25,
10372:19, 10373:7,
10373:11, 10373:12,
10375:21, 10377:5,
10378:3, 10379:3,
10380:2
report - 10345:17
reported - 10112:23,
10138:10, 10171:22,
10202:21, 10213:12,
10224:25, 10248:14,
10264:14, 10319:1,
10336:4, 10366:12,
10372:7, 10372:11
reporter - 10243:6
Reporters- 10381:14,
10381:20
Reporters- 10103:11,
10381:3
Reporters'- 10381:1
reporting - 10157:25,
10373:4
reports - 10106:10,
10106:13, 10108:3,
10125:16, 10134:15,
10148:12, 10148:13,
10148:21, 10156:7,
10156:10, 10156:12,
10156:23, 10157:1,
10157:7, 10157:17,
10162:14, 10162:24,
10165:14, 10168:25,
10189:2, 10192:20,
10197:4, 10198:4,
10199:6, 10199:21,
10215:19, 10255:18,
10258:5, 10269:21,
10279:12, 10280:24,
10315:17, 10340:25,
10344:18, 10347:22,
10349:7, 10351:7,
10354:7, 10354:14,
10355:18, 10357:5,
10357:7, 10358:20,
10359:15, 10360:2,
10360:19, 10361:4,
10361:12, 10365:1,
10365:6, 10365:24,
10366:5, 10366:6,
10366:13, 10366:15,
10367:16, 10370:10,
10372:25, 10378:7,
10380:3
represent - 10145:9
representation -
10261:18
representing -
10145:20, 10152:22,
10306:7, 10306:15
reputation - 10258:13
request - 10198:22,
10348:5
requested - 10116:15,
10252:25
require - 10336:21
required - 10338:25,
10350:25, 10352:11
reread - 10364:1
resembled - 10282:11
reside - 10152:23,
10328:2
resided - 10245:25
residence - 10109:10,
10125:3, 10132:2
resides - 10214:17
resource - 10160:13
resources - 10349:2
respect - 10127:9,
10135:4, 10148:25,
10149:24, 10150:17,
10231:3, 10264:1,
10307:10, 10309:11,
10342:2
respecting - 10125:22,
10130:10
respects - 10212:14
respond - 10295:23
response - 10264:25
responses - 10295:22
responsibilities -
10163:9, 10354:3
responsibility -
10119:14, 10134:25,
10193:21, 10292:2,
10341:6, 10347:16,
10350:13
responsible -
10137:22, 10149:4,
10155:21, 10156:1,
10188:16, 10193:15,
10194:12, 10194:13,
10243:24, 10338:22,
10340:14, 10340:25,
10341:18, 10342:14,
10342:25, 10348:1,
10351:21, 10374:13
Restaurant- 10106:23
result - 10122:12
results - 10297:1
retained - 10168:8
retired - 10126:9,
10149:18, 10153:7,
10170:13, 10329:13,
10329:17, 10329:25,
10330:1, 10330:15,
10365:9
Retired- 10104:15
retirement - 10330:17
retiring - 10328:8
retrieve - 10187:5
retrieved - 10169:22,
10169:24, 10170:22
returned - 10191:18
revealed - 10271:1
reverse - 10131:17,
10287:9, 10287:13,
10288:22, 10288:24
review - 10118:14,
10120:3, 10132:24,
10138:12, 10138:14,
10153:12, 10157:3,
10168:5, 10232:12,
10279:15, 10308:22,
10328:13, 10363:24,
10366:3, 10366:4,
10366:16, 10370:16
reviewed - 10118:11,
10132:4, 10132:21,
10133:12, 10162:22,
10195:23, 10251:18,
10326:17, 10331:17
reviewing - 10106:9,
10135:18, 10137:6,
10252:4, 10331:6,
10332:4, 10332:6,
10342:13
reviews - 10146:5
revisit - 10267:13,
10268:23
Richard- 10145:8,
10306:6
Rick- 10104:8,
10144:24
rid - 10126:14,
10205:17
Riddell- 10356:12
right-hand - 10204:17,
10204:18, 10205:17,
10205:18, 10212:6,
10214:5, 10315:3,
10360:3
road - 10143:5,
10272:9, 10274:16
roads - 10319:3
robbery - 10338:16,
10339:10, 10341:12,
10343:7, 10344:6
Roberts- 10119:7,
10231:13
Rochelle- 10104:10,
10312:5
role - 10118:25,
10119:10, 10134:11,
10144:4, 10144:10,
10155:9, 10231:17,
10231:21, 10304:24,
10305:8, 10335:19,
10335:25, 10341:24,
10342:2, 10347:16,
10351:5, 10352:7,
10353:25, 10354:18,
10355:4, 10361:11,
10361:15, 10378:14,
10378:18
roles - 10354:2
roll - 10305:16
roll-call - 10305:16
rolled - 10253:5
Ron- 10119:5,
10227:6, 10287:24,
10288:19
Ronald- 10203:15
room - 10367:23
roughly - 10113:18
round - 10189:8
route - 10206:1
routine - 10334:9
row - 10339:19
Roy- 10211:16
Rpr- 10103:12,
10381:2, 10381:18,
10381:19
ruling - 10266:12,
10266:16
run - 10337:13
running - 10192:15,
10335:13, 10371:11
Russell- 10306:7
ruts - 10234:19,
10234:22, 10235:5,
10239:18, 10274:17,
10277:19, 10277:21,
10281:23, 10287:6,
10288:5, 10289:7,
10289:15, 10296:10,
10303:2, 10303:14,
10312:13, 10312:15

S

Sabo - 10306:7
safe - 10170:10
said' - 10302:2
sake - 10132:24
samples - 10116:16
Sandra - 10103:5
Sargent - 10351:16
Sask - 10106:18,
10106:21, 10108:10,
10108:18, 10222:13,
10379:8
Saskatchewan -
10102:17, 10104:4,
10144:1, 10304:22,
10328:2, 10381:4
Saskatoon - 10102:17,
10104:8, 10114:24,
10119:8, 10126:7,
10126:8, 10145:9,
10145:16, 10151:19,
10152:24, 10153:3,
10153:16, 10154:5,
10231:14, 10240:14,
10241:2, 10242:4,
10243:22, 10270:14,
10270:25, 10306:8,
10306:16, 10308:3,
10308:14, 10328:7,
10328:15, 10330:1,
10330:3
satisfied - 10366:12
saw - 10122:7,
10182:9, 10184:5,
10208:15, 10209:1,
10211:1, 10211:7,
10211:8, 10234:21,
10235:12, 10238:12,
10248:12, 10252:17,
10257:22, 10262:15,
10263:14, 10263:17,
10278:22, 10283:1,
10285:16, 10298:18,
10300:2, 10300:3,
10300:4, 10315:23,
10316:7, 10316:8,
10320:14, 10323:23,
10324:23, 10337:14
Sawayze - 10211:16
scared - 10118:1
scene - 10120:13,
10124:13, 10139:12,
10143:12, 10162:8,
10163:7, 10163:10,
10164:6, 10164:25,
10165:9, 10166:24,
10167:10, 10167:20,
10168:18, 10175:6,
10177:6, 10181:19,
10187:1, 10238:6,
10249:1, 10249:4,
10252:10, 10285:24,
10297:17
scene' - 10177:4
school - 10226:5
school' - 10226:4
Schulman - 10327:25
scream - 10141:17,
10142:13
screamed - 10208:4
screaming - 10213:7
screen - 10217:7,
10217:8, 10271:22
Scroll - 10375:18
scroll - 10172:6,
10174:2, 10176:12,
10181:16, 10181:22,
10186:6, 10186:18,
10203:12, 10207:22,
10208:11, 10211:15,
10219:3, 10225:18,
10239:25, 10245:8,
10245:9, 10273:8,
10280:16, 10281:17,
10289:20, 10291:22,
10292:24, 10295:10,
10368:12, 10371:6,
10373:14
scrolling - 10237:22
scrutiny - 10258:16
scuffle - 10172:19,
10173:2, 10175:3,
10175:12, 10175:13,
10189:21, 10232:25,
10237:20, 10238:1,
10254:1, 10285:13,
10297:20
search - 10181:17,
10218:19, 10227:16,
10227:24, 10291:21,
10302:11, 10302:12
searching - 10367:23
seated - 10152:21
second - 10106:15,
10110:12, 10110:23,
10127:21, 10243:8,
10279:22
secondly - 10259:7,
10362:12
seconds - 10265:17
secure - 10164:5
Security - 10103:13



sedan - 10221:13
see - 10108:23,
10120:14, 10120:17,
10120:20, 10121:2,
10122:22, 10122:25,
10124:2, 10124:4,
10133:4, 10136:18,
10141:19, 10149:10,
10153:19, 10154:23,
10155:4, 10156:18,
10157:11, 10159:15,
10165:25, 10166:5,
10171:1, 10171:5,
10172:15, 10172:17,
10175:1, 10175:17,
10176:13, 10181:5,
10181:13, 10182:1,
10183:12, 10187:15,
10187:17, 10188:7,
10195:15, 10200:2,
10200:19, 10201:16,
10204:12, 10204:19,
10204:20, 10206:12,
10206:13, 10206:24,
10206:25, 10208:1,
10208:25, 10210:25,
10213:1, 10215:5,
10218:5, 10218:12,
10219:1, 10233:7,
10234:9, 10234:21,
10235:2, 10237:4,
10237:13, 10237:16,
10240:3, 10246:9,
10247:1, 10247:2,
10247:14, 10247:15,
10249:14, 10252:22,
10254:22, 10259:20,
10266:2, 10271:20,
10283:12, 10289:16,
10289:17, 10291:13,
10292:9, 10294:7,
10294:14, 10296:10,
10298:23, 10298:25,
10299:5, 10299:8,
10299:11, 10300:18,
10315:2, 10316:3,
10319:1, 10321:6,
10321:15, 10321:17,
10330:10, 10332:23,
10342:4, 10350:1,
10350:3, 10354:8,
10357:8, 10359:3,
10359:8, 10369:24,
10372:20, 10375:19,
10377:21, 10378:1,
10380:2
seeing - 10208:6,
10246:16, 10253:19,
10255:7, 10270:18,
10283:20, 10289:10,
10371:10
seek - 10151:4,
10151:7
seeking - 10243:6
seem - 10134:16,
10149:8, 10189:15,
10230:22, 10249:6,
10317:18
sees - 10252:13
seized - 10201:23
semi - 10146:20,
10147:16
semi-regular -
10146:20, 10147:16
send - 10142:7,
10164:7, 10195:25,
10201:2
sending - 10193:1
senior - 10161:20,
10167:15, 10167:17,
10194:19, 10250:7,
10333:3
seniority - 10167:12
sense - 10259:21,
10287:14, 10320:2,
10322:20
sent - 10142:2,
10142:4, 10196:12,
10357:15, 10358:11,
10361:16, 10361:21,
10361:23, 10370:16
September - 10179:5
Serge - 10104:7
sergeant - 10146:4,
10153:21, 10154:1,
10156:16, 10156:20,
10157:3, 10158:14,
10159:8, 10159:14,
10172:14, 10195:17,
10199:1, 10244:5,
10244:10, 10250:13,
10250:21, 10316:10,
10316:15, 10329:6,
10329:10, 10329:13,
10333:3, 10335:15,
10336:17, 10338:21,
10339:2, 10340:7,
10341:25, 10342:7,
10342:19, 10342:23,
10343:10, 10343:11,
10348:8, 10378:15,
10378:19
Sergeant - 10116:2,
10116:20, 10117:13,
10136:5, 10162:2,
10163:6, 10184:5,
10188:16, 10190:3,
10193:23, 10199:1,
10199:2, 10205:24,
10214:12, 10243:11,
10247:15, 10251:20,
10251:22, 10252:2,
10257:16, 10258:6,
10263:23, 10264:13,
10264:22, 10265:3,
10265:9, 10266:25,
10280:14, 10333:6,
10333:13, 10333:17,
10350:22, 10351:1,
10351:6, 10353:3,
10359:25, 10367:23,
10368:2, 10369:23,
10372:20, 10373:4,
10377:6, 10378:4,
10378:15
sergeants - 10155:8,
10155:9, 10156:5,
10157:7, 10157:14,
10158:1, 10158:4,
10158:13, 10158:16,
10159:12, 10167:4,
10193:19, 10332:24,
10342:21, 10343:14,
10343:16, 10346:21,
10346:24, 10347:6
series - 10174:22
serious - 10327:10
seriously - 10129:10
seriousness -
10378:24
Service - 10104:8,
10145:9, 10145:17,
10231:14, 10306:8,
10308:14, 10328:8
service - 10149:16,
10150:12, 10153:10,
10153:11, 10153:16,
10167:18, 10244:7,
10328:11, 10328:15,
10332:18, 10333:4,
10365:12
services - 10252:19
servicing - 10245:17
set - 10110:6,
10127:11, 10153:15,
10328:14, 10339:24,
10342:22, 10344:3,
10360:14, 10361:4,
10361:5, 10374:23
sets - 10246:11
seven - 10162:4,
10232:21
Seventy - 10153:2
several - 10208:2,
10270:18, 10313:9
severe - 10322:25
Sex - 10368:15
sex - 10368:19,
10369:8, 10369:15
sexual - 10150:12,
10150:17, 10240:13,
10240:22, 10241:8,
10242:3, 10344:5,
10369:4, 10369:9,
10369:10
Sgt - 10132:1, 10282:4
shack - 10252:14
shaking - 10240:18
share - 10261:14,
10262:14, 10355:17
shared - 10288:12,
10355:22
shift - 10158:25,
10248:18, 10248:24,
10335:3, 10343:3,
10353:11, 10376:17
shifts - 10342:24
shocked - 10282:21,
10283:11
shoe - 10173:23,
10185:4, 10185:5,
10185:6
shoe - 10181:18,
10184:22
shoeless - 10283:5
shoes - 10319:24
short - 10120:3,
10128:18, 10305:5,
10328:19, 10328:24
Short - 10158:2,
10158:9, 10158:14,
10158:15, 10193:18,
10194:14, 10205:23,
10334:15, 10334:24,
10335:2, 10335:9,
10337:24, 10354:14,
10354:19, 10379:6,
10379:11
shorthand - 10381:5
shortly - 10147:12,
10173:11, 10180:25
Shorty - 10147:6,
10227:13, 10227:14,
10227:20, 10228:11,
10230:7, 10230:10,
10231:5, 10231:8,
10289:24, 10290:16,
10290:21
Shorty - 10291:5
shots - 10340:22
shout - 10141:17,
10142:14
show - 10125:15,
10131:14, 10137:19,
10138:18, 10138:24,
10142:21, 10162:15,
10162:23, 10173:9,
10182:23, 10192:15
showed - 10179:10,
10207:9, 10209:7,
10225:20, 10232:2
showing - 10211:9,
10219:9
shown - 10126:17,
10132:7, 10132:10,
10133:2, 10136:12,
10169:22, 10170:20,
10220:14, 10258:15
Si - 10104:5
sic - 10136:2,
10369:22
sick - 10326:23
side - 10133:5,
10154:25, 10204:17,
10204:18, 10205:17,
10205:19, 10212:6,
10212:7, 10214:5,
10216:18, 10236:6,
10252:14, 10253:25,
10254:12, 10255:15,
10272:5, 10272:6,
10272:10, 10272:11,
10272:15, 10272:17,
10365:24
Sidney - 10175:17,
10175:25
sign - 10118:10,
10118:15, 10123:18,
10123:25, 10124:4,
10253:25, 10299:8
signature - 10110:24,
10113:25, 10115:23,
10116:17, 10118:8,
10126:20, 10127:3,
10171:11, 10201:12,
10367:9, 10375:6,
10380:5
significance -
10181:2, 10185:15,
10185:18, 10210:2,
10234:7, 10234:10,
10234:13, 10239:6,
10239:21, 10240:5,
10242:19, 10256:6,
10256:13, 10260:11,
10275:17, 10283:3,
10287:10
significant - 10121:2,
10147:23, 10148:3,
10192:5, 10226:25,
10239:16, 10282:2,
10318:21, 10318:25,
10358:13
signs - 10232:25,
10233:6, 10286:24,
10296:3, 10302:25,
10303:12, 10303:16
Similar - 10127:8
similar - 10135:14,
10135:21, 10136:21,
10212:13, 10230:6,
10246:12, 10344:14,
10370:5
similarly - 10197:8
simple - 10298:19
simply - 10197:21,
10246:24, 10258:20,
10259:1, 10265:1,
10265:20, 10271:10,
10298:4, 10314:3,
10371:18
Sinclair - 10300:1
sister - 10106:24,
10108:12, 10108:16,
10108:23, 10148:24,
10221:17, 10221:22
Sit - 10161:20
sit - 10186:20
sitting - 10102:15,
10313:5
situation - 10140:8,
10233:7, 10379:19
six - 10153:25,
10197:10, 10197:11,
10197:15
size - 10226:6,
10298:9
sketch - 10121:24,
10136:12, 10136:18
ski - 10206:24,
10214:23, 10219:8,
10221:8, 10223:3
skill - 10381:6
skip - 10235:9
slow - 10363:21
smart - 10262:22,
10322:1, 10322:3,
10322:22, 10323:9,
10325:14
smart-aleck -
10262:22
smooth - 10253:24,
10254:12, 10255:23,
10286:24, 10287:18,
10287:25, 10288:2,
10288:20, 10289:1,
10313:24, 10313:25
snow - 10122:11,
10123:19, 10139:24,
10140:1, 10140:9,
10173:14, 10174:3,
10181:24, 10182:4,
10182:5, 10182:6,
10182:8, 10182:14,
10182:18, 10182:21,
10185:6, 10189:23,
10191:9, 10201:19,
10233:2, 10236:8,
10237:1, 10252:22,
10253:20, 10270:19,
10273:18, 10274:12,
10274:22, 10289:11,
10298:1, 10298:6,
10299:2, 10299:5
snowbank - 10182:16
sock - 10282:13
softer - 10299:4
sold - 10330:1
solve - 10128:17
solved - 10315:25
Someone - 10348:23,
10349:2, 10349:6,
10350:1
someone - 10127:16,
10155:23, 10165:10,
10170:11, 10172:25,
10184:1, 10185:21,
10187:25, 10188:11,
10192:24, 10193:4,
10193:6, 10193:7,
10193:11, 10194:12,
10195:8, 10196:7,
10198:3, 10198:4,
10202:3, 10205:12,
10208:4, 10209:12,
10210:21, 10212:18,
10216:10, 10218:12,
10223:24, 10243:24,
10250:8, 10250:10,
10252:13, 10277:14,
10279:6, 10300:5,
10318:12, 10336:18,
10336:25, 10337:23,
10340:8, 10341:5,
10348:13, 10348:18,
10349:11, 10349:24,
10350:2, 10352:23,
10354:13, 10358:5,
10371:12, 10373:1,
10377:9, 10378:8



someplace - 10170:10, 10180:5, 10271:24
sometime - 10126:13, 10141:7, 10252:10, 10292:4, 10294:1, 10333:23, 10333:24
sometimes - 10197:23, 10203:11, 10229:6, 10340:17
Sometimes - 10203:10
somewhat - 10222:2
somewhere - 10272:16, 10274:21, 10336:23, 10336:24, 10339:13, 10340:4, 10348:4, 10360:24
son - 10367:24, 10373:23
sorry - 10136:6, 10151:1, 10175:24, 10182:13, 10217:5, 10225:24, 10229:22, 10242:25, 10245:8, 10245:10, 10251:12, 10254:20, 10265:7, 10300:14, 10326:12, 10357:12, 10364:23, 10373:10, 10377:5
sort - 10139:25, 10140:2, 10140:7, 10172:10, 10215:3, 10284:14, 10284:15, 10285:11, 10298:20, 10299:2, 10313:6, 10313:11, 10320:4, 10325:14, 10330:6, 10335:2, 10342:16, 10351:4, 10355:23, 10358:5, 10360:9, 10363:22, 10378:18
sorted - 10339:20
sorts - 10211:18
sound - 10114:1, 10141:1, 10162:18, 10188:18, 10279:24, 10280:6, 10318:17, 10356:12, 10376:5
sounds - 10197:3, 10289:9
source - 10111:9
sources - 10290:19
south - 10123:22, 10123:23, 10217:14, 10218:4, 10235:2, 10235:24, 10236:19, 10236:25, 10253:14, 10283:24
South - 10172:18, 10181:13, 10181:25, 10203:18, 10206:21, 10208:12, 10214:17, 10222:11, 10222:12, 10236:14, 10236:25, 10315:12
South' - 10181:18
south' - 10172:19
southerly - 10236:15, 10236:16
southwest - 10204:23, 10204:24
specific - 10148:24, 10149:14, 10149:19, 10292:5, 10307:10, 10354:17
specifically - 10146:10, 10168:5, 10194:3, 10242:17, 10288:6, 10308:5, 10332:6, 10352:5
specifics - 10240:15, 10355:19
speculated - 10137:15
speed - 10315:6
Spence - 10180:11, 10180:17, 10195:9, 10195:11, 10197:1, 10197:10, 10197:13, 10198:6, 10200:1, 10200:12, 10350:2, 10373:16, 10373:17, 10373:23, 10373:25
spend - 10158:15
spending - 10337:11
splats - 10233:2
split - 10343:1
spoken - 10145:12, 10306:9
spot - 10187:6, 10237:18, 10238:15, 10238:16, 10239:9, 10242:9, 10380:16
spots - 10123:12, 10189:22
spring - 10141:8
square - 10283:25, 10284:11, 10284:14, 10284:20, 10299:16, 10312:13
square-heeled - 10284:14, 10299:16
square-type - 10284:11, 10284:20
squares - 10304:9
squealing - 10291:6
St - 10165:7, 10178:8, 10178:18, 10179:20, 10184:15, 10184:25, 10371:9, 10373:14
Staff - 10103:1, 10103:9, 10243:11
staff - 10159:14, 10269:17, 10326:17, 10329:13
stages - 10260:20
stake' - 10186:20
Stakeout - 10186:21, 10186:22
staking - 10186:25
stand - 10307:13
standard - 10244:15, 10363:25, 10374:22
star - 10185:15
start - 10126:5, 10127:21, 10131:18, 10139:5, 10140:18, 10165:24, 10166:1, 10183:6, 10193:16, 10194:14, 10280:3, 10297:5, 10346:18
started - 10160:18, 10195:20, 10214:13, 10245:6, 10257:7, 10278:21, 10313:12, 10330:2, 10370:2
Starts - 10172:9
state - 10245:5, 10337:7
statement - 10108:10, 10110:2, 10110:15, 10110:19, 10110:21, 10111:2, 10111:5, 10112:6, 10112:22, 10112:24, 10113:24, 10114:4, 10114:18, 10114:22, 10115:5, 10115:22, 10115:25, 10116:14, 10116:24, 10117:18, 10118:3, 10118:5, 10118:10, 10118:12, 10118:13, 10118:17, 10118:22, 10126:19, 10126:19, 10126:25, 10127:9, 10147:24, 10148:4, 10168:2, 10195:11, 10241:14, 10242:18, 10246:5, 10246:9, 10246:11, 10246:20, 10246:24, 10248:9, 10249:3, 10250:9, 10253:12, 10257:10, 10293:15, 10306:1, 10350:2, 10362:2, 10363:25, 10364:9, 10364:13, 10364:16, 10364:19, 10371:17, 10371:19, 10371:24, 10372:2
statements - 10135:8, 10241:10, 10259:6, 10360:23, 10361:5, 10361:13, 10361:24, 10362:20, 10363:5, 10363:10, 10367:5, 10367:8, 10367:10
states - 10112:4, 10127:22, 10129:11, 10139:6, 10214:21, 10222:16, 10252:15, 10252:24, 10253:23, 10254:3, 10270:17, 10293:17, 10294:10
stating - 10117:14
station - 10109:25, 10112:10, 10154:5, 10164:7, 10165:10, 10169:17, 10169:19, 10170:14, 10170:23, 10178:2, 10191:24, 10201:5, 10243:23, 10355:14
Station - 10191:19
status - 10146:11
stay - 10329:18, 10329:19, 10330:10
stays - 10185:22
steady - 10108:19
step - 10140:1, 10177:1, 10197:9, 10283:19
stepping - 10130:15
steps - 10157:22, 10162:17, 10164:5, 10178:23, 10196:8, 10198:10, 10198:18, 10210:16, 10249:23, 10269:25, 10309:16, 10341:2, 10342:19, 10354:9, 10379:12
stick - 10359:18
stiff - 10252:24
still - 10109:12, 10115:7, 10136:10, 10174:4, 10191:12, 10252:11, 10263:13, 10263:16, 10279:7, 10308:21, 10311:5
stocking - 10254:6, 10255:8, 10255:11, 10256:15, 10256:19, 10256:23, 10257:2, 10257:18, 10257:22, 10270:13, 10270:16, 10277:15, 10282:25, 10284:5, 10320:5, 10320:7, 10320:12
stocking' - 10283:21
stockings - 10208:3
stop - 10140:18, 10202:15, 10204:1, 10204:2, 10204:22, 10206:20, 10207:24, 10209:2, 10210:10, 10210:12, 10214:3, 10214:4, 10215:12, 10219:12, 10222:6, 10223:12, 10270:14, 10301:1, 10309:17, 10314:21, 10370:25, 10371:4
stops - 10162:20
storage - 10169:19
store - 10202:1
stories - 10213:22
story - 10268:22, 10330:14, 10362:4, 10363:16, 10364:15, 10364:17
straddled - 10344:6
strain - 10322:18
Street - 10180:1, 10204:20, 10204:21, 10206:4, 10206:5, 10206:20, 10207:23, 10207:25, 10211:23, 10214:19, 10215:13, 10216:18, 10216:23, 10217:14, 10218:4, 10218:12, 10221:16, 10221:19, 10221:25, 10222:16, 10378:6
street - 10342:9, 10349:20, 10349:21, 10351:1, 10376:3
streets - 10217:10
stress - 10322:18
strike - 10320:1
striking - 10318:18
Strong - 10211:17
structure - 10332:17, 10338:11
stuck - 10122:18, 10122:23, 10234:18, 10239:10, 10253:14, 10253:18, 10253:23, 10256:17, 10273:18, 10273:23, 10274:7, 10274:12, 10274:14, 10275:19, 10275:25, 10276:6, 10276:24, 10278:14, 10278:20, 10279:4, 10279:7, 10279:8, 10281:21, 10282:7, 10282:9, 10286:25, 10287:7, 10288:5, 10289:7, 10289:12, 10289:13, 10289:15, 10296:4, 10299:17, 10300:4, 10302:25, 10303:6, 10303:7, 10303:13, 10303:14, 10303:17, 10303:22, 10312:14, 10317:22
stuck' - 10276:3, 10277:20
stuff - 10160:22, 10164:18, 10275:7, 10286:9, 10313:9, 10313:12, 10325:15
Stunned - 10116:7
subject - 10267:5, 10273:11, 10295:12
submissions - 10258:24, 10264:1, 10295:13
submit - 10339:5, 10375:21
submitted - 10311:11, 10318:3
subsequent - 10162:11, 10308:17
sudden - 10197:25
suffering - 10327:7
suggest - 10146:22, 10157:19, 10159:10, 10215:19, 10261:9, 10271:5, 10311:19, 10321:9, 10323:14
suggested - 10131:5, 10184:2, 10243:23, 10323:12
suggestion - 10268:15
suggests - 10294:16
suite - 10245:22
summaries - 10368:25
summarized - 10111:2
Summary - 10132:18
summary - 10128:19, 10132:4, 10133:21, 10136:11, 10136:13, 10137:1, 10280:13
superintendent - 10158:20, 10334:23
Superintendent - 10178:3, 10192:14, 10336:3, 10350:10
superior - 10334:16
supervise - 10343:12
supervisor - 10334:16
supervisors - 10155:10, 10155:11
support - 10149:20
Support - 10103:9
suppose - 10200:10, 10350:25, 10364:10, 10371:20
supposed - 10114:22, 10191:1, 10250:13
Supt - 10191:20, 10377:17, 10377:24
sure' - 10277:17
surfaced - 10111:8
surprised - 10283:15, 10287:4
surrounding - 10175:11
suspect - 10113:4, 10119:1, 10160:8, 10209:5, 10211:2, 10223:15, 10223:18, 10224:1, 10224:8, 10224:18, 10231:18, 10344:8, 10344:12, 10344:14, 10344:22, 10352:22, 10352:23, 10353:12, 10367:18, 10374:6, 10374:7, 10374:9, 10374:21, 10377:24
suspected - 10224:7
suspects - 10113:8, 10337:3, 10344:12, 10356:3, 10368:19, 10374:12, 10374:16, 10376:19, 10377:12
suspicious - 10222:2
Swan - 10126:7
sweater - 10181:23, 10182:3, 10182:10, 10182:18, 10182:24, 10183:22, 10185:13, 10185:23, 10186:8, 10187:5, 10191:6, 10201:16, 10225:19, 10225:21, 10225:22, 10225:25, 10226:7, 10226:12, 10272:12, 10281:2, 10281:7, 10298:1



sweater - 10225:23
Swift - 10108:19, 10108:22, 10108:25
sworn - 10152:14, 10327:21
Sworn - 10105:6
Syd - 10351:16
system - 10197:5, 10341:14, 10353:13, 10353:14

T

tag - 10178:16, 10253:6
talks - 10107:12, 10114:5, 10114:10, 10130:13, 10160:22, 10175:18, 10176:1, 10179:3, 10181:10, 10186:6, 10186:15, 10186:18, 10190:18, 10201:20, 10205:21, 10214:7, 10221:1, 10243:5, 10245:3, 10270:7, 10290:8, 10292:25, 10311:16, 10315:10, 10367:22, 10369:25, 10370:21, 10370:22, 10370:24, 10371:9, 10377:6
Tallis - 10104:14, 10120:24, 10121:22, 10233:14, 10235:20, 10239:2, 10239:19, 10239:25, 10255:3, 10256:8, 10275:9, 10275:13, 10284:18, 10284:25
tapes - 10189:9
task - 10133:25, 10193:1, 10197:21, 10199:25, 10348:10, 10351:2, 10352:1, 10368:3, 10378:18
tasks - 10337:9, 10341:4, 10357:9
taxi - 10372:25, 10373:3
Taylor - 10377:23, 10377:24
Tdr - 10104:5
Technician - 10103:14
technique - 10161:20
telephone - 10243:5, 10270:6
temp - 10176:1
Temperance - 10180:1
temperature - 10165:4, 10174:11, 10253:1, 10253:3, 10320:8
temperatures - 10176:14, 10190:18
temporarily - 10339:6
ten - 10193:8
tended - 10320:22
term - 10198:5, 10334:19, 10351:12
terms - 10118:17, 10129:4, 10129:7, 10132:3, 10315:19, 10317:8, 10324:23
territory - 10144:15
Terry - 10173:18
testified - 10111:15, 10119:22, 10278:11, 10296:23, 10297:10, 10312:10, 10331:1, 10333:6, 10362:24

testify - 10232:4
testifying - 10138:21, 10162:21, 10331:15
testimony - 10111:17, 10111:19, 10112:1, 10120:4, 10120:5, 10121:18, 10138:25, 10140:16, 10145:12, 10264:23, 10274:2, 10282:22, 10296:5, 10312:7
Testimony - 10102:14
That'd - 10298:8
that'd - 10298:9
them' - 10375:1
themselves - 10170:19, 10364:3
theories - 10356:3
theory/position/ evidence - 10278:13
there' - 10181:13, 10323:16
thereabouts - 10330:24
therefore - 10287:9
think' - 10337:25
thinking - 10257:7, 10277:5, 10277:14, 10313:12
thinks - 10208:5, 10248:19, 10290:11
this' - 10198:2
Thor - 10178:13, 10178:14
thorough - 10144:14
three - 10195:12, 10242:3, 10317:17, 10317:18, 10317:23, 10318:6, 10318:15, 10318:19, 10320:22, 10320:25, 10321:9, 10331:4, 10379:20
throughout - 10135:10, 10283:5, 10363:7, 10376:10
thrown - 10143:11, 10191:9, 10298:4
Thursday - 10109:19
tie - 10237:4
time' - 10169:10
timing - 10364:6
tire - 10239:5, 10239:20, 10279:3, 10281:18, 10281:20, 10281:22, 10285:5, 10285:10, 10285:19, 10285:21, 10296:1, 10298:16
tires - 10286:24, 10287:18, 10287:25, 10288:2, 10288:20, 10289:1, 10313:24, 10313:25
today - 10127:8, 10134:6, 10147:7, 10163:1, 10266:5, 10268:24, 10270:17, 10302:22, 10303:9, 10303:22, 10306:9, 10306:23, 10312:7, 10364:12
Today - 10304:3
today's - 10267:3
together - 10134:1, 10134:17, 10135:1, 10197:25, 10198:8, 10212:11, 10315:6, 10315:21, 10333:19
Tomorrow - 10380:19
Tony - 10206:20,

10207:19, 10211:8, 10219:5, 10219:24, 10221:11, 10222:4, 10223:3
took - 10113:22, 10127:12, 10128:20, 10131:20, 10135:16, 10135:24, 10165:1, 10165:6, 10170:24, 10172:20, 10173:2, 10175:12, 10175:13, 10177:15, 10178:1, 10179:20, 10184:25, 10195:11, 10202:7, 10253:2, 10264:7, 10287:10, 10289:17, 10315:7, 10315:22, 10361:25, 10363:9, 10367:6, 10367:10, 10374:17
top - 10115:15, 10126:5, 10130:4, 10130:11, 10130:12, 10132:18, 10135:10, 10171:19, 10173:17, 10182:21, 10187:14, 10204:21, 10206:10, 10206:19, 10211:7, 10214:8, 10247:14, 10309:14, 10309:17, 10309:20, 10312:17, 10360:3, 10375:14, 10379:4
topic - 10316:20
touch - 10154:11, 10158:25, 10159:4, 10160:7, 10165:23, 10217:8, 10224:4, 10267:22, 10314:18, 10329:18, 10329:19, 10330:10
touched - 10130:23, 10134:7
touching - 10143:8, 10154:3
tough - 10127:15
toward - 10283:23
towards - 10134:1, 10134:17, 10135:1, 10264:7, 10267:25, 10320:25, 10321:4
town' - 10180:12
track - 10188:18, 10189:15, 10202:2, 10302:14, 10336:5, 10339:2
tracks - 10234:6, 10234:9, 10235:20, 10235:23, 10239:16, 10252:22, 10253:21, 10253:24, 10254:5, 10254:6, 10254:12, 10254:14, 10254:18, 10254:20, 10255:5, 10255:11, 10255:22, 10255:23, 10256:3, 10257:6, 10257:13, 10257:15, 10270:9, 10270:15, 10270:18, 10270:20, 10270:23, 10271:5, 10271:22, 10274:8, 10275:2, 10276:5, 10277:4, 10278:6, 10278:22, 10279:3, 10281:18, 10281:20, 10281:23, 10282:1, 10282:12, 10282:23, 10283:13, 10283:21, 10283:24, 10283:25, 10284:9,

10284:10, 10284:16, 10284:20, 10284:21, 10285:5, 10285:10, 10285:18, 10285:19, 10285:21, 10296:1, 10296:18, 10299:16, 10300:3, 10300:10, 10300:22, 10302:20, 10303:10, 10305:3, 10312:12, 10312:13, 10317:21, 10318:11
traffic - 10122:9, 10164:10, 10348:14
training - 10108:17, 10160:17, 10160:19, 10160:21, 10161:4, 10161:7
tramped - 10139:18
trampled - 10139:20, 10173:7
trans - 10195:5
transcribed - 10357:15
Transcript - 10102:12, 10106:1
transcript - 10120:5, 10121:17, 10139:2, 10140:15, 10238:25, 10266:14, 10302:7, 10314:3
transcription - 10381:5
transcripts - 10162:25, 10232:13, 10280:12, 10297:7, 10331:18
transfer - 10363:20
transit - 10205:24, 10214:16, 10214:18, 10221:2, 10223:1, 10370:23
transpiring - 10242:19
transported - 10179:20, 10186:22
transporting - 10373:1
travel - 10336:23
travelled - 10122:12, 10122:14, 10122:20, 10143:4, 10181:25, 10272:9, 10274:16, 10275:19
tread - 10233:6, 10256:1, 10256:2
treated - 10346:17
trial - 10119:23, 10121:18, 10138:21, 10138:23, 10140:16, 10170:3, 10170:7, 10232:5, 10232:14, 10238:25, 10240:13, 10255:3, 10256:9, 10261:5, 10268:9, 10270:21, 10274:3, 10275:9, 10275:14, 10276:11, 10276:14, 10276:23, 10278:12, 10280:13, 10281:21, 10283:3, 10284:17, 10287:2, 10288:19, 10292:4, 10296:24, 10297:7, 10297:23, 10301:24, 10302:7, 10312:11, 10312:25, 10313:10, 10322:13, 10331:1
trials - 10286:9
tried - 10163:2, 10180:3, 10363:11, 10363:12
trip - 10130:13, 10214:22
trouble - 10142:5,

10337:22
truck - 10216:1, 10216:9, 10216:10, 10216:25, 10217:21
true - 10110:9, 10121:12, 10123:2, 10124:15, 10140:10, 10141:18, 10142:17, 10143:17, 10271:14, 10292:17, 10381:5
True - 10143:9
truth - 10263:9, 10297:12
truthful - 10265:3, 10295:21
try - 10115:18, 10177:1, 10187:3, 10276:13, 10285:21, 10337:7
Trying - 10202:13
trying - 10115:19, 10117:10, 10138:8, 10148:7, 10208:7, 10226:17, 10312:22, 10313:5, 10313:14, 10315:25, 10319:11, 10321:17, 10321:25, 10345:22
Tuesday - 10102:21
turn - 10107:25, 10110:17, 10111:19, 10118:13, 10120:5, 10120:7, 10121:18, 10121:19, 10132:17, 10139:3, 10142:22, 10161:21, 10168:4, 10202:6, 10242:14, 10243:17, 10316:20
turned - 10163:10, 10165:2, 10170:9, 10177:9, 10177:18, 10201:21, 10226:7, 10227:25, 10290:16, 10291:17, 10365:11, 10376:17
Turner - 10105:3, 10106:4
turning - 10177:7, 10201:10
twice - 10108:25
twiggd - 10209:19
twitched - 10209:20
two - 10107:3, 10107:13, 10114:16, 10125:6, 10131:3, 10139:10, 10149:24, 10163:11, 10163:19, 10167:4, 10169:1, 10169:4, 10173:4, 10176:14, 10212:10, 10215:4, 10215:16, 10220:11, 10226:5, 10251:23, 10259:11, 10265:7, 10279:11, 10279:25, 10315:21, 10328:24, 10340:17, 10344:19, 10346:20, 10347:13, 10348:19, 10353:16, 10360:16, 10370:9
Two - 10163:25, 10280:1
twofold - 10259:4
type - 10122:17, 10130:6, 10150:20, 10151:5, 10156:19, 10159:2, 10160:4, 10160:17, 10213:2, 10229:14, 10244:14, 10250:9, 10251:1,



10284:1, 10284:11,
10284:20, 10311:10,
10315:13, 10337:25,
10342:8, 10360:19,
10363:14
typed - 10357:15
types - 10228:19,
10336:16, 10340:10,
10344:4, 10350:11
typewritten - 10252:2
typically - 10198:20

U

Ullrich - 10188:21
Umm - 10136:7,
10146:22, 10174:24,
10177:14, 10178:6,
10180:23, 10183:17,
10197:23, 10200:16,
10226:17, 10227:13,
10228:14, 10269:5,
10271:15, 10279:21,
10290:8, 10292:9,
10325:25
umm - 10327:9
uncertain - 10293:4
under - 10128:16,
10176:16, 10247:15,
10267:4, 10297:11,
10322:18, 10332:23,
10351:25
understood -
10309:21, 10319:23,
10369:7
unfavourable -
10318:6
uniform - 10142:8,
10253:6
unit - 10146:18,
10150:16, 10151:4,
10151:7
university - 10377:10
University - 10377:10
unless - 10266:24,
10269:20, 10354:16
Unless - 10150:25,
10151:2, 10151:5
unsolved - 10240:22
unusual - 10203:21,
10208:1, 10208:15,
10213:7, 10241:13,
10241:18, 10241:24,
10301:16, 10302:14,
10346:24, 10357:11,
10368:5, 10378:14,
10378:18, 10379:23
up - 10106:8,
10107:18, 10110:10,
10111:23, 10114:17,
10132:12, 10132:15,
10133:18, 10141:21,
10153:9, 10154:12,
10155:10, 10164:18,
10164:20, 10171:9,
10171:19, 10174:19,
10179:10, 10185:23,
10187:12, 10195:13,
10195:16, 10196:1,
10196:25, 10201:10,
10201:11, 10201:23,
10204:16, 10205:18,
10210:16, 10212:6,
10213:21, 10216:20,
10217:18, 10219:24,
10225:15, 10227:19,
10231:16, 10234:15,
10242:24, 10244:4,
10244:12, 10245:9,
10245:10, 10245:11,

10246:4, 10246:9,
10250:10, 10250:17,
10250:21, 10250:24,
10251:2, 10251:5,
10251:25, 10259:22,
10261:6, 10269:7,
10270:5, 10271:17,
10273:16, 10280:1,
10284:25, 10285:3,
10297:4, 10301:11,
10301:17, 10302:11,
10307:18, 10307:25,
10309:7, 10309:8,
10310:24, 10312:16,
10314:21, 10315:5,
10325:8, 10332:20,
10336:19, 10337:1,
10337:4, 10341:14,
10341:15, 10342:22,
10344:19, 10347:3,
10351:23, 10352:17,
10352:23, 10353:1,
10353:5, 10353:13,
10353:15, 10353:23,
10359:8, 10365:21,
10367:19, 10369:21,
10375:5, 10378:2
uphill - 10276:7
upper - 10108:4
ups - 10337:11,
10337:17
upset - 10292:3
usual - 10122:20

V

V1 - 10240:16
V2 - 10240:16
V3 - 10240:17
V5 - 10241:2
Vaguely - 10120:2,
10125:12
vaguely - 10152:1
Valila - 10379:7,
10379:15
valuable - 10197:12
value - 10120:16
various - 10261:8,
10290:3, 10339:3,
10339:22
vehicle - 10122:9,
10122:23, 10222:5,
10234:6, 10234:9,
10234:17, 10239:10,
10253:23, 10270:13,
10273:17, 10273:21,
10274:6, 10274:11,
10274:25, 10275:25,
10276:3, 10276:24,
10277:19, 10278:13,
10278:20, 10279:3,
10286:24, 10287:7,
10287:9, 10287:24,
10288:5, 10288:22,
10289:8, 10289:11,
10296:3, 10296:10,
10299:17, 10300:4,
10300:10, 10302:25,
10303:10, 10303:13,
10303:16, 10303:21,
10312:14, 10376:3,
10376:12
vehicles - 10281:21,
10376:20
Vehicles - 10160:23
verbally - 10201:7
verbatim - 10314:2
versa - 10159:25
version - 10243:2,
10362:9

versus - 10175:9,
10343:15
Veterans - 10203:19
vice - 10159:24
vicinity - 10203:23,
10205:4, 10214:14,
10217:2, 10221:19,
10234:25, 10237:19,
10278:16, 10370:3,
10373:14
Vickie - 10179:17,
10181:4, 10181:11
victim - 10148:24,
10149:20, 10178:24,
10185:6, 10185:20
victim's - 10149:1,
10149:4, 10149:15,
10184:22
victims - 10149:20
video - 10182:23
view - 10168:6,
10235:11, 10307:24
viewing - 10129:8,
10129:10
violent - 10149:20
visible - 10173:2
visit - 10125:2,
10244:2
visited - 10109:9,
10125:13
visiting - 10109:25
vividly - 10283:1
Volume - 10102:22

W

waas - 10222:17
Wagner - 10244:20,
10246:10, 10248:10,
10248:13, 10248:14,
10248:22, 10249:7,
10249:10, 10249:15,
10250:2, 10250:23,
10293:16, 10293:25,
10294:11, 10294:19,
10295:2, 10307:2,
10307:7, 10307:14,
10307:20
Wagner's - 10247:20,
10293:16, 10294:9,
10307:3
wait - 10196:16,
10196:24, 10266:24
waiting - 10204:3
walk - 10122:1,
10233:22, 10302:10
walked - 10124:9,
10233:25, 10239:4,
10285:3
walking - 10173:5,
10240:2, 10256:15,
10256:19, 10256:23,
10257:2, 10265:15,
10265:16, 10284:3,
10320:4, 10320:7
walks - 10205:16
Wally - 10106:22
Wally's - 10106:23
wants - 10269:7,
10324:3, 10324:6,
10324:24
Ward - 10188:16,
10333:17, 10333:22,
10351:1, 10351:6,
10352:3, 10353:3,
10353:18, 10355:24,
10359:25, 10360:4
Ward's - 10199:3,
10351:25
warmer - 10141:2
warning - 10325:14
warrant - 10227:16,
10227:24, 10291:21
watch - 10364:4
ways - 10284:23,
10319:3, 10377:3
wear - 10226:6
Wearing - 10222:14
wearing - 10185:7,
10206:6, 10210:2,
10211:24, 10214:20,
10214:23, 10221:8,
10282:13, 10284:4,
10370:24
wears - 10221:5,
10221:9
weather - 10319:2,
10320:12
week - 10108:23,
10109:19, 10162:16,
10203:20, 10211:23
week's - 10160:21
weeks - 10153:25,
10248:12
Weir - 10291:23,
10292:3, 10292:12,
10292:19, 10292:21
welcome - 10312:3,
10327:15
Wempe - 10104:10,
10105:9, 10312:4,
10312:6, 10314:14,
10314:15, 10326:22
west - 10122:3,
10122:8, 10124:3,
10124:5, 10124:6,
10181:17, 10181:24,
10183:9, 10216:18,
10221:16, 10233:22,
10234:2, 10239:4,
10253:22, 10271:16,
10272:17, 10274:22,
10281:4, 10282:7,
10283:22, 10283:23
West - 10218:5
Westwood - 10164:1,
10173:18, 10255:15,
10287:1
Weyburn - 10108:17
whatsoever - 10111:6,
10131:12
white - 10174:7,
10208:3
White/blue - 10221:13
Whitmore - 10145:18,
10306:13
whole - 10324:9
wife - 10243:18,
10315:24
Wilde - 10103:13
William - 10105:12,
10327:21
Williams - 10264:14
Williams' - 10264:21
willing - 10295:23
Wilson - 10104:7,
10119:5, 10227:6
Wilson's - 10287:8,
10287:24, 10288:20
Wilton - 10351:17,
10351:19
Wilton's - 10351:22
window - 10221:14,
10222:1
Winnipeg - 10130:2,
10130:13, 10130:17,
10241:8, 10241:14,
10241:24, 10245:7,
10293:7
wisdom - 10259:25

wish - 10118:15,
10258:10, 10258:20,
10258:23, 10259:1,
10259:17, 10263:12,
10264:20, 10264:21,
10266:24, 10267:15,
10305:17, 10326:24,
10327:4
wished - 10196:25
wishes - 10144:23
withholding -
10346:14
witness - 10152:12,
10161:6, 10161:8,
10209:5, 10209:6,
10223:15, 10223:16,
10223:24, 10246:10,
10259:14, 10260:12,
10261:23, 10262:7,
10264:10, 10267:21,
10268:4, 10323:21,
10324:19, 10325:23,
10327:19, 10336:24,
10360:23, 10361:5,
10361:13, 10361:24,
10362:2, 10362:6,
10362:13, 10363:5,
10363:10, 10363:15,
10363:24, 10364:7,
10364:8, 10364:12,
10364:15, 10364:18,
10367:5, 10367:10,
10371:22, 10371:23,
10372:2
witnesses - 10130:15,
10131:4, 10213:18,
10263:10, 10265:22,
10267:5, 10267:13,
10342:18, 10362:3,
10362:20
Wiwcharuk - 10151:25
Wolch - 10104:2,
10105:10, 10144:25,
10145:4, 10152:7,
10258:18, 10259:18,
10259:19, 10260:2,
10267:17, 10267:19,
10268:7, 10268:12,
10268:16, 10269:6,
10314:16, 10314:17,
10316:24, 10323:20,
10323:24, 10324:3,
10324:11, 10324:14,
10324:19, 10324:24,
10325:3, 10326:21
woman - 10173:15,
10301:18
woman's - 10189:23
women - 10245:7
women's - 10253:16,
10282:8
wonder - 10257:8
wondering - 10202:16,
10294:16, 10299:14,
10301:23, 10305:14,
10326:23, 10331:16,
10368:2, 10371:1,
10379:22
Wood - 10158:20,
10178:3, 10191:20,
10192:14, 10193:16,
10194:14, 10334:22,
10334:23, 10335:2,
10335:9, 10336:3,
10337:24, 10350:10,
10354:15, 10355:4,
10355:9, 10377:17,
10377:24
wool - 10201:15
word - 10150:10,



10184:17, 10207:7,
10309:9, 10335:13,
10346:9, 10348:15,
10356:2
wording - 10265:10
words - 10122:11,
10122:22, 10198:15,
10234:12, 10234:21,
10265:12, 10276:23,
10303:10, 10369:14
Words - 10265:11
wore - 10284:3
worker - 10206:6,
10209:10, 10214:20,
10315:11, 10370:24
workers - 10374:14,
10374:24
works - 10108:9,
10208:13
Works - 10222:12
worn - 10223:6,
10304:14, 10319:23
would've - 10298:5
wrist' - 10173:20
Write - 10205:12
write - 10189:2,
10189:19, 10202:3,
10205:13, 10275:23,
10353:12, 10363:21,
10363:22, 10370:8
writer - 10106:18,
10214:12, 10370:1
writes - 10222:9
writing - 10136:2,
10136:5, 10136:6,
10136:7, 10171:20,
10184:20, 10190:13,
10247:17, 10247:19,
10247:24, 10295:16,
10295:17, 10372:6,
10372:9, 10372:11
written - 10135:8,
10218:13, 10246:5,
10248:20, 10250:16,
10318:22, 10366:7,
10380:3
Wrongful - 10102:3
wrote - 10176:9,
10181:6, 10277:2,
10364:4, 10364:5
Wrote - 10363:11

10292:15, 10352:2
yourselves - 10343:2
yrs - 10221:17

Z

zero - 10317:17

Y

year - 10153:21,
10228:7, 10329:12
Years - 10161:13
years - 10126:9,
10126:10, 10151:18,
10167:17, 10206:7,
10226:5, 10242:20,
10255:7, 10256:22,
10293:19, 10294:13,
10296:8, 10313:11,
10317:1, 10322:11,
10328:5, 10328:24,
10333:4, 10363:2
yelling - 10213:7
yellow - 10208:12,
10209:22, 10222:14
yesterday - 10106:8
Yorkton - 10170:8
you' - 10228:6
young - 10230:22,
10268:8, 10315:10,
10322:24, 10323:10,
10323:15, 10323:17,
10325:5, 10325:7
yourself - 10130:16,
10146:3, 10231:10,

